IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO F LED Clerk of the Superior Court

ion of the dapenor

JAN 1 1 2013

_)

A. Albanese

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NICHOLAS CARL ALIOTO, GARY
ALLEN CABELLO, RAJ KUMAR CHOPRA,
JORGE DOMINGUEZ, JEFFREY
STEVEN FLORES, YOLANDA SALCIDO
JOHN MERRILL WILSON,

DEFENDANTS.

GRAND JURY

CASE NO. SCD235445 DA NO. ADH258 GRAND JURY NO. 12-09

VOLUME 12
PAGES 1802 THROUGH 1918,
INCLUSIVE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

NOVEMBER 28, 2012

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

BONNIE DUMANIS DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: LEON H. SCHORR

BY: FREDERIC G. LUDWIG, III
DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

TIFFANY SCOTT

CERTIFIED LEGAL INTERN

330 WEST BROADWAY

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

BETTY J. ASHE, CSR NO. 4844 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT



1	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	
2	VOLUME 12 - NOVEMBER 28, 2010	
3	PEOPLE VS. ALITO, ET AL.	
4	CASE NO. SCD235445	
5	GRAND JURY	PAGE
6	YOUNG MIN EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHORR	1810
7	JAIME ORTIZ	1010
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. LUDWIG EXAMINATION BY MR. LUDWIG	1803 1832
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

VOLUME 12 - NOVEMBER 28, 2012

PEOPLE VS. ALIOTO, ET AL.

CASE NO. SCD235445

GRAND JURY P.	AGE
JAIME ORTIZ EXAMINATION BY MR. LUDWIG	803
YOUNG MIN EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHORR 18	810
JAIME ORTIZ EXAMINATION BY MR. LUDWIG 18	832

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2012; 9:12 A.M. 1 2 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 MR. SCHORR: MR. SECRETARY, HOW ARE YOU DOING ON NUMBERS? 6 GRAND JURY SECRETARY: WE HAVE ALL 16 GRAND JURORS PRESENT. 7 MR. SCHORR: THIS MORNING I INDICATED BEFORE WE STARTED 8 WE'LL LIKELY HAVE TWO WITNESSES. FIRST WILL BE MR. ORTIZ, WHO 9 WILL BE ON FIRST. THEN WE'LL TAKE A OUICK BREAK WITH HIM. 10 PUT ON MR. YOUNG MIN FOR A SHORT TIME AND PUT MR. ORTIZ BACK ON. 1.1 MR. ORTIZ IS HERE AND HE'S GOING TO COME IN AND WE'LL START 12 THE PROCESS. 13 GRAND JUROR NO. 16: IS HE A COOPERATING INDIVIDUAL? 14 MR. SCHORR: YES. I'M SORRY. HE IS, MR. ORTIZ IS. MR. MIN 15 IS NOT. HE'S NOT A CHARGED WITNESS. HE'S JUST A WITNESS. YEAH, 16 MR. ORTIZ IS COOPERATING IN THAT, AS WE HEARD YESTERDAY, 17 MR. FLORES, RENÉ FLORES PLEAD AND PART OF HIS PLEA AGREEMENT WAS 18 THAT MR. ORTIZ WOULD NOT BE CHARGED. AS I BELIEVE I INDICATED 19 YESTERDAY, AT THE END OF THE DAY, IF MR. ORTIZ IS NOT COOPERATING 20 OR NOT TRUTHFUL, THEN THAT COULD THWART HIS DEAL AND HE COULD BE 21 CHARGED AS WELL, IF THAT MAKES SENSE. 22 GRAND JUROR NO. 16: UH-HUH. 23 MR. LUDWIG: MR. ORTIZ, WE'RE GOING TO SWEAR YOU IN FIRST. 24 THE WITNESS: DO I HAVE TO STAND UP? MR. LUDWIG: PLEASE. 25 26 GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND. 27 DO YOU SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE EVIDENCE YOU SHALL GIVE IN 28 THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS GRAND JURY SHALL BE THE TRUTH,

THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I DO. 3 GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: THANK YOU. 4 5 JAIME ORTIZ, 6 GRAND JURY WITNESS, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND 7 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 8 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. LUDWIG: 11 Q. GOOD MORNING, SIR. THANKS, FOR BEING HERE TODAY. 12 A. THANK YOU. 13 DO YOU MIND STARTING US OFF AND STATING AND SPELLING Q. 14 YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE RECORD. 15 A. MY NAME IS JAIME ORTIZ. SPELLED J-A-I-M-E, O-R-T-I-Z. 16 Q. THANKS, MR. ORTIZ. DO YOU MIND TELLING US WHAT YOU DO 17 FOR A LIVING. 18 A. I WORK FOR SGI CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT. WE ARE A 19 PROGRAM AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FIRM PRIMARILY FOR K-12 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN CALIFORNIA, AND WE MANAGE BOND PROGRAMS AND 21 CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS. 22 HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WITH SGI? Q. 23 Α. ABOUT EIGHT YEARS. 2.4 Q. HOW ABOUT DESCRIBING, IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND, YOUR 25 EDUCATION PRIOR TO GOING INTO THE WORK FORCE AND THEN THE JOBS 26 YOU'VE HAD MAYBE, IF ANY, BEFORE SGI. 27 A. UH-HUH. UM, I -- AS PART OF MY EDUCATION, I WENT TO 28 HIGH SCHOOL HERE IN SAN DIEGO, AND WENT TO UNIVERSITY IN

MONTEREY, MEXICO. I GRADUATED WITH AN ARCHITECTURE DEGREE FROM -- MONTEREY TECH IS THE TRANSLATION OF THE UNIVERSITY NAME.

DURING -- AS AN INTERNSHIP, I WORKED AT BUFETE INDUSTRIAL IN MONTEREY. THEY ARE A LARGE ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY. I WORKED THERE FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS. THEN WORKED FOR LATIN ADVISOR, WHICH WAS A DOT COM COMPANY THAT FOCUSED ON -- KIND OF AN AUCTION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IN LATIN AMERICA.

AFTER THAT I WORKED AT CEMIX WHICH IS A CONSTRUCTION

MATERIAL MANUFACTURER. MOSTLY CEMENTITIOUS PRODUCTS LIKE TILE

SETTING MATERIALS AND STUCCOS AND THINGS LIKE THAT, AND AFTER

THAT I CAME BACK TO THE UNITED STATES AND WORKED WITH SGI.

- Q. WHEN YOU STARTED WITH SGI IN 2004 -- IS THAT ABOUT RIGHT? EIGHT YEARS AGO?
 - A. YEAH.
 - Q. WHAT WAS YOUR POSITION THEN?
- A. I STARTED OUT AS A PROJECT ENGINEER AT THE WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED BOND PROGRAM. I WAS THERE FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS OR SO MANAGING SEVERAL -- THREE PROJECTS WITHIN THE DISTRICT AT THREE DIFFERENT SCHOOLS. AFTER THAT, AT EASTSIDE UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT IN SAN JOSE. WE WERE MANAGING THAT PROGRAM AS WELL. I MOVED INTO A DESIGN MANAGEMENT ROLE AND EVENTUALLY A DEPUTY PROGRAM MANAGER ROLE. AND IN 2007, MOVED DOWN TO SAN DIEGO TO MANAGE THE SWEETWATER PROGRAM.
- Q. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT, PROBABLY FOR THE REST OF THE DAY. WHO WAS YOUR SUPERVISOR AT THE TIME WHEN YOU CAME DOWN TO SAN DIEGO WITH SWEETWATER?
- A. UM, CHUCK TERHUNE. HE WAS THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR THE COMPANY, AND ABOVE HIM WAS JIM MCCONNELL, WHO WAS THE PRESIDENT.

1	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO RENE FLORES IS?	
2	A. YES.	
3	Q. WHAT WAS YOUR CONNECTION WITH RENÉ FLORES?	
4	A. HE'S THE OWNER OF THE COMPANY AND THE ULTIMATE BOSS, I	
5	GUESS YOU CAN CALL IT.	
6	Q. DID YOU HAVE A LOT OF INTERACTION WITH MR. FLORES?	
7	A. YES, I DID.	
8	Q. WHEN YOU CAME TO SAN DIEGO TO WORK WITH SWEETWATER,	
9	WHAT WAS THE STATUS OF SGI'S STRIKE THAT.	
10	WHEN WE SAY "SWEETWATER," ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE	
11	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT?	
12	A. YES.	
13	Q. WHAT WAS THE STATUS OF SGI'S RELATIONSHIP WITH	
14	SWEETWATER WHEN YOU FIRST CAME TO SAN DIEGO IN 2007?	
15	A. WE WERE AWARDED THE CONTRACT TO MANAGE PROP O.	
16	Q. WHAT WAS PROP O?	
17	A. THE BOND IT'S A \$644 MILLION BOND THAT WAS APPROVED	
18	BY THE VOTERS IN 2006, NOVEMBER OF 2006, TO IMPROVE THE SCHOOLS	
19	THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT.	
20	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY INVOLVEMENT IN SGI'S APPLICATION TO	
21	ATTAIN A POSITION OF PROGRAM MANAGER OR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	
22	OF PROP O?	
23	A. MY INVOLVEMENT WAS FAIRLY LIMITED. I PARTICIPATED IN	
24	THE INTERVIEW PROCESS. I PARTICIPATED IN A LIMITED FORM IN THE	
25	CREATION OF THE SUBMITTAL PACKAGE THAT WE OR THE SUBMITTAL OF	
26	QUALIFICATIONS TO THE DISTRICT. BUT I DID PARTICIPATE IN THE	
27	INTERVIEW PROCESS.	
28	Q. I ASSUME THAT THE INTERVIEW PROCESS INVOLVED MEMBERS OF	

THE SWEETWATER DISTRICT, THE BOARD OR EMPLOYEES?

- A. IT WAS MOSTLY EMPLOYEE -- WELL, NOT MOSTLY. THERE
 WASN'T ANY BOARD MEMBERS THERE, THAT I RECALL. THERE WAS A FEW
 EMPLOYEES, CERTAIN OUTSIDE PERSONNEL FROM OUTSIDE OF THE DISTRICT
 AND THE DISTRICT'S LEGAL TEAM.
- Q. SO WHO WITHIN SWEETWATER DID YOU DEAL WITH IN CONNECTION WITH THE APPLICATION AND THE INTERVIEW PROCESS?
- A. WELL, I DIDN'T DEAL WITH ANYBODY. AS A TEAM WE WENT IN, ALONG WITH MANY OTHER TEAMS, TO INTERVIEW. FIRST WE SUBMITTED THE PROPOSAL. THERE WAS SEVERAL FIRMS SHORT LISTED FOR THE INTERVIEW PROCESS. AND I ASSISTED AS PART OF OUR TEAM TO THE INTERVIEW PROCESS AND WE SAT ACROSS THE TABLE FROM SIX, SEVEN PEOPLE AND CONDUCTED THE INTERVIEW.
 - O. DO YOU KNOW WHO WAS THERE AT THAT INTERVIEW?
- A. THERE WERE TWO INTERVIEWS. THE FIRST INTERVIEW -- I
 DON'T RECALL EXACTLY WHO WAS AT WHICH ONES BUT -- I DON'T RECALL
 WHO WAS AT THE FIRST ONE AND WHO WAS AT THE SECOND ONE,
 SPECIFICALLY. I CAN TELL YOU --
 - Q. JUST GENERALLY WHO.
- A. KATY WRIGHT, RAMÓN LEYBA, RALPH MUÑOZ, LOU SMITH, JESUS GANDARA WAS AT THE SECOND ONE, I BELIEVE. I'M NOT SURE IF DIANNE RUSSO WAS AT ONE. I DON'T KNOW IF I MENTIONED HER.
- Q. THIS IS ALL OCCURRING IN 2007, SOMETIME AFTER APRIL 2007; IS THAT RIGHT?
 - A. YES.
- Q. HAD YOU -- UP TO THIS POINT, HAD YOU MET ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS OF SWEETWATER?
 - A. NO.

GET YOU STARTED AND THEN PULL THE RUG OUT FROM UNDERNEATH YOU,

28

PUT ANOTHER WITNESS ON, AND CALL YOU BACK IN.

A. OKAY.

Q. BEFORE WE DO THAT, THE FOREPERSON HAS AN ADMONITION SHE HAS TO READ TO YOU. AND THEN WE'LL HAVE YOU STEP DOWN FOR A FEW MINUTES AND COME BACK IN A MOMENT.

A. OKAY.

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS AND
INVESTIGATIONS ARE SECRET. YOU ARE, THEREFORE, ADMONISHED ON
BEHALF OF THE SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT AND THE CRIMINAL GRAND
JURY NOT TO DISCLOSE YOUR GRAND JURY SUBPOENA OR YOUR GRAND JURY
APPEARANCE TO ANYONE, AND NOT TO REVEAL TO ANY PERSON ANY
QUESTIONS ASKED, OR ANY RESPONSES GIVEN, IN THE GRAND JURY, OR
ANY OTHER MATTERS CONCERNING THE NATURE OR SUBJECT OF THE GRAND
JURY'S INVESTIGATION, WHICH YOU LEARNED ABOUT BY YOUR GRAND JURY
SUBPOENA OR DURING YOUR GRAND JURY APPEARANCE, EXCEPT TO YOUR OWN
LEGAL COUNSEL. THIS ADMONITION CONTINUES UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE
TRANSCRIPT OF THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING IS MADE PUBLIC, OR UNTIL
DISCLOSURE IS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT OR BY OPERATION
OF LAW. VIOLATION OF THIS ADMONITION IS PUNISHABLE AS CONTEMPT
OF COURT.

MR. LUDWIG: MR. ORTIZ, DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE ADMONITION AS READ TO YOU BY THE FOREPERSON?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. LUDWIG: DO YOU AGREE TO ABIDE BY IT?

THE WITNESS: YES.

MR. LUDWIG: THE OTHER WITNESS IS WAITING OUTSIDE AND THEN WE'LL CALL YOU BACK SHORTLY.

MR. SCHORR: REMAIN STANDING FOR A MOMENT. YOU'LL BE SWORN

IN BY THE FOREPERSON AND YOU'LL TAKE YOUR SEAT.

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE EVIDENCE YOU SHALL GIVE IN THIS MATTER SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: THANK YOU.

YOUNG MIN,

GRAND JURY WITNESS, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHORR:

- Q. THANK YOU, SIR. IF YOU COULD TAKE A SEAT. AND ONCE YOU ARE SITUATED, STATE YOUR FULL NAME, SPELLING YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.
 - A. MY NAME IS YOUNG MIN, Y-O-U-N-G, M-I-N.
- Q. IF YOU COULD JUST EXPLAIN FOR THE JURY A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, THE JOB THAT YOU CURRENTLY HOLD, AND HOW THROUGH THE PROCESS OF YOUR EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE YOU GOT TO BE IN THE POSITION YOU ARE IN.
- A. CURRENTLY I WORK WITH A FIRM CALLED LPA, INCORPORATED.

 IT IS AN ARCHITECTURAL FIRM BASED OUT OF IRVINE. I AM EDUCATED

 AND TRAINED AS AN ARCHITECT. I AM CURRENTLY A LICENSED ARCHITECT

 PRACTICING IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. I'VE BEEN DOING -
 PRACTICING ARCHITECTURE SINCE 1987. SO I'VE BEEN DOING THIS FOR

 A LITTLE WHILE AND MY CURRENT POSITION AT PLA IS A PROJECT

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

MANAGER IN CHARGE OF HANDLING THEIR HIGHER EDUCATION MARKET DIVISION, WHICH I BELONG TO.

- Q. AND IN YOUR POSITION -- I'M GOING TO BE CONCENTRATING MORE ON SOME OCCURRENCES BACK IN 2010. BUT BACK IN 2010, WERE YOU STILL WORKING AT LPA?
 - A. YES, I WAS.
- Q. DID YOU HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO PUT IN RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS?
 - THAT IS CORRECT. Α.
- 0. CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US HOW IT WOULD BE THAT YOU'D FIND OUT ABOUT THESE TYPES OF REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS, WHAT IT WOULD BE YOU'D BE PUTTING IN FOR, WHAT TYPE OF SERVICES YOUR COMPANY WOULD BE PROVIDING, AND HOW THAT PROCESS WOULD WORK.
- Α. NORMALLY -- HIGHER EDUCATION IN CALIFORNIA BELONGS TO PUBLIC SECTOR WORKS. SO A LOT OF PUBLIC WORKS ARE PUBLISHED IN A GENERAL DATABASE, IF YOU WILL. AND ALSO DEPENDING UPON THE PRIOR RELATIONSHIPS THAT WE HAD WITH THE DISTRICTS, THEY MAY ACTUALLY INVITE YOU ON AN INVITED LIST FOR -- TO ANSWER, MOST OF THE TIME, THE RFQ, WHICH IS THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS, WHICH WILL BE FOLLOWED BY A RFP, WHICH IS REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS. AND, AGAIN, THAT WE FOLLOW BY INTERVIEWS TO DETERMINE IF THE DISTRICT WOULD LIKE TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH OUR OFFICE.
- SO A LOT OF TIMES WHAT HAPPENS, ESPECIALLY NOW WITH --INSTEAD OF CALIFORNIA, WHEN THEY ARE PASSING A LOT OF BONDS AND THINGS LIKE THAT BECAUSE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IS NOT THAT GOOD. NORMALLY, WHEN THEY ISSUE BONDS AND WHEN THE VOTERS APPROVE THEM, THEY USUALLY ENGAGE IN A RFO PROCESS FOR QUALIFIED ARCHITECTS TO HELP THEM MANAGE THEIR BONDS

AND TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE BUILDING REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE.

SO AT THE VERY BEGINNING, THOSE DISTRICTS WOULD COME TO OUR OFFICE OR OTHER ARCHITECTURAL OFFICES, SOLICITING FOR A REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS TO BASICALLY WORK ON THEIR MASTER PLANS TO HELP THEM FIGURE OUT HOW TO SPEND AND HOW TO BUILD THEIR DISTRICT FACILITIES.

- Q. DO DISTRICTS OFTENTIMES HAVE ARCHITECTS ON THEIR STAFF
 THAT ARE PART OF THEIR, YOU KNOW, ACTUAL -- WORKING FOR THE
 DISTRICT THEMSELVES OR ARE THEY LOOKING FOR SERVICES OUTSIDE OF
 THEIR OWN STAFF?
- A. UM, MOST OF THE DISTRICTS HAVE FACILITY PERSONNEL WITHIN THEIR PAID STAFF. AND THESE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ACTUALLY MANAGE AND OVERSEE THE DISTRICT OFFICES AND THE FACILITIES THEY HAVE, BUT THEY DO NOT HAVE PAID ARCHITECTS AS PART OF THEIR PAID STAFF. NORMALLY, THEY WOULD ENGAGE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FROM THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMUNITY TO HELP THEM WITH THEIR ARCHITECTURAL NEEDS.
- Q. DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF THE RFP OR RFQ TAKING PLACE AT SOUTHWESTERN COLLEGE IN 2009/2010 TIME PERIOD RELATED TO YOUR INDUSTRY, ARCHITECTURE?
- A. WELL, ACTUALLY, FOR THAT SPECIFIC DISTRICT, WE HAVE HAD A LONG WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM BEFORE THEY HAD THEIR BOND SO WE KNEW THAT THE DISTRICT WAS GOING OUT FOR A BOND. AND WE ALSO KNEW WHAT THE MAKEUP OF THE BOND BUILDING PROGRAM WAS. AND WHEN IT WAS PASSED, THEY SENT OUT A RFP -- RFQ PROCESS, AND WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO DO IS -- BECAUSE THEIR BOND WAS FAIRLY LARGE, THEY WERE TRYING TO SELECT A GROUP OF ARCHITECTS TO BASICALLY WORK ON THE ENTIRE BOND PACKAGE.

28 O. DO

A. YES, I DO.

THE REASON WHY DISTRICTS DO THAT IS IF THEY ARE TO GO
THROUGH THAT PROCESS EVERY TIME THEY WANTED TO BUILD A
BUILDING -- IT'S A LONG AND DRAWN-OUT AND TIME-CONSUMING PROCESS,
WHICH ALSO COSTS THE DISTRICT MONEY. SO WHAT THEY DO IS THEY ASK
FOR AN RFQ FROM ALL THE ARCHITECTURE COMMUNITIES AND THEY SELECT
BETWEEN FIVE, SIX, SEVEN FIRMS TO BASICALLY WORK ON THE BOND
PROGRAM ITSELF. AND WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS,
THEN THEY JUST HAVE TO GET THE PROPOSALS FROM THESE PRESELECTED
SEVEN ARCHITECTS, AND THAT CUTS DOWN GREATLY ON THEIR SIDE BOTH
TIME AND COST WISE.

SO SPECIFIC TO YOUR QUESTIONS, YES, BECAUSE WE KNEW WHAT THE PROCESS WAS AND WE HAD A WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM, WE WERE ANSWERING THEIR PROPOSALS.

- Q. YOU TALKED ABOUT THE COSTS AND TIME INVOLVED FOR THE DISTRICT SIDE OF IT, FOR THEM TO PUT OUT THE RFP AND RFQ'S. WHAT TYPES OF TIME AND COSTS IS IT ON YOUR SIDE IN TERMS OF RESPONDING TO SHOWING OFF WHAT YOU CAN DO?
- A. THE RFQ IS AN EASIER DOCUMENT FOR US TO PREPARE, BUT THAT'S AN INITIAL KIND OF A THING WHICH IS FOLLOWED BY A RFP, AND THEN YOU PROCEED INTO THE INTERVIEW PROCESS. FOR A COMPANY LIKE LPA TO ANSWER BOTH THE RFP AND THE RFQ AND ATTEND THE INTERVIEW PROCESS, I WOULD IMAGINE IT WOULD BE ABOUT \$10,000 OF OUR EFFORT AND TIME THAT WE'LL BE COMMITTING TO PURSUE A PROJECT.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL SENDING AN EMAIL IN FEBRUARY OF 2010, TO A JEFF FLORES, WHO WAS THE OWNER, PRESIDENT OF SCS DOWN AT SOUTHWESTERN?
 - Q. DO YOU WANT TO EXPLAIN THE EMAIL? WE CAN PULL UP THE

EMAIL IN FRONT OF YOU IF IT WOULD HELP TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY.

- A. WELL, THE EMAIL IS A SANDWICH IN BETWEEN A PHONE
 CONVERSATION I HAD WITH MR. FLORES, AND I WROTE THE EMAIL AND
 THERE WAS A FOLLOW-UP PHONE CALL WITH MR. FLORES.
- Q. WHY DON'T YOU SET THE STAGE WITH THE FIRST PHONE CALL AND THEN WE'LL SHOW THE EMAIL AND THEN WE CAN EXPLAIN THE NEXT.
- A. OKAY. LET ME JUST GIVE YOU A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION SO THAT THESE EVENTS SORT OF MAKE SENSE TO YOU.
 - O. THANK YOU.
- A. AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE DISTRICT ISSUED THE RFQ FOR THE POOL OF ARCHITECTS AND THE CORNERSTONE OF THE DISTRICT'S BUILDING PROGRAM IS WHAT THEY CALL THE CORNER PROJECT. THE REASON WHY IT WAS -- EVERYBODY WAS CHASING THAT IS BECAUSE IT WAS THE LARGEST PROJECT ON THEIR BOND PROGRAM AND, OF COURSE, EVERYBODY WANTS TO WORK ON THE LARGEST PROJECT.

SO THE DISTRICT, THOUGH, HAVE DECIDED THAT THE POOL OF ARCHITECTS WOULD COMPETE FOR THE PROJECT AND THE COMPETITION INVOLVED A DESIGN PROCESS. SO IT WAS A DESIGN COMPETITION SO THE DISTRICT WOULD SELECT THE BEST DESIGN. SO THEY COULD MOVE FORWARD WITH WHOEVER PRODUCED THE BEST DESIGN, AND THAT, IN ITSELF, IS RELATIVELY COMMON. I MEAN, YOU AS A DISTRICT, IF YOU WANT TO SPEND THAT MUCH MONEY, WHY WOULDN'T YOU WANT TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS.

- Q. IF I CAN STOP YOU THERE JUST TO GET SOME CLARIFICATION.

 IN A DESIGN COMPETITION PROCESS, DO THE COMPETITORS GET PAID FOR

 THEIR -- THE DESIGN THAT THEY ARE SUBMITTING? EVEN IF YOU DON'T

 WIN, ARE THEY PAID FOR THEIR TIME? AND DID THAT HAPPEN HERE?
 - A. IN THIS CASE, THERE WAS NO PAYMENT TO THE PARTICIPANTS.

I THINK IF YOU WON THE DESIGN COMPETITION, YOUR PAYMENT -ACTUALLY, YOUR REWARD WOULD BE ACTUALLY WORKING ON THE PROJECT.
BUT THERE WAS NO STIPEND AS SOME OTHER DISTRICT WOULD PAY YOU
FOR. AND IT'S ACTUALLY A MINIMUM COST, EVEN IF THEY PAID YOU,
BUT IN OUR CASE THERE WAS NO PAYMENT.

- Q. IF YOU CAN EXPLAIN FURTHER THE PROCESS AND WHAT ENDED UP LEADING TO YOUR INITIAL PHONE CALL.
- A. WELL, WHEN WE WERE WORKING TOWARDS TRYING TO GET OUR
 OFFICE SET UP FOR THE DESIGN COMPETITION -- TO ENTER DESIGN
 COMPETITIONS ARE A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THAN A RFQ AND A RFP
 PROCESS BECAUSE RFQ AND RFP PROCESS FOR US IS JUST HAVING A
 MANAGING PRINCIPAL OR A PROJECT MANAGER LIKE MYSELF PUT THE
 DOCUMENT AND THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TOGETHER AND MOST OF THE
 WORK IT DONE BY OUR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PEOPLE.

DESIGN COMPETITION IS SOMETHING THAT IS VERY DIFFERENT. WE
AS A COMPANY NOW NEED THE DEVOTE OUR RESOURCES, DESIGNERS,
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, AND IT'S A LONG,
DRAWN-OUT PROCESS, AND IT ACTUALLY COSTS A WHOLE HECK OF A LOT
MORE FOR US TO BE INVOLVED IN THESE KIND OF PROCESSES.

SO WHAT I WANTED TO DO WAS TO MAKE SURE -- BECAUSE SOME OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS HEARING OUTSIDE, THERE ARE A LOT OF RUMORS AND SECONDHAND INFORMATION THAT WAS FLOATING AROUND, IS -- WHAT I WAS HEARING WAS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE DISTRICT'S POSITION OF THE COMPETITION AT THE TIME.

- Q. WHAT WERE YOUR CONCERNS?
- A. MY CONCERNS WERE THE FAIRNESS OF THE COMPETITION. I
 WANTED TO MAKE SURE -- BECAUSE IF LPA WAS GOING TO BE COMMITTING
 50-, 60-, \$70,000 FOR THIS EFFORT, THEN I NEEDED TO MAKE SURE

THAT THE -- IT WAS OPEN, FAIR, AND THAT EVERYBODY HAD A FAIR SHOT OF WINNING THIS THING. BECAUSE IT'S ONE THING FOR US TO GO INTO IT KNOWING FULL WELL THAT IT'S FAIR AND OPEN AND US TO MAKE A BUSINESS DECISION AND LET'S SPEND THE MONEY AND CHASE THE PROJECT. IF WE GET THE PROJECT, THEN WE GET TO WORK ON THIS HUGE PROJECT. IF WE DON'T WIN, WE GAVE IT OUR FAIR SHOT. BUT IF I'M HEARING THINGS THAT ARE BIASED TOWARDS ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL OR ANOTHER FIRM IN THIS CASE, WHICH I WAS HEARING, THEN I BEGAN TO HAVE CONCERNS BECAUSE GOING IN, FOR ME, AT LEAST IN MY PERSONAL OPINION, WE'RE CHASING A LOOSER. WE'RE THROWING MONEY AWAY. AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S FAIR.

- Q. SO DID YOU END UP HAVING A PHONE CONVERSATION BASED UPON YOUR CONCERNS THEN?
- A. YEAH. I CALLED JEFF FLORES AT SEVILLE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES.
 - Q. I'M SORRY FOR CUTTING YOU OFF.

IF YOU CAN SET THE STAGE. WHAT JEFF FLORES'S ROLE WAS, WHY WOULD YOU BE CALLING HIM TO ADDRESS CONCERNS, HOW HE'S INVOLVED IN THE SELECTION PROCESS, IF YOU KNOW.

A. JEFF FLORES IS THE OWNER AND PRESIDENT OF SEVILLE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES. BEFORE THEY WERE GOING OUT FOR THE SELECTION OF THE ARCHITECTS, I THINK THE DISTRICT WENT OUT AND HIRED A PROGRAM MANAGER, AND THEY HIRED SEVILLE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES AS THAT PROGRAM MANAGER. NOW, THE GENTLEMAN THEY PUT DOWN AT THE DISTRICT, I BELIEVE HIS NAME IS HENRY --

- O. AMIGABLE?
- A. YES. AND BASICALLY, JEFF IS HENRY'S BOSS. AND I HAD A PRIOR RELATIONSHIP WITH JEFF BECAUSE SEVILLE CONSTRUCTION

SERVICES BUILT A SCIENCE BUILDING FOR ANOTHER DISTRICT, WHICH I
WAS INVOLVED WITH. SO WHEN I WAS HEARING RUMORS AND THINGS ABOUT
THE COMPETITION AND WHAT BASICALLY, IN THIS CASE, BCA ARCHITECT
WAS DOING WITH THE DISTRICT AND HENRY, I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS
APPROPRIATE, FOR ONE. I THOUGHT HE WAS BEING UNFAIR TO THE OTHER
PARTICIPANTS.

SO I CALLED JEFF FLORES AND I BASICALLY ASKED HIM, AND I SAID LOOK, WE'RE GOING TO BE ENTERING INTO A DESIGN COMPETITION FOR THIS PROJECT. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYTHING IS FAIR. SO I NEED YOU TO TELL ME HOW IS IT GOING TO GO.

BASICALLY, I WANTED TO KNOW TWO THINGS. ONE, I WANTED TO KNOW WHO THE PLAYERS ARE GOING TO BE WHO ARE GOING TO BE MAKING THE DECISIONS TO ULTIMATELY DECIDE WHO WINS AND LOSES THE COMPETITION. AND SECONDLY, I NEEDED TO KNOW WHAT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BCA ARCHITECTS WAS WITH HENRY AND NICK AT THE DISTRICT.

Q. IS THAT NICK ALIOTO?

A. YES. SO THE FIRST PHONE CALL I MADE TO JEFF, IT WASN'T VERY LONG, BUT I BASICALLY SAID, "LOOK, HOW IS IT GOING TO GO? WHO IS GOING TO MAKE THE DECISION?" HE STEPPED BACK AND HE SAID, "I'M NOT THE RIGHT PERSON FOR YOU TO TALK TO. MAYBE YOU NEED TO GO DOWN TO SOUTHWESTERN COLLEGE AND MEET WITH HENRY AND MEET WITH NICK. MAYBE YOU NEED TO HAVE A DINNER WITH THEM AND HAVE A DRINK AND MAYBE YOU NEED TO GET THE INFORMATION DIRECTLY FROM THEM."

FOR ME THAT DIDN'T SETTLE VERY WELL BECAUSE ALL THE RUMORS
AND EVERYTHING ELSE WAS ABOUT THEM. I WASN'T GOING TO GO DOWN
AND TALK TO THE PEOPLE WHO I KNEW IS GOING TO SAY NO, EVERYTHING
IS GOING TO BE FINE. TO ME, I THOUGHT BECAUSE JEFF WAS A LITTLE

BIT REMOVED, MAYBE HE COULD EXPLAIN THAT TO ME, BUT HE DIDN'T DO THAT. SO I GOT A LITTLE FRUSTRATED.

1.4

I WROTE THE EMAIL THAT BASICALLY SAYS, LOOK, THERE ARE THESE RUMORS THAT ARE FLOATING AROUND THAT BCA ARCHITECTS ARE ALREADY WORKING ON THE PROJECT. EVERY INFORMATION THAT WE'RE GETTING IN OUR OFFICE HAS BCA ARCHITECTS' LOGO WRITTEN ALL OVER IT. SO I JUST NEED YOU TO ADDRESS THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE A FAIR AND OPEN COMPETITION AND THAT EVERYBODY WAS GOING TO HAVE A FAIR SHOT AT WINNING THIS THING. BECAUSE IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE THESE KIND OF OUTSIDE RELATIONSHIPS -- OUTSIDE OF PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS, I SHOULD SAY, THEN THE OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT HAVING THOSE KIND OF RELATIONSHIPS, TO ME, IS AT A DISADVANTAGE. AND THAT WAS MY PRIMARY CONCERN.

Q. LET ME SHOW YOU A COPY OF THE EMAIL. IT STARTS ON ONE PAGE, WHICH IS 3176. AND AT THE VERY BOTTOM IT INDICATES A TITLE "TO" AND IT SAYS "JEFF" AND THEN IT FOLLOWS. SO AT THE VERY BOTTOM ON THE PAGE -- WE'RE GOING TO BLOW UP. IT'S FROM THE NEXT PAGE. IT SAYS, "JEFF," AND THEN WE MOVE ON TO THE NEXT PAGE, WHICH IS 3177. AND AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE WE HAVE THE EMAIL.

THE EMAIL ESSENTIALLY SAYS -- OR IT SAYS, "THERE'S A DESIGN COMPETITION FOR THE CORNER LOT. WE HAVE ALREADY TALKED TO JOHN, BUT CAN YOU TELL ME SOME INSIGHTS ON HOW WE CAN GET THE JOB? I DON'T MIND COMPETING FOR IT, BUT BCA APPEARS TO BE ALREADY WORKING ON IT. THEIR LOGO IS ALREADY ON MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS. IF THAT IS THE CASE, HOW FAIR IS THE COMPETITION? WORD IS HENRY IS CLOSE TO BCA AND THAT IS NOT FAIR EITHER. IT APPEARS THAT JOHN, NICK, AND HENRY WILL MAKE THE SELECTION. CALL ME TO DISCUSS ON MONDAY. I WILL ACTUALLY BE OUT AT THE SEVILLE TRAILER

2.4

ON MONDAY." AND THEN IT APPEARS TO BE SIGNED BY YOU.

IS THAT A COPY OF THE EMAIL YOU WROTE?

- A. THAT IS CORRECT.
- Q. ANY FURTHER INFORMATION OR EXPLANATION IN TERMS OF -IS IT NORMAL OR ABNORMAL FOR, FOR INSTANCE, ANOTHER ARCHITECT'S
 NAME TO BE ON A PROJECT WHEN IT'S GOING OUT? CAN THAT OCCUR AND
 CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE CIRCUMSTANCES?

A. YES. AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE DISTRICTS DON'T HAVE
PAID -- AS PART OF THEIR PAID STAFF ARCHITECTS WORKING FOR THE
DISTRICT. AND IN THIS DISTRICT'S CASE -- ACTUALLY, IN MOST
DISTRICTS' CASE, AFTER THEY PASS A BOND THEY CREATE MASS PLANNING
DOCUMENTS BASED ON THE ARCHITECTS THEY SELECT. AND BECAUSE THEY
ARE THE ARCHITECTS WHO WORKED ON IT, THE MASTER PLAN INFORMATION
WOULD CONTAIN THE ARCHITECT'S LOGO AND THE INFORMATION.

AND SOMETIMES WHAT THEY DO FOR INDIVIDUAL BUILDING PROJECTS
IS THEY WILL TAKE EXCERPTS OF THE MASTER PLAN AND THEY WILL
ACTUALLY INCLUDE IT IN THE DOCUMENT THEY SEND OUT TO OTHER
ARCHITECTS FOR THEM TO ACTUALLY WORK OR PROVIDE PROPOSALS FOR.

- SO, I MEAN, EVEN IN LPA'S CASE, WE'VE DONE THAT FOR OTHER DISTRICTS AND WHEN THEY WANTED A PROPOSAL FOR OTHER BUILDINGS, WHICH WE WERE ALSO ALLOWED TO COM FOR, THEN OUR LOGO ALSO APPEARS. BUT IT SORT OF KIND OF STOPS THERE. BUT SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE WERE -- WELL, AT LEAST I WAS HEARING, WASN'T THAT. AS THE EMAIL MENTIONED, THERE ARE OTHER THINGS THAT I WAS HEARING, NOT NECESSARILY COMING IN A WRITTEN FORMAT.
- Q. INSIDE YOUR WORK COMMUNITY OF OTHER ARCHITECTS AND OTHER FIRMS AND OTHER PROGRAM MANAGERS, IS THERE A LOT OF CONVERSATION ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN DIFFERENT DISTRICTS OR

AREAS?

A. YES. YOU KNOW, WHEN I FIRST GOT INTO THIS BUSINESS I THOUGHT IT WAS A HUGE INDUSTRY. THE BUILDING -- YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE SO MANY CONTRACTORS, YOU HAVE SO MANY CITIES, INSPECTORS, ARCHITECTS. BUT AS YOU WORK MORE AND MORE IN THIS INDUSTRY YOU FIND THAT IT'S A VERY TIGHTLY KNIT GROUP OF PEOPLE WHO BASICALLY COMPETE FOR EVERYTHING YOU WORK AT.

SO EVERY TIME A BOND PASSES, BECAUSE THAT'S BASICALLY WHERE THE WORK IS, EVERYBODY TALKS ABOUT THAT AND EVERYBODY WONDERS ABOUT WHAT THAT IS. AND THERE'S A LOT MORE INFORMATION THAT IS ACTUALLY PUT OUT TO THE PUBLIC BECAUSE IT'S A PUBLIC INSTITUTION. AND BY LAW EVERYTHING NEEDS TO BE TRANSPARENT FOR THEM TO ACTUALLY GO AND CONDUCT THEIR BUSINESS.

THE PROCESS, THE THINGS THAT THEY ARE LOOKING FOR, AND THE WAY
THEY WANT TO GO ABOUT DOING THAT. NOW, IF THEY ACTUALLY GO ABOUT
IT -- DOING IT THE RIGHT WAY, EVERYBODY TALKS ABOUT IT THAT WAY.
BUT IF THEY SEE SOMETHING THAT IS IMPROPER, INAPPROPRIATE, OR
SOMEBODY IS TRYING TO GET A LEG UP ON SOMEBODY ELSE, WE ALSO HEAR
ABOUT THAT AS WELL.

- Q. IN YOUR EMAIL YOU MENTION THE NAME JOHN, NICK, AND HENRY. WE HAVE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT NICK. NICK IS NICK ALIOTO; IS THAT CORRECT?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. HENRY IS HENRY AMIGABLE?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. AND JOHN, IS THAT JOHN WILSON?
- A. THAT IS JOHN WILSON.

Q. IT APPEARS YOU ARE CONCERNED WITH THE RELATIONSHIP OF THOSE THREE INDIVIDUALS WITH BCA?

A. NO. I'M MORE WORRIED -- JOHN -- BECAUSE WE HAD A PRIOR RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM -- OUR OFFICE ACTUALLY DID THEIR LIBRARY BEFORE JOHN RETIRED. SO JOHN RETIRED AND I BELIEVE HENRY WAS HIS REPLACEMENT. SO JOHN WAS BEING MORE OF A CONSULTANT. I WASN'T HEARING ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT JOHN WAS DOING OR NOT DOING BECAUSE HE WAS MORE IN AN ADVISORY ROLE AT THE TIME, AT LEAST THAT'S HOW I UNDERSTOOD IT TO BE.

IT WAS MORE ABOUT HENRY AND NICK, WHO ARE ACTUALLY THE PEOPLE IN CHARGE, NOT SO MUCH HENRY, BUT MORE SO NICK BECAUSE NICK REPRESENTS THE DISTRICT AND HENRY IS A HIRED CONSULTANT TO THE DISTRICT. BUT ULTIMATELY I WAS BEING TOLD THAT THEY WOULD BE MAKING THE DECISIONS.

- Q. AND BCA, IS THAT A FIRM THAT IS OWNED BY PAUL BUNTON?
- A. I ONLY KNOW THE NAME. I DON'T KNOW ANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO IS ASSOCIATED WITH BCA ARCHITECTS.
- Q. OKAY. IN TERMS OF THIS EMAIL THAT YOU WROTE, IS IT COMMON FOR YOU TO WRITE AN EMAIL LIKE THIS TO OTHER DISTRICTS OR INDIVIDUALS IF YOU HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE PROCESS?
 - A. NO.
 - O. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU WRITTEN AN EMAIL LIKE THIS?
- A. IN MY ENTIRE CAREER, THIS WAS THE ONLY ONE THAT I HAVE EVER WRITTEN.
- Q. AND THEN YOU INDICATED -- I DON'T MEAN TO RUSH ON FROM THE EMAIL. IF THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE RELEVANT TO YOU, WE'D LIKE TO HEAR IT. IF NOT, WE'D LIKE TO HEAR -- YOU INDICATED THERE'S A PHONE CALL THAT TOOK PLACE AFTERWARDS?

A. YES.

Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO US.

A. WELL, THIS EMAIL WAS BETWEEN ME AND JEFF. AND I SAID,
"JEFF, THE EMAIL WAS OUR CONCERN TO YOU AS OWNER OF SEVILLE
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES." BUT LET ME BACK UP A LITTLE BIT.

BEFORE THAT, WHAT HAD TRANSPIRED WAS I'D GOTTEN A CALL FROM MY BOSS AT LPA WHEN I WAS WORKING -- HIS NAME IS CHRIS TORREY.

HE CALLED ME AND SAID, "HEY, DID YOU SEND OFF AN EMAIL TO JEFF FLORES?" AND I SAID, "YES, I DID." "WELL, DID YOU COMPLAIN THAT THIS THING MIGHT NOT BE A VERY OPEN AND FAIR COMPETITION?" AND I SAID, "YES, I DID." AND HE SAID, "OKAY, THAT EXPLAINS THE PHONE CALL TO ME FROM NICK."

BASICALLY, WHAT HAD TRANSPIRED WAS I WROTE THIS EMAIL TO

JEFF AND JEFF CALLED HENRY AND FORWARDED THE EMAIL TO HENRY. HE

GOT VERY UPSET. BECAUSE BASICALLY, I'M ACCUSING THEM OF NOT

BEING VERY FAIR. AND HE FORWARDED IT OFF TO NICK WHO GOT UPSET

AND CALLED MY BOSS TO COMPLAIN ABOUT ME.

- O. AND --
- A. AND, QUITE FRANKLY, I DON'T KNOW WHO MADE THE INITIAL CALL. I DON'T KNOW IF I CALLED THEM. I DON'T REMEMBER IF HE CALLED ME. BUT THE CONVERSATION SORT OF STARTED WITH ME COMPLAINING TO HIM, SAYING "LOOK, THIS WAS ME TRYING TO DETERMINE IF THE THINGS ARE GOING TO BE FAIR. I NEEDED YOU TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION FOR ME." AND WHAT HE SAID WAS "LOOK, I APOLOGIZE. I CALLED HENRY AND I ASKED HIM TO KEEP IT CONFIDENTIAL BETWEEN JUST HIMSELF BECAUSE WE AND SOME OF THE OTHER PEOPLE WERE COMPLAINING ABOUT THE FAIRNESS OF THE COMPETITION AND THAT THERE MIGHT BE SOME ISSUES. BUT HENRY DIDN'T DO THAT. HE FORWARDED IT OFF. I

SAID "LOOK, THIS IS NOT THE WAY THAT I WANTED THIS THING TO GO.

I WANTED IT TO BE IN A FAIR AND OPEN COMPETITION."

AGAIN, HE SAID, "MAYBE YOU NEED TO GO DOWN AND MEET WITH HENRY AND NICK AND HAVE DINNER AND MAYBE HAVE A DRINK WITH THEM." I SAID, "NO, THAT'S NOT WHAT I WANT TO DO." HE KIND OF SAID, "LOOK, YOU AND LPA DOESN'T SEEM TO DO THAT." I SAID, "DO WHAT?" "YOU NEED TO TAKE PEOPLE OUT TO DINNERS AND GOLF COURSES. THIS IS A NEW TIMES. THIS IS A NEW WAY OF GETTING BUSINESS." AND HE SAID, "THIS IS CALLED A PRE-SALE AND YOU HAVE TO DO THAT OTHERWISE YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE AS BUSY." AND THAT'S WHEN I SAID, "THANK YOU, JEFF." AND WE HUNG UP THE PHONE. AND THAT WAS THE LAST TIME I TALKED TO JEFF FLORES.

Q. I WANTED TO SHOW YOU ON 3176, THIS WAS THE FIRST PART OF THE EMAIL. IT SAYS TO JEFF, BUT AS WE GO UP YOU'LL NOTICE THAT JEFF APPEARS -- JEFF FLORES FORWARDS THAT EMAIL ON TO HENRY AMIGABLE, AS YOU INDICATED. AS YOU GO UP, IT APPEARS THAT HENRY AMIGABLE FORWARDS THAT EMAIL ON TO NICK. AND THEN WE GO TO 3175 AND UP TO 3174. AT THE BOTTOM IT SAYS TO CHRIS. IT APPEARS TO BE CHRIS TORREY. AND THEN WE'LL GO BACK TO 3175.

WERE YOU SHOWN A COPY OF THIS EMAIL RESPONSE THAT WAS FROM NICK ALIOTO? DID YOUR BOSS FORWARD THIS ON TO YOU?

- A. NO. THE ONLY THING THAT I HAVE IN MY POSSESSION IS THE EMAIL THAT I WROTE. I DON'T -- I HAVEN'T SEEN THE REST OF THIS.
- Q. I WANT TO INFORM YOU, THIS IS FROM NICK ALIOTO. YOU ARE INDICATING THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE IT. PART OF MY JOB HERE, BESIDES THE PROSECUTOR ROLE, IS ALSO, BECAUSE THERE'S NO DEFENSE COUNSEL HERE, IS ALSO THE DEFENSE COUNSEL ROLE. IF I KNOW OF ANY INFORMATION THAT MIGHT BE BENEFICIAL TOWARDS ANY OF THE POTENTIAL

DEFENDANTS AND, FOR INSTANCE, AN EXPLANATION OF SOMETHING THAT

THEY BELIEVE OCCURRED, THEN I HAVE A DUTY TO PRESENT THAT ON TO

DEFENSE COUNSEL -- OR, EXCUSE ME, TO THE GRAND JURY.

SO I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH THIS AND SEE WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE ANY COMMENT ON IT OR IT HELPS TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. IF NOT, IT WILL BE SOMETHING FOR THE GRAND JURY TO HEAR AS IT RELATES TO NICK ALIOTO'S EXPLANATION OF THE PROCESS.

AND IT INDICATES, I'M GLAD -- THIS IS AN EMAIL TO MR. TORREY FROM YOURSELF -- AND WE CAN GO BACK. IT'S CC'D TO NUMEROUS DIFFERENT PEOPLE. IF WE CAN GO BACK TO THAT. I'M SORRY. 3174. I'LL HAVE YOU LOOK AT THE LIST OF CC'S AND SEE IF YOU RECOGNIZE ANY OF THE DIFFERENT NAMES. IT STARTS OFF, OF COURSE, MR. TORREY, MR. AMIGABLE, MR. FLORES, RICHARD NOWICKY, JOHN MCMURRAY, JODY CHRISTOPHER, JUDY CHAN, SCOTT O. BENJAMIN, PAUL BUNTON, MATT SOMMERS, ROBERT SIMMONS, BRYCE OSBOURNE, JOHN MCMURRAY, RAJESH CHOPRA. DO YOU RECOGNIZE ANY OF THOSE NAMES?

- A. YES. THEY ARE ALL MY COMPETITORS. THEY WORK FOR OTHER ARCHITECTS. MATT SOMMERS, FOR INSTANCE, HE AND I WORKED TOGETHER ON ORANGE COAST COLLEGE. I KNOW JODY CHRISTOPHER-JOHNSON AND A FEW OF THE OTHER NAMES. I DO RECOGNIZE THEM, YES.
- Q. ARE THEY IN A SIMILAR POSITION TO EITHER MR. TORREY OR YOURSELF IN TERMS OF ROLE?
 - A. YES.
- Q. GOING BACK DOWN TO THE EMAIL AGAIN, IT INDICATES -- NOW WE'RE LOOKING AT 3175. "I'M GLAD WE'RE ABLE TO TALK TODAY AND CLEAR THIS MATTER UP. AS I SAID, THIS COMPETITION IS OPEN AND THERE ARE EQUALLY VALID CRITICISMS THAT LPA AND ITS LOGO ARE ALL OVER SWC DOCUMENTS. IN FACT, I'M ALSO TOLD BY SOME THAT HENRY

GOT LPA INTO SWEETWATER AND HAS A RELATIONSHIP WITH YOUR FIRM, AS WELL. GIVEN HIS OCCUPATION, I CERTAINLY HOPE THAT HE HAS RELATIONSHIPS WITH MANY OF YOU.

"THE FACT IS THAT LPA AND BCA WERE BOTH INVOLVED IN THE WORK
THAT LED UP TO SUCCESSFUL PASSAGES OF PROP R AND SO, IN MOST
PEOPLE'S PERSPECTIVE, YOU LIKELY HAVE AN ADVANTAGE OVER THE OTHER
FIRMS AND CRITICISMS BY EITHER OF YOUR FIRMS IS SOMEWHAT
HUMOROUS.

"THERE ARE OTHERS WHO BELIEVE THAT NTD" -- WHO'S NTD?

- A. NEPTUNE THOMAS DAVIS. THEY ARE ARCHITECTS.
- Q. "AND/OR TBP" --
- A. TBP, THEY ARE, AGAIN, ARCHITECTS. THEY USED TO BE
 THOMAS BLUROCK PARTNERSHIP, BUT THEY ARE LIKE US NOW, THEY GO BY
 THE ACRONYMS. ALL OF THEM DO.
- Q. -- "ARE THE INSIDE TRACKS BECAUSE OF THEIR PAST
 RELATIONSHIP WITH JOHN WILSON AND ALL THE WORK THEY ARE DOING AND
 HAVE DONE ON CAMPUS." IT CONTINUES ON. "I EXPECT AN IMMEDIATE
 END TO THIS NONSENSE BY ALL PARTIES. YOU WILL ALL GET A CHANCE
 TO SHOWCASE YOUR BEST THINKING AND CREATIVITY. WORRY LESS ABOUT
 DREAMING OF OBSTACLES TO YOUR SUCCESS AND MORE ABOUT COMING UP
 WITH A DESIGN THAT DAZZLES AND IMPRESSES THE DOZEN VERY DIFFICULT
 PEOPLE TO GET TO AGREE ON A COMMON DIRECTION. ANYONE WITH ANY
 PERCEPTIVE SKILL AT ALL SHOULD HAVE NOTICED THAT NOT EVERYONE AT
 THE TABLE YESTERDAY AGREES OR EVEN GETS ALONG WELL TOGETHER."

DO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT TABLE THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT IS?

- A. NO, I DO NOT.
- Q. "I'M COPYING ALL PARTIES TO THIS NOT TO CAUSE ANY EMBARRASSMENT BUT SO THAT YOU ALL GET THE SAME MESSAGE. THERE

ARE NO FAVORITES HERE. I DON'T CARE WHO HENRY OR JOHN HAVE OR HAVE NOT WORKED WITH. I AM FROM 2,000 MILES AWAY AND HAVE NEVER WORKED WITH ANY OF THE SEVEN FIRMS. MY RECOMMENDATION IS MADE TO OUR PRESIDENT AND WILL BE BACKED UP BY LOGICAL, SOUND RATIONALE.

"I LOOK FORWARD TO OUR NEXT CONFERENCE CALL. NICK."

SO YOU HADN'T SEEN THIS EMAIL BEFORE?

- A. I HAVE NOT.
- Q. AFTER YOUR SECOND CALL WITH MR. FLORES, DID YOUR FIRM END UP GOING AHEAD AND SUBMITTING FOR THE DESIGN COMPETITION?
 - A. YES, WE DID.
 - Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO EVENTUALLY WON THE DESIGN COMPETITION?
- A. WHO I THOUGHT AT THE TIME WON THE COMPETITION AND WHO EVENTUALLY WORKED ON THE DESIGN IS TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. BECAUSE AFTER WE ENTERED THE COMPETITION, OUR DESIGN PRINCIPAL, WHO WAS -- WHO WENT TO THE DISTRICT, PRESENTED OUR DESIGNS SOLUTION, AND WAS PRIVY TO ALL THE OTHER DESIGN SOLUTIONS, CAME BACK AND SAID TO ME, WE, LPA, OUR SOLUTION WASN'T AS GOOD AS ANOTHER FIRM'S DESIGN SOLUTION. I SAID, OH, AND HE SAID GENSLER, ANOTHER DESIGN ARCHITECT, WHO IS ALSO INVOLVED IN THE DISTRICT -- HE THOUGHT THEIR DESIGN SOLUTION WAS FAR BETTER THAN OURS. IF WE LOST TO THEM, WE LOST FAIR AND SQUARE. WE HAD NOTHING TO CRY ABOUT BECAUSE OUR DESIGN SOLUTION WASN'T, QUITE FRANKLY, AS GOOD.
 - Q. SOMETIMES THAT HAPPENS.
- A. IT HAPPENS A LOT. YOU CAN'T WIN THEM ALL. YOU CHASE THEM. SO -- AND AT THAT TIME, QUITE FRANKLY, I HAVE TO ADMIT I THOUGHT, WELL, OKAY, MAYBE WHAT NICK TOLD CHRIS TORREY WAS TRUE. BECAUSE, BASICALLY, AFTER HE COMPLAINED ABOUT ME AND EXPLAINED TO HIM ANYWAY, THAT IT WOULD BE FAIR. SO I THOUGHT MAYBE, OKAY,

THEN WE LOST TO GENSLER. AND SINCE THE DESIGN COMPETITION WAS OVER, I CLOSED THE BOOK ON THAT CHAPTER AND MOVED ON.

OF COURSE, THREE OR FOUR MONTHS LATER I BEGAN TO HEAR RUMORS ABOUT IT WASN'T GENSLER WHO WON THE PROJECT. IT WAS BCA THAT WON THE PROJECT. IT WAS THE SAME SCENARIO I WORRIED ABOUT. I DIDN'T HEAR SO MUCH ABOUT BCA'S DESIGN SOLUTION AND I DON'T KNOW ABOUT WHAT THAT ENTAILED, BUT IT WASN'T GENSLER, WHO I THOUGHT WON THE PROJECT.

- Q. IN FAIRNESS, YOU WEREN'T THE ONE THAT WAS THERE THAT EVALUATED ALL THE OTHER DESIGNS?
 - A. THAT IS CORRECT.
- Q. YOU KNEW OF YOUR DESIGN YOU DIDN'T MAKE ANY COMPARISON BETWEEN BCA OR GENSLER'S DESIGN YOURSELF; IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
- A. NOT AT THE TIME, BUT, AGAIN, BECAUSE THIS IS A PUBLIC INSTITUTION, THEY ARE OBLIGATED TO POST THESE. SO AFTERWARDS THEY POSTED ALL THOSE AND THEN I WAS ABLE TO LOOK AT THEM, BUT BY THEN THE DISTRICT HAS ALREADY MOVED ON AND SELECTED THE ARCHITECTS.
- Q. DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT GENSLER'S DESIGNS?
- A. YES. AND I AGREED WITH MY DESIGN PRINCIPAL. THEIRS WAS FAR SUPERIOR.
 - Q. TO YOURSELF AS WELL AS BCA?
- A. THAT'S KIND OF HARD TO SAY BECAUSE I -- I ONLY COMPARE
 OUR SOLUTIONS TO OTHER ARCHITECTS. I DON'T COMPARE OTHER
 ARCHITECTS TO ANOTHER ARCHITECT BECAUSE IT DOESN'T REALLY BENEFIT
 US OR -- NOR DO I HAVE TOO MUCH -- IT WOULD BE HARSH IF I SAID I
 DIDN'T CARE, BUT THAT'S WHERE MY MIND WAS AT THE TIME.

- Q. IN YOUR COMPARISON, IT'S GENSLER TO LPA?
- A. TO LPA, THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AS I MIGHT HAVE INDICATED TO YOU, WE DO SOMETIMES GET QUESTIONS FROM THE GRAND JUROR, WHICH I THEN ASK TO YOU. THIS QUESTION IS GRAND JUROR NO. 17. IT ASKS FOR CLARIFICATION.
 "SOUTHWESTERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE WANTS YOUR FIRM TO USE THESE OTHER COMPANIES' MASTER PLANS AND INCORPORATE INTO YOUR DESIGN PLAN? IS THAT HOW THAT WORKS?
- A. UM, THE MASTER PLAN -- LET ME EXPLAIN JUST BRIEFLY.

 THE MASTER PLAN IS A LIVING DOCUMENT. AS THE COLLEGES START

 BUILDING THEIR BUILDING PROGRAM WITH THE BOND MONEY THEY GOT, THE

 MASTER PLAN ALSO EVOLVES WITH NEW CONSTRUCTION BECAUSE THE MASTER

 PLANNER AT THE TIME OF THE MASTER PLANNING EFFORTS IS NOT FULLY

 AWARE OF ALL THE DETAILS AND NITTY-GRITTY ABOUT WHAT THAT

 BUILDING IS GOING TO BE. AND THE OTHER THING THAT IS KIND OF

 HARD FOR THE MASTER PLANNER TO GRASP AT THE TIME IS BECAUSE THEY

 ARE NOT THE PHYSICALLY THE PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE WORKING ON

 THAT SPECIFIC BUILDING, AND THAT SPECIFIC BUILDING MIGHT HAVE

 EVOLVED A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE WHEN YOU INVOLVE USER GROUPS TO PLAN

 THAT BUILDING, THE PLAN -- THE BUILDING INEVITABLY GROWS A LITTLE

 BIT. THEY ALWAYS DO.

SO WHAT -- SOMETIMES WHAT THAT FORCE IS IS THE BUILDING NEXT
TO IT NEEDS TO NOW MOVE OR IT NEEDS TO GET PICKED UP AND PUT IT
INTO ANOTHER LOCATION WHERE IT WILL FIT BETTER. SO TO THAT END,
WE MODIFY THE MASTER PLAN -- MASTER PLANNER'S SITE PLAN, SO THAT
GETS FED BACK TO THE MASTER PLAN ARCHITECT, WHO TAKES THAT
INFORMATION, MODIFIES THE MASTER PLAN AND REISSUES THE MASTER
PLAN. THAT'S WHY IT'S CALLED A LIVING DOCUMENT BECAUSE IT EBBS

AND FLOWS THROUGH THE ENTIRE PROCESS.

SO THE MASTER PLANS ARE USUALLY CREATED ON A CERTAIN DATE
WITH AN EXPECTATION OF COMPLETION SAY 10, 15, 20 YEARS FROM THAT
DATE. AND SINCE NOBODY REALLY KNOWS WHAT WILL TAKE PLACE 15,
20 YEARS FROM THAT DATE, THE MASTER PLANNING ITSELF IS THE BEST
GUESS OF WHAT THE MASTER PLANNERS ARE THINKING AT THAT TIME GIVEN
THE INFORMATION THEY HAVE AT THAT TIME.

Q. THAT WAS QUESTION NO. 90. UNLESS THERE'S ADDITIONAL FOLLOW-UP ON THAT QUESTION, IT'S ANSWERED. A COUPLE MORE THINGS.

DID YOU OR ANYONE AT LPA, THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF, EVER TAKE MR. AMIGABLE, MR. WILSON, MR. ALIOTO OUT TO ANY DINNERS OR MEALS RELATED TO THIS TIME PERIOD?

- A. NO.
- Q. WHAT ABOUT ANY BOARD MEMBERS OR TRUSTEES OR MR. CHOPRA?
- A. NO. OUR COMPANY IS -- WELL, OUR COMPANY PROHIBITS THAT THROUGHOUT OUR PROJECT MANAGERS AND PRINCIPALS. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE VALUE HIGHLY IN OUR OFFICE IS OUR PROFESSIONALISM AND OUR ABILITY TO GET REPEAT WORK BASED UPON PREVIOUS WORK EXPERIENCE AND HOW WE PERFORMED. AND THAT'S ONE OF THE BIG SELLING POINTS THAT LPA ALWAYS HAS. WE TAKE THAT AND WE ACTUALLY MARKET THAT WITH GREAT PRIDE AND AS ONE OF OUR CORE STATEMENTS.

AND LPA, IF YOU COME AND VISIT OUR OFFICE, THERE IS CORE
VALUES WHICH ARE PHYSICALLY WRITTEN UP AND WE POST IT ON THE WALL
FOR EVERYBODY TO SEE. AND ONE OF THE WORDS THAT WE POSTED UP
THERE IS THE WORD INTEGRITY. AND AS PART OF THAT, WE DON'T PAY
TO PLAY. WE DON'T TAKE PEOPLE OUT. WE DON'T TRY TO COME IN
THROUGH THE BACK END. BECAUSE IT'S OUR ABILITY TO GET THE JOB
BASED UPON WHAT WE KNOW AND HOW WE DO THE JOB THAT'S MORE

9

8

11 12

10

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

IMPORTANT THAN IF WE GET THE JOB OR NOT. EVERYTHING ELSE IS SECONDARY AND, QUITE FRANKLY, IT'S NOT FAIR TO EVERYBODY ELSE, ESPECIALLY IN A PUBLIC REALM WHERE WE'RE USING TAXPAYERS' MONEY. WE CAN'T DO THAT. QUITE FRANKLY, I BELIEVE THAT'S AGAINST THE LAW.

Q. WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. I'LL DO MY USUAL. I INDICATE THAT IF WE HAVE SOMEONE THAT IS FROM OUT OF TOWN, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE GET ALL THE QUESTIONS ASKED.

QUESTION NO. 91 IS FROM GRAND JUROR NO. 9. "HAS YOUR COMPANY RECEIVED ANY REQUESTS FOR CAMPAIGN DONATIONS?" ARE YOU INVOLVED WITH DONATING TO CAMPAIGNS, IF YOU KNOW, SPECIFICALLY TO SOUTHWESTERN? AND IF YOU KNOW THE POLICY OF WHAT YOUR COMPANY DOES.

I'M NOT INVOLVED WITH THAT TOO MUCH SO I DON'T KNOW Α. WHAT THAT IS. I KNOW SOMETIMES THEY ASK FOR -- WELL, THE TWO SPECIFIC DISTRICTS THAT WE WORK WITH AS PART OF THE BOND ISSUANCE, THEY SOMETIMES COME TO US AND SAY, LOOK, WE'RE THINKING ABOUT A BOND ISSUANCE OF THIS SIZE. AND IF WE'RE LOOKING FOR THIS MUCH MONEY, IN YOUR OPINION, HOW MANY BUILDINGS AND WHAT COULD WE BUILD WITH IT, AND CAN YOU GIVE US A SCHEDULE OF WHAT THAT IS GOING TO TAKE AND HOW LONG THAT IS?

NORMALLY, WE PUT TOGETHER A BOND FACILITIES WISH LIST, IF YOU WILL. AND WE THROW THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS AT EACH PROJECT SO WE CAN KIND OF REAFFIRM TO THE DISTRICT, YES, YOUR BOND AMOUNT IS ABOUT CORRECT FOR WHAT YOU WANT TO DO.

AND SPECIFIC TO YOUR QUESTION ABOUT DO WE MAKE MONEY CONTRIBUTIONS TO A DISTRICT FOR THEIR BOND CAMPAIGNS AND THINGS LIKE THAT? WE DO RECEIVE THEM BUT I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE EVER MADE ANY OF THOSE CONTRIBUTIONS OR NOT.

- Q. YOU ARE NOT PERSONALLY INVOLVED IN THAT PROCESS?
- A. I AM NOT, NO. THAT GOES A LITTLE BIT ABOVE MY HEAD.
- Q. OKAY. QUESTION NO. 92 INDICATES, "YOU STATED THAT LPA OR YOU WITH LPA WORKED AT THE EASTSIDE UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT." AND THAT PERSON INDICATES, "I WENT TO PIEDMONT HILLS HIGH." DID YOU DESIGN THAT SCHOOL?
 - A. I DON'T THINK WE WORKED ON THAT.
 - O. OKAY.

2.2

- A. I'M SORRY.
- Q. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE GRAND

 JURY? WE APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO COME IN AND MEET WITH

 US. AND AT THIS POINT WE'RE GOING TO READ AN ADMONITION. IT'S

 SIMPLY STATES THAT YOU ARE NOT TO SPEAK TO ANYONE ABOUT THE

 QUESTIONS THAT WE ASK OR THE ANSWERS THAT YOU HAVE GIVEN BESIDES

 YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU HAVE ONE, UNTIL AND IF THIS PROCESS BECOMES

 PUBLIC.

IF YOU'LL READ THE ADMONITION.

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS AND
INVESTIGATIONS ARE SECRET. YOU ARE, THEREFORE, ADMONISHED ON
BEHALF OF THE SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT AND THE CRIMINAL GRAND
JURY NOT TO DISCLOSE YOUR GRAND JURY SUBPOENA OR YOUR GRAND JURY
APPEARANCE TO ANYONE, AND NOT TO REVEAL TO ANY PERSON ANY
QUESTIONS ASKED, OR ANY RESPONSES GIVEN, IN THE GRAND JURY, OR
ANY OTHER MATTERS CONCERNING THE NATURE OR SUBJECT OF THE GRAND
JURY'S INVESTIGATION, WHICH YOU LEARNED ABOUT BY YOUR GRAND JURY
SUBPOENA OR DURING YOUR GRAND JURY APPEARANCE, EXCEPT TO YOUR OWN
LEGAL COUNSEL. THIS ADMONITION CONTINUES UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE

1 TRANSCRIPT OF THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING IS MADE PUBLIC, OR UNTIL 2 DISCLOSURE IS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT OR BY OPERATION 3 OF LAW. VIOLATION OF THIS ADMONITION IS PUNISHABLE AS CONTEMPT OF COURT. 4 5 MR. SCHORR: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE ADMONITION AND AGREE TO 6 ABIDE BY IT? 7 THE WITNESS: YES, I DO. 8 MR. SCHORR: THANK YOU, SIR. AT THIS POINT YOU ARE EXCUSED. 9 I'M GOING TO BRING IN THE NEXT WITNESS, WHICH WAS ALSO OUR 10 PREVIOUS WITNESS. 11 12 JAIME ORTIZ, 13 GRAND JURY WITNESS, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 14 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. LUDWIG: 18 Q. WELCOME BACK, MR. ORTIZ. YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. WE 19 WERE TALKING ABOUT, I THINK, THE SELECTION PROCESS WITH SGI. AND 20 YOU SAY THAT SGI WAS ULTIMATELY GIVEN THE JOB AS -- WAS IT 21 PROJECT MANAGEMENT OR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT? 22 IT'S THREE PARTS, REALLY. IT'S PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND 23 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT. 24 Q. DID SGI GET ALL THREE PARTS IN CONNECTION WITH 25 SWEETWATER? 26 A. YES. INITIALLY IT WAS JUST PROGRAM. 27 Q. LET'S START EXPLAINING WHAT THAT WAS, THE PROGRAM SIDE

OF IT, WHEN SGI WAS AWARDED THAT TASK. WHAT DOES THAT ENTAIL?

28

- A. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT IS THE MANAGEMENT OF MANY PROJECTS.

 A PROJECT CAN BE AS LARGE AS A NEW HIGH SCHOOL OR IT CAN BE AS SMALL AS, YOU KNOW, CHANGING OUT WINDOWS AT AN EXISTING BUILDING. SO PROGRAM MANAGEMENT IS THE MANAGEMENT OF THE FINANCES, THE MANAGEMENT OF -- GLOBALLY MANAGING THE PROGRAM AND WORKING THROUGH CASH FLOWS AND MAKING SURE THAT THE FUNDING SOURCES ARE APPROPRIATELY ACCOUNTED FOR. ALL THE FINANCES, SETTING UP PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES TO MAKE SURE THAT INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS CAN FUNCTION EFFICIENTLY WITHIN THE OVERALL FRAMEWORK OF THE PROGRAM, AND IN BEING ABLE TO ESTABLISH THESE PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES TO BE ABLE TO REPORT ON THE INDIVIDUAL EXPENDITURES AND INDIVIDUAL ISSUES THAT EACH PROJECT INHERENTLY HAS.
- Q. DESPITE THE BREADTH OF THAT -- THE TASKS, IT DOESN'T ACTUALLY INVOLVE HAMMERING NAILS?
 - A. CORRECT, WE DON'T BUILD.
- Q. WHAT WAS YOUR ROLE THEN IN CONNECTION WITH PROJECT MANAGEMENT AT SWEETWATER?
- A. I WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OVERALL MANAGEMENT OF THE ENTIRE PROGRAM. ESSENTIALLY EVERYTHING THAT WENT WRONG WITH IT WAS MY FAULT. AND EVERYTHING THAT WAS FUNCTIONING CORRECTLY WAS THE EFFORT OF THE ENTIRE TEAM. BUT I WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ENTIRETY. YOU KNOW THIS, BUT INITIALLY WE WERE JOINT VENTURED WITH GILBANE AND HENRY WAS MY COUNTERPART AS PRINCIPAL IN CHARGE AND I WAS THE PROGRAM MANAGER.
- Q. I WAS GOING TO GET INTO THAT. FIRST OFF, WHAT IS GILBANE?
- A. GILBANE BUILDING COMPANY IS A PRETTY LARGE CONSTRUCTION
 COMPANY OUT OF RHODE ISLAND. THEY ARE A NATIONAL FIRM AND THEY

WERE OUR JOINT VENTURE PARTNER FOR THE FIRST PART OF PROP O.

HAD 51 PERCENT OF THE PARTNERSHIP, WE HAD 49. OR I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS EXACTLY THE PERCENTAGE. IT MIGHT BE 50.1 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BUT THEY WERE -- THEY WERE MAJORITY PARTNERS, IF YOU

HOW DID GILBANE AND SGI INTERACT IN CONNECTION WITH

THEY WERE -- WE HAD A JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT AND THEY

- WILL. AND IN THE AGREEMENT WAS THAT WE PROVIDED -- THAT BOTH COMPANIES WOULD PROVIDE HALF OF THE STAFF NEEDED TO RUN THE
- PROGRAM AND ROUGHLY EARN HALF OF THE REVENUE EACH.

THIS INITIAL PROGRAM MANAGEMENT TASK?

- Q. DO YOU KNOW WHY THIS TEAMING ARRANGEMENT CAME ABOUT AND IT WASN'T EXCLUSIVELY GILBANE OR EXCLUSIVELY SGI AS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT?
- A. I'VE HEARD OF WHY IT CAME ABOUT. I HAVEN'T -- I
 DON'T...
 - Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING?
- A. IN VARIOUS CONVERSATIONS WITH GREG SANDOVAL HE HAD
 MENTIONED THAT THE SUPERINTENDENT WANTED A LARGE CONSTRUCTION
 COMPANY TO BE INVOLVED IN THIS PROGRAM. AND I BELIEVE THAT THE
 BOARD'S INTENT WAS TO HAVE MORE OF A MINORITY-OWNED, REGIONAL
 COMPANY INVOLVED. SO I BELIEVE THAT GREG MENTIONED TO
 DR. GANDARA THAT HE KNEW OF A LARGE COMPANY, AS WELL, WHICH WAS
 GILBANE, THROUGH HENRY, I GUESS.
- Q. SO IF I GET THE NAMES CORRECT, DR. GANDARA IS THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SWEETWATER; IS THAT RIGHT? YES?
 - A. YES.
- Q. AND IT'S -- THE REASON I SAY THAT IS BECAUSE THE COURT REPORTER IN FRONT OF YOU CAN'T TAKE DOWN THE NODDING OF YOUR HEAD

OR SHAKING. I'LL TRY TO ELICIT RESPONSES SO SHE DOESN'T GIVE ME
THE EVIL EYE. SHE DOES THAT ENOUGH ALREADY. AS I MENTIONED -LET ME FINISH MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT HERE. GREG SANDOVAL IS A BOARD
MEMBER; IS THAT RIGHT?

- A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. WHEN YOU SAY "HENRY," THAT'S HENRY AMIGABLE OF GILBANE?
- A. YES.

MR. LUDWIG: THIS IS QUESTION 92; IS THAT RIGHT?
GRAND JURY SECRETARY: 93.

BY MR. LUDWIG:

Q. FROM JUROR NO. 9. "AS PROGRAM MANAGER, WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES?" HAVE YOU HAD PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN THIS ROLE?

IT SEEMS JUROR NO. 9 WAS DOING MY JOB FOR ME. I WAS ABOUT TO GET THERE.

- A. AS PROGRAM MANAGER, MY RESPONSIBILITY WAS OVERALL TO MAKE SURE THAT THE OVERALL PROGRAM WAS RUNNING SMOOTHLY, THAT WE WERE GETTING OUR PROJECTS BUILT WITHIN THE ESTABLISHED BUDGET AND WITHIN THE GENERAL TIME FRAMES, MAKING SURE THAT THE DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS HAD INPUT INTO THE DESIGN PROCESS, MAKING SURE THAT WE WERE MANAGING THIS PROGRAM IN A HIGH PERFORMANCE TYPE OF FASHION. THERE'S SO MANY DAY-TO-DAY ACTIVITIES THAT I DID. I CAN GO INTO SPECIFICS, IF YOU WISH, BUT IT'S JUST SO BROAD.
- Q. I DON'T THINK WE NEED SPECIFICS AT THAT LEVEL JUST YET.

 I'M SURE SOME OF THOSE THINGS WILL COME OUT DURING TESTIMONY AS

 WE DISCUSS WHAT WAS GOING ON OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. I'M SURE IF

 THE MEMBERS OF THE GRAND JURY HAVE QUESTIONS WITH THAT

 PARTICULARITY THEY WILL SUBMIT QUESTIONS AND ASK THOSE THINGS.

A. OKAY.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 1.4

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

- Q. BACK TO GILBANE AND SGI, DID YOU -- DID YOU, AND IF SO, HOW DID YOU SHARE THOSE RESPONSIBILITIES YOU JUST MENTIONED WITH MR. AMIGABLE?
- A. HENRY INITIALLY WAS MORE GLOBAL IN HIS INVOLVEMENT. I WAS MANAGING THE DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS AND HENRY WAS MOSTLY INVOLVED WITH POLITICS OF IT ALL. AND AS FAR AS THE -- AND UNUSUALLY WE WERE -- A LOT OF FOCUS WAS ON HOW WERE WE GOING TO ESTABLISH THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART WHERE BOTH COMPANIES CAN OPERATE COHESIVELY AND IN ONE -- AND AS ONE TEAM.

THE WAY WE DID THAT WAS TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERY PART OF OUR CONVERSATION HAD BOTH COMPANIES INVOLVED IN IT, WHETHER IT BE A GILBANE PERSON REPORTING TO SGI, OR GILBANE PROJECT ENGINEER REPORTING TO A SGI PROJECT MANAGER BUT REPORTED TO -- YOU KNOW, IT WAS A COMPLETELY INTEGRATED ORGANIZATION AND WE DID THAT STRATEGICALLY TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WASN'T AN US VERSUS THEM TYPE OF APPROACH INTERNALLY.

- Q. DID YOU HAVE AN OFFICE LOCATED ON OR NEAR ANY SWEETWATER OFFICES?
- YES. WE HAD OFFICES AT THE DISTRICT. THE DISTRICT PROVIDED OFFICES FOR OUR TEAM.
 - TRAILER-TYPE OFFICES? Ο.
 - Α. YES.
- TRAILERS. WERE THEY IN THE SAME COMPLEX AS DR. GANDARA Ο. AND OTHER OFFICIALS FROM THE DISTRICT WERE ALSO LOCATED?
 - A. YES, IN THE SAME GENERAL SITE.
- OKAY. WHEN YOU ARRIVED TO TAKE OVER THE DUTIES AS PROGRAM MANAGER OR JOINT PROGRAM MANAGER WITH GILBANE, DID YOU

HAVE OCCASION TO REVIEW WHAT HAD BEEN DONE UP TO THAT POINT AS FAR AS CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT WITH HARRIS AND GAFCON?

- A. WE DID.
- Q. DID YOU SEE ANYTHING DEFICIENT IN ANYTHING THAT HARRIS DID?
- A. WE DID. THE TRANSITION FROM THEIR TEAM TO OURS WAS ALMOST NONEXISTENT. WE FOUND SIGNED CONTRACTS IN THE RECYCLE BINS. THEY REFUSED TO HAND OVER FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXPLAIN THE PROCESS OF HOW THEY WERE CAPTURING INFORMATION FOR INVOICING AND IT WAS A VERY DIFFICULT TRANSITION. A LOT OF IT WAS FOR LACK OF WILLINGNESS TO EXPLAIN THE EXISTING PROCESSES. SOME OF THE CONSTRUCTION THAT WE SAW WAS -- WASN'T OF THE BEST QUALITY.
- Q. THIS IS QUESTION 94, FROM JUROR NO. 8. IT GETS INTO A CONCEPT WE HAVEN'T DISCUSSED YET. I'LL LET YOU EXPLAIN IT. "WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FINAL APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDERS AND WHAT IS INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS?"

IF YOU DON'T MIND, MR. ORTIZ, START BY EXPLAINING WHAT A CHANGE ORDER IS AND ANSWER WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FINAL APPROVAL.

A. A CHANGE ORDER IS A CHANGE TO THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE PLANS THAT THE ARCHITECTS CREATE TO

BUILD A PROJECT, AND THOSE PLANS ARE DIRECTIONS TO THE CONTRACTOR

ON EXACTLY HOW TO BUILD THE SPECIFIC COMPONENTS OF THE PROJECT,

TO BUILD THE ENTIRETY OF IT.

AND SPECIFICATIONS, WHICH IS THICK TEXT DOCUMENTS THAT

OUTLINE SPECIFIC DETAILS, THEY ARE DIVIDED INTO 14 SECTIONS. AND

THOSE SECTIONS ARE, YOU KNOW, CONCRETE AND MASONRY WORK, AND IT

TELLS IF YOU'LL USE -- FOR THIS APPLICATION, THIS CONCRETES NEEDS

TO BE OF THIS CERTAIN MAKE AND COMPRESSION STRENGTH AND HAS TO

HAVE CERTAIN TYPES OF AGGREGATES TO BE ABLE TO FUNCTION THE WAY
THE ARCHITECT AND DESIGN TEAM HAS ORIGINALLY ENVISIONED TO CREATE
THE PROJECT, AND LIKE THAT, OR MANY OTHER EXAMPLES. SO THE PLANS
AND SPECS ARE A RECIPE OF EXACTLY HOW THESE CONTRACTORS ARE GOING
TO BUILD THE PROJECT.

IN A HARD BID --

SO THESE PLANS AND SPECS ARE TAKEN BY THE CONTRACTOR, THEY
ARE PRICED OUT BY THEIR DIFFERENT SUBCONTRACTORS, AND ARE -- THEY
ARE EITHER AGREED WITH THE DISTRICT AND THE CONTRACTOR TO A
GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE OF I WILL CHARGE YOU X AMOUNT OF
MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FOR THIS PROJECT PER THESE PLANS AND SPECS.

ANOTHER DELIVERY METHOD IS HARD BID OR DESIGN BY BUILD WHERE YOU BID OUT THESE PLANS AND SPECS TO THE CONTRACTORS AND THEY IN TURN BID IT OUT TO THEIR SUBCONTRACTORS. THEY GET -- THEY TOTAL ALL THEIR SUBCONTRACTORS' BID PRICES, ADD THEIR FEES AND INSURANCE AND GENERAL CONDITIONS COSTS AND THEY GIVE THE DISTRICT A PRICE. AND THESE ARE SEALED PRICES. AND ANYWHERE FROM TWO TO 20 CONTRACTORS BID ON THE SAME PLANS AND SPECS, AND YOU OPEN THEM AND WHOEVER GETS THE LOWEST BID IS THE ONE WHO IS GIVEN THE CONTRACT, IF THE BID IS RESPONSIVE AND THEY MEET ALL THE INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS AND ALL THESE OTHER REQUIREMENTS THAT WE HAVE. AND ALL OF THAT IS STIPULATED ON THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE.

SO A CHANGE ORDER HAPPENS WHEN YOU ARE IN THE FIELD AND THEY ARE ACTUALLY CONSTRUCTING THE PROJECT AND -- YOU KNOW, TO GIVE AN EXAMPLE, THE FRAMING PLAN OUTLINES THAT THE WINDOW NEEDS TO BE A CERTAIN WIDTH AND A CERTAIN HEIGHT AND THE FRAMING CONTRACTOR BUILDS THAT WINDOW TO THAT CERTAIN HEIGHT AND WIDTH PER THE

PLANS. AND THEN IN THE WINDOW AND THE GLAZING PLANS, WHICH IS ANOTHER CONTRACTOR, HAS TO PROVIDE A WINDOW AND THE WINDOW THAT IS SPECIFIED FOR THAT OPENING IS A LITTLE BIT SMALLER OR A LITTLE BIT LARGER. SO THROUGH NO FAULT OF THE CONTRACTOR, THE PLANS AND SPECS WERE WRONG. AND THAT HAPPENS FREQUENTLY. THERE'S NOT A SINGLE PROJECT OUT THERE THAT DOESN'T HAVE CHANGE ORDERS BECAUSE THE DRAWINGS AND SPECS ARE CREATED BY HUMANS AND THROUGH COMPUTERS.

1.5

2.4

BUT ULTIMATELY THERE'S THOUSANDS -- HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF MOVING PARTS IN ANY GIVEN CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE COLLABORATE TO BUILD THAT PLANS AND SPECS WITH DIFFERENT DESIGN TEAMS FROM DIFFERENT OFFICES ACROSS THE COUNTRY ARE COLLABORATING. AND THINGS GET MISSED AND DETAILS DON'T ALWAYS GET COORDINATED PERFECTLY. SO WHEN THAT HAPPENS THE CONTRACTOR SAYS, HEY, THERE'S AN ISSUE HERE. THE WINDOW DOESN'T MATCH UP WITH THE OPENING THAT WE BUILT. SO WE SAY, YOU NEED TO GET ANOTHER WINDOW OR YOU NEED CHANGE THE OPENING OR WHATEVER. YOU NEED TO FIND A SOLUTION. AND THAT COSTS MONEY.

THAT'S WHEN A CHANGE ORDER IS CREATED. A CONTRACTOR CREATES A CHANGE ORDER REQUEST THAT SAYS, HEY, YOU KNOW, THE WINDOW HERE IS LARGER THAN WHAT WAS SPECIFIED. WE NEED \$2,000 TO ORDER A NEW WINDOW OR FIX IT OR WHATEVER. AND THAT CHANGE ORDER REQUEST IS REVIEWED BY OUR -- THAT'S SUBMITTED TO THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT, WHO IS EITHER GILBANE OR SGI EMPLOYEE. WE REVIEW THAT TYPICALLY IN THE WEEKLY CONSTRUCTION MEETINGS THAT EVERY PROJECT HAS. THAT INCLUDES A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE OWNER, AND THE ARCHITECT REVIEWS IT. THE ARCHITECT ULTIMATELY HAS TO -- IF OUR PROJECT MANAGER REVIEWS THE REQUEST AND GOES AND LOOKS AT THE PLAN AND

SAYS, YOU ARE RIGHT, THERE IS A MISMATCH HERE, YOU ARE OWED THIS MONEY.

AND ONE THING THAT I ALWAYS ASK OUR PROJECT MANAGER TO DO IS MAKE SURE THAT THEY REVIEW THE PRICES THAT THEY GOT FROM THE CONTRACTOR. AND THEY HAVE TO BE FIRM BUT FAIR. THEY HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT THE DISTRICT WAS GETTING -- THAT THE CONTRACTOR WAS PROVIDING A FAIR PRICE. IF IT WAS FAIR AND IF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS WERE -- IF THE CHANGE ORDER WAS APPROPRIATE, THEN THEY PASS IT ALONG TO THE DISTRICT'S OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, TO THE ARCHITECT. THE ARCHITECT ULTIMATELY HAS TO SIGN OFF ON IT BECAUSE HE'S THE ONE WHO'S SIGNING THE DOCUMENTS IN FRONT OF THE STATE. THEY ARE THE ONES RESPONSIBLE BECAUSE THEY CREATED THE DOCUMENT. SO THE ARCHITECT HAS TO SIGN OFF OF IT.

THE INSPECTOR OF RECORD IS THERE AND HE HAS TO SIGN OFF ON IT. THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, THE PROJECT MANAGER HAS TO SIGN OFF ON IT. WHEN ALL THESE PEOPLE SIGN OFF ON IT, THEN WE CREATE A CHANGE ORDER. WE GIVE IT TO THE CONTRACTOR. HE SIGNS OFF ON IT. IT'S A VERY LONG, COMPLICATED, CUMBERSOME PROCESS. BUT THESE ARE PUBLIC FUNDS AND I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE.

SO ONCE THE CHANGE ORDER IS APPROVED, IT GOES TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES FOR ULTIMATE APPROVAL OF THE CHANGE ORDER, AND THEY ARE THE ONES WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR APPROVING CHANGE ORDERS, THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES. AT ANY GIVEN BOARD MEETING, WE HAD -- AGAIN, WE HAD NINE SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS GOING ON AT ONE TIME. THERE'S 10, 20, 30 DIFFERENT CHANGE ORDERS FROM DIFFERENT PROJECTS GOING TO THE BOARD. AND ALL OF THEM -- EACH ONE OF THOSE CHANGE ORDERS HAVE FOLLOWED THE PROCESS THAT I EXPLAINED, MORE OR LESS. SO A CHANGE ORDER GOES THROUGH MANY, MANY LAYERS OF REVIEW AND

APPROVAL PROCESS TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE APPROPRIATE.

DOES THAT COVER IT?

Q. I BELIEVE SO. THANK YOU, VERY MUCH.

I KNOW YOU JUST TOOK THE STAND AGAIN, BUT MADAM COURT
REPORTER HAS BEEN TYPING FOR ABOUT AN HOUR AND A HALF. WE
USUALLY TAKE A BREAK MIDMORNING AND AFTERNOON EVERY DAY. WE'LL
BREAK NOW FOR 15 MINUTES OR SO. MADAM FOREPERSON WILL REMIND YOU
THAT YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH AS WELL AS ADMONISHED.

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: YOU ARE REMINDED THAT YOU ARE STILL ADMONISHED.

MR. LUDWIG: THERE YOU GO. WE'LL WRAP IT UP HERE AND COME BACK IN A FEW MINUTES.

(RECESS.)

GRAND JURY SECRETARY: WE HAVE ALL 16 GRAND JURORS PRESENT.

MR. LUDWIG: BEFORE WE GET BACK WITH MR. ORTIZ, TWO OF YOU STOPPED ME IN THE HALLWAY AND MENTIONED IT'S SOMEONE'S BIRTHDAY. JUROR NO. --

JUROR NO. 13: NINE.

MR. LUDWIG: ALL RIGHT. THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT ADJUSTING THE SCHEDULE ACCORDINGLY. I THINK WHAT WE CAN DO IS INSTEAD OF OUR HOUR-LONG BREAK WE'VE BEEN TAKING FOR THE LAST FEW SESSIONS, NOON TO 1:00, WE WILL BREAK FROM NOON TO 1:30. AND THEN EVEN THOUGH WE KIND OF MAKE UP THAT HALF HOUR BY ENDING AT 4:30, WE'LL GO FROM 1:30 TO 4:00. WE MAY THEN STILL BE ABLE TO MAKE UP THAT HALF HOUR AT SOME OTHER POINT IN TIME. WE'LL JUST END ACCORDING TO PLAN AT 4:00 O'CLOCK WITH THE LONG LUNCH.

GRAND JUROR NO. 13: YOU ARE MY FAVORITE PERSON EVER.
MR. LUDWIG: I GET THAT A LOT. THANKS.

OF THE PROJECTS THAT WE OVERSAW. FOR EXAMPLE, A CERTAIN PIPING

FOR EITHER HOT WATER OR -- I FORGET WHICH ONE -- AT SWEETWATER

27

 HIGH SCHOOL WHERE THE PIPES WERE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING INSTEAD OF HIDDEN IN THE WALLS WHERE THEY BELONG AND IT'S VERY EASY TO HIDE IT. CERTAIN AESTHETIC ISSUES LIKE THAT.

AT MONTGOMERY MIDDLE SCHOOL THERE WAS A COLUMN, A SOLE COLUMN, ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE WALKWAY THAT WAS HIDING -- AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT KIND OF PIPE IT WAS, GOING UP AND AROUND INTO THE BUILDING.

THE STUCCO PATCHING AROUND WINDOWS WHERE THEY REPLACED THE WINDOW IN THE EXISTING BUILDING AND THE STUCCO WAS CLEARLY DIFFERENT THAN THE EXISTING BUILDING. SO WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT, YOU COULD TELL THAT THERE WAS JUST A BAD PATCH AROUND THE WINDOW WHERE THEY REPLACED THE WINDOW. AND THEY DIDN'T DO A VERY GOOD JOB OVERSEEING THE CONTRACTOR AND THE INSPECTOR AND ACCEPTING THAT KIND OF WORK. SO IT WAS A LOT OF MODERNIZATION WORK THAT WAS JUST POORLY THOUGHT OUT AND GAVE THE APPEARANCE OF POOR QUALITY.

- Q. WAS IT NECESSARY TO COMPLETELY REDO THOSE TYPES OF THINGS?
 - A. IN SOME CASES.
 - Q. THE WINDOWS AND THE PIPING?
 - A. IN SOME CASES. THERE --
 - Q. GO AHEAD.
- A. THERE WAS ONE MORE EXAMPLE AT SOUTHWEST MIDDLE SCHOOL WHERE THERE WAS A SEWER PIPE THAT REALLY DIDN'T CONNECT TO THE SEWER. IT WENT INTO THE GROUND AND THEN STOPPED. SO IT WAS BAD.
- Q. THAT SOUNDS BAD. YOU'VE ALREADY MENTIONED DR. GANDARA
 AND MR. SANDOVAL. AT WHAT POINT DID YOU COME TO KNOW ANY AND ALL
 OF THE BOARD MEMBERS FROM SWEETWATER AS WELL AS THE

SUPERINTENDENT?

A. I GOT TO KNOW THE SUPERINTENDENT -- MY FIRST MEETING WITH HIM WAS THE DAY AFTER THEY AWARDED US THE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONTRACT, AND I REMEMBER BECAUSE I HAD PLANNED PRIOR TO STARTING AT SWEETWATER -- I WAS AT SAN JOSE AT THE TIME. I THOUGHT WE WOULD HAVE A WEEK, TWO WEEKS, AND I SAID I'LL TAKE A VACATION, CLEAR MY MIND AND BE READY TO START THIS BIG PROJECT.

SO THE DAY OF THE BOARD MEETING WHEN WE WERE AWARDED THE CONTRACT, HENRY AND I DECIDED, WELL, LET'S SHOW UP TO DR. GANDARA'S OFFICE TOMORROW AND JUST THANK HIM AND FIND OUT WHEN HE WANTS US TO SHOW UP FOR WORK. WHEN WE GOT THERE, HE SAID, "I'M GLAD YOU ARE HERE. YOU HAVE A MEETING IN HALF AN HOUR," AND THERE YOU GO, AND NEVER LEFT SINCE. SO THAT WAS MY FIRST MEETING WITH DR. GANDARA.

WHEN DID I GET TO KNOW THE BOARD MEMBERS? IT WAS PROBABLY INDIVIDUALLY AT DIFFERENT EVENTS, AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHEN MY FIRST MEETINGS WITH THEM WERE. I'M SURE YOU GUYS PROBABLY DO. I DO REMEMBER MY FIRST ONE WITH GREG BECAUSE I WAS IN L.A. WHEN HE CALLED AND HE WANTED TO GO TO A LUAU OR SOMETHING.

- Q. IS THAT MR. SANDOVAL?
- A. YES. THERE WAS A LUAU AT A HOTEL NEAR PACIFIC BEACH
 THAT LOOKS INTO THE OCEAN, AND HE WANTED TO KNOW IF MY WIFE AND I
 WANTED TO GO WITH HIM. SO WE SAID YES. AND I WAS IN L.A. AND I
 REMEMBER I FLEW FROM L.A. TO SAN DIEGO BECAUSE IT WAS, LIKE, IN
 TWO HOURS AND I'D TAKEN THE TRAIN UP OR SOMETHING. SO WE WENT TO
 THAT. THAT WAS THE FIRST TIME I WENT OUT WITH GREG.
 - Q. WAS THERE COST ASSOCIATED WITH THAT AS WELL?
 - A. YES.

- Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO PAID FOR IT?
- A. I DID. THE COMPANY DID.
- Q. THE COMPANY PAID FOR MR. SANDOVAL AS WELL?
- A. YES.
- Q. DID MR. SANDOVAL HAVE ANYONE WITH HIM?
- A. HIS WIFE.
- Q. WAS IT THE FIRST TIME YOU'D HAD ANY TYPE OF MEETING OR OUTING WITH MR. SANDOVAL IN AN ENTERTAINMENT, NON-BUSINESS SETTING?
 - A. YES.
- Q. WAS IT IMPORTANT TO YOU AS STARTING OUT YOUR JOB AS
 JOINT PROGRAM MANAGER WITH GILBANE, WAS IT IMPORTANT TO YOU TO
 ESTABLISH PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH BOARD MEMBERS AND
 DR. GANDARA?
 - A. I THOUGHT IT WAS ESSENTIAL.
 - Q. WHY DID YOU THINK THAT?
- A. THE WAY I THOUGHT ABOUT IT WAS THESE PEOPLE ARE IN CHARGE OF MANY, MANY MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, \$644 MILLION, AND THEY ARE HIRING A COMPANY LIKE OURS TO MANAGE THAT FOR THEM. SO IF YOU GUYS HAD A LARGE SUM OF MONEY, YOU'D WANT TO KNOW WHO YOU ARE ENTRUSTING THIS MONEY TO AND WANT TO KNOW THEM AND UNDERSTAND THEIR THOUGHT PROCESS AND HOW THEY ARE AND THEIR DECISION—MAKING PROCESS. SO I THOUGHT IT VERY IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO KNOW ME PERSONALLY SO THEY CAN HAVE TRUST IN WHAT I DO AND MAKE SURE THAT I'M PROVIDING THE SERVICE THAT THEY WANT AND UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR —— THEIR DESIRES ARE IN TERMS OF THE PROGRAM AND WHAT THEY WANT TO ACCOMPLISH WITH THIS BOND PROGRAM. AND I THOUGHT THAT VERY IMPORTANT.

BECAUSE IF YOU ARE GOING DOWN A ROUTE AND YOU'RE DOING -YOU'RE PRIORITIZING CERTAIN PROJECTS AHEAD OF OTHERS THAT YOU
KNOW THAT THESE SPECIFIC BOARD MEMBERS HAVE A VERY STRONG
INTEREST IN, IT'S JUST -- I THINK IT'S -- I THOUGHT IT WAS GOOD
BUSINESS PRACTICE TO UNDERSTAND THEIR THOUGHT PROCESS AND HAVE
THEM UNDERSTAND MINE AND MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE PROVIDING THE
SERVICE THAT THEY WANT -- NOT THE SERVICE, BUT WE'RE PRIORITIZING
THINGS THAT SHOULD BE PRIORITIZED ACCORDING TO THE BOARD AND THE
SUPERINTENDENT. SO I THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO ESTABLISH A
PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM.

- Q. IN THAT REGARD, DID YOU DEVELOP OR DID YOU HAVE A

 PRACTICE OR A STRATEGY ON HOW BEST TO GET TO KNOW THESE FOLKS AND

 BUILD THAT PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP?
- A. IT WAS GETTING TO KNOW THEM SOCIALLY AND BECOMING FRIENDS WITH THEM. THE -- THAT WAS THROUGH DIFFERENT OUTING EVENTS AND FOUNDATION DINNERS THAT THEY HAD AND DIFFERENT OPPORTUNITIES TO INTERACT WITH THEM SOCIALLY. AND THAT WAS -- RENÉ AND JIM SAID SPECIFICALLY THAT WE NEED TO BREAK BREAD WITH THEM AND WE NEED TO INTERACT WITH THEM SOCIALLY.
- Q. WHY BREAK BREAD AND INTERACT SOCIALLY RATHER THAN
 SIMPLY SCHEDULE FREQUENT MEETINGS, MAYBE IN AN OFFICE ENVIRONMENT
 AT SWEETWATER HEADQUARTERS OR IN A CONFERENCE ROOM? WHY THE SORT
 OF AFTER-HOURS ACTIVITY?
- A. THERE WERE THOSE MEETINGS, THOUGH MUCH MORE INFREQUENT,
 AND THEY WERE -- I DON'T KNOW. THAT'S JUST THE WAY IT WAS, AND
 IT WAS AT THEIR REQUEST THE MAJORITY OF THE TIME.
- Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT, IT WAS AT THEIR REQUEST? WHO IS "THEY," THE BOARD MEMBERS?

Q. QUIÑONES?

25

26

27

28

SO. PEARL, THAT'S THE ONE --

A. -- THAT WENT UP AND DOWN. CERTAIN TIMES IT WAS PRETTY INTENSE. OTHER TIMES WE GOT THE COLD SHOULDER.

OFFICIALS OR BOARD MEMBERS FROM THOSE DISTRICTS WOULD HAVE SGI

1 PERSONNEL TAKE THEM OUT TO ENTERTAIN THEM? 2 A. I WASN'T AWARE OF IT, NO. 3 MR. LUDWIG: SO THIS IS QUESTION 96? GRAND JURY SECRETARY: 96, YES. 4 5 BY MR. LUDWIG: 6 Q. AND, AGAIN, THE GRAND JURY IS READING MY OUTLINE, 7 APPARENTLY. I WAS GOING TO GET TO THIS ONE. FROM JUROR NO. 13. 8 "WHAT INTERACTION DID YOU HAVE IN A SOCIAL SETTING ENVIRONMENT 9 WITH JAIME MERCADO?" 10 A. VERY LIMITED. I MIGHT HAVE BUMPED INTO HIM AT 11 FOUNDATION EVENTS, EITHER THE MARIACHI SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION OR 12 THE SWEETWATER EDUCATION FOUNDATION. BUT I DON'T RECALL HAVING 13 INTERACTIONS WITH HIM SOCIALLY. I DON'T THINK HE LIKED US VERY 14 MUCH. 15 Q. ANY REASON IN PARTICULAR THAT MAKES YOU SAY THAT? 16 A. JUST HIS DEMEANOR. Q. QUESTION 97, FROM JUROR NO. 16. "WHY DID YOU FEEL THE 17 NEED TO PAY WHEN THEY WERE ASKING YOU TO GO OUT? I NORMALLY PAY 18 19 WHEN I ASK SOMEONE TO GO OUT." 20 WE'RE ALL GOING TO DINNER WITH JUROR NO. 16. 21 A. IT WAS EXPECTED. I MEAN, WE WERE THE CONSULTANT. IT'S 22 JUST -- IT WAS EXPECTED THAT WE PAY. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY A 23 TIME WHERE -- I KNOW THAT THEY DIDN'T SAY SPECIFICALLY YOU NEED 24 TO PAY FOR THIS, BUT WHENEVER I'VE -- JUST A COURTESY. 25 O. DID YOU HAVE A BUDGET FROM SGI PERTAINING TO 26 ENTERTAINING AND EXPENSES FOR BOARD MEMBERS?

O. DOES -- WAS THAT EFFECTIVELY ANY CHARGE YOU INCURRED

27

28

A. NOT REALLY.

8

5

10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

2.4

25 26

27

28

ENTERTAINING A BOARD MEMBER WOULD BE AUTHORIZED OR REIMBURSED?

A. WELL, WITHIN REASON. WHAT IS REASON? DINNERS AND SPORTING EVENTS AND THINGS LIKE THAT WERE ALL UNDERSTOOD THAT THAT WAS HAPPENING. I KEPT RENÉ ABREAST OF EVERYTHING THAT WAS GOING ON SO HE KNEW ABOUT IT. HE KNEW THEM. SO IT WAS -- IT WASN'T A SURPRISE AS FAR AS WHEN A CHARGE CAME IN.

- O. WE'LL GET INTO THE SPECIFIC EVENTS AND FUNCTIONS AND DINNERS HERE SHORTLY. BUT OVERALL, WHEN YOU WOULD GO AND YOU'D MEET WITH THE BOARD MEMBER OR SUPERINTENDENT AFTER HOURS AT A RESTAURANT OR SOME OTHER SOCIAL SETTING, WAS IT TYPICALLY THAT MR. AMIGABLE OR A GILBANE REPRESENTATIVE WAS IN ATTENDANCE AS WELL? OR DID YOU CHOOSE SEPARATELY TO ENTERTAIN SWEETWATER OFFICIALS AFTER HOURS?
- A. THERE WERE BOTH CASES. BUT FOR THE MOST PART, IT WAS SEPARATE ENTERTAINING. AND THAT MIGHT HAVE INCENTIVIZED --WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT -- I GUESS BOTH COMPANIES WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE WERE IN GOOD GRACES WITH THE BOARD. SO WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE RELATIONSHIPS WERE CONTINUING.
 - O. WHY WAS IT SO IMPORTANT TO MAINTAIN GOOD GRACES?
 - A. BECAUSE THEY ARE YOUR BOSSES.
- O. DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS RELATED TO SGI'S JOB AS PROGRAM MANAGER WITH SWEETWATER?
 - A. TERMINATION PROVISIONS?
- Q. THE CONTRACT. THE SGI CONTRACT FOR THE BOARD. DID THE CONTRACT, ARE YOU AWARE, CONTAIN PROVISIONS AND LANGUAGE GOVERNING TERMINATION OF SGI EMPLOYMENT?
 - A. YES.

5	Q. ARE IOU AWARE OF THE PARTICULAR TERMS OF THE
6	SGI/SWEETWATER CONTRACT?
7	A. I CAN'T TELL IT TO YOU OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, BUT I'VE
8	READ THEM, YES.
9	Q. DO YOU KNOW IF IT WAS A SITUATION WHERE SWEETWATER
10	COULD TERMINATE SGI WITH OR WITHOUT CAUSE?
11	A. YES, THEY COULD TERMINATE US WITHOUT CAUSE.
12	Q. WAS THAT WITH 30 DAYS NOTICE?
13	A. YES, THERE IS SOME NOTICE. I'M NOT SURE IF IT WAS 30
14	DAYS OR NOT BUT THAT SOUNDS ABOUT RIGHT.
15	Q. EFFECTIVELY FOR ANY REASON OR NO REASON SWEETWATER
16	COULD TERMINATE SGI?
17	A. ABSOLUTELY.
18	Q. DID THAT EVER COME INTO THE CALCULUS WHEN IT CAME TO
19	MAINTAINING GOOD GRACES WITH BOARD MEMBERS?
20	A. NOT EXCLUSIVELY BUT WE KNEW THAT THAT EXISTED.
21	Q. WAS THERE A TIME WHEN SOMEONE FROM SWEETWATER REQUESTED
22	TO MEET IN A SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT WHERE YOU HAD TO DECLINE? YOU
23	HAD THE SAY NO?
24	A. YES. IF I HAD A PREVIOUS ENGAGEMENT WITH FAMILY OR
25	SOMETHING. I'M SURE THAT THAT HAPPENED, YES.
26	Q. BUT THEN WOULD YOU RESCHEDULE OR PLAN A DIFFERENT DATE?
27	A. IF POSSIBLE. OR IF IT WAS A SPORTING EVENT, THEN I
28	JUST WOULDN'T GO. AND A LOT OF TIMES IF IT WAS A FOUNDATION

Q. SO IF IT WANTED THE ABILITY TO SEVER TIES WITH SGI OR

CLAUSES THAT THEY CAN FIRE US FOR CAUSE OR WITHOUT CAUSE.

A. ANY CONTRACT WITH CONSULTING FIRMS OR CONSULTANTS HAVE

FIRE SGI, DID IT HAVE THAT TYPE OF LANGUAGE?

1

2

3

DINNER OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, WE WOULD OFFER THE SEATS TO THEM IF I COULDN'T GO.

MR. LUDWIG: IS THIS QUESTION 98?

GRAND JURY SECRETARY: THAT'S RIGHT.

GRAND JUROR NO. 13: IT'S KIND OF BACKWARDS BUT YOU SHOULD UNDERSTAND IT.

MR. LUDWIG: KIND OF BACKWARDS.

- Q. FROM JUROR NO. 13. "WAS THE LUAU WITH SANDOVAL AND WIFE THE FIRST TIME YOU DID THE, QUOTE, SGI PAID FOR THE UNIVERSE, UNQUOTE. HAD SOMEONE IN YOUR COMPANY EXPLAINED THAT THIS WAS SGI'S POLICY OR WAS IT A SURPRISE? DID THE CHECK SIT THERE FOR A WHILE?"
 - A. WHAT CHECK?
- Q. I IMAGINE FROM THE LUAU, THE LUAU CHECK. I THINK I UNDERSTAND THE CALL OF THE QUESTION. DID YOU KNOW AT THAT POINT IN TIME, AT THE LUAU, ONE OF YOUR PRIMARY MISSIONS WAS TO WINE AND DINE SWEETWATER MEMBERS AND PAY FOR EVERYTHING?
- A. YEAH, I KNEW THAT. AND IN THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE,

 THE CHECK YOU ARE REFERRING TO, THE BILL FOR THE EVENT, I BELIEVE

 THAT WAS -- IT WAS A TYPE OF EVENT WHERE YOU BUY A TICKET AT THE

 BEGINNING.
 - Q. AT THE DOOR?
- A. AT THE DOOR, AND EVERYTHING INSIDE IS -- COMES WITH THE TICKET. THERE WERE DRINKS. I BOUGHT DRINK TICKETS. I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY THIS EVENT, BUT MANY TIMES IT WAS -- ESPECIALLY RELATED TO GREG, HE'D SEND ME THE INFORMATION WHERE I COULD BUY THE TICKETS. SO IT WAS UNDERSTOOD THAT...
 - Q. I THINK WE'LL GET TO SOME OF THOSE INSTANCES HERE. IF

1 I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, MR. SANDOVAL WOULD CONTACT YOU BY EMAIL 2 OR PHONE AND SAY "HERE'S A PARTICULAR EVENT AND" --3 "IT WOULD BE GREAT IF WE COULD GO." 4 Q. WHICH WAS THE SUBTLE HINT THAT YOU OR SGI PURCHASE 5 TICKETS ON HIS BEHALF? 6 A. UH-HUH. AND WE'LL GET TO THIS, I'M SURE, THE 7 INSTANCES. BUT THEY LATER HAD TO BE GOOD TICKETS. Q. NOT JUST ANY TICKETS? 8 9 Α. YES. O. WAS PART OF THIS MAINTAINING A POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP 10 WITH THE BOARD MEMBERS, DID PART OF THAT EXTENT BEYOND SOCIAL 11 SETTINGS INTO CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS? 12 13 A. UH-HUH. 14 O. IS IT SOMETHING --A. YES. SORRY. 15 16 Q. WOULD YOU FIELD REQUESTS FOR CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS 17 FROM ELECTED OFFICIALS? 18 A. I WOULD. Q. HOW ABOUT DONATIONS OR CONTRIBUTIONS TO VARIOUS 19 20 CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS AFFILIATED WITH THE BOARD MEMBERS? 21 A. I WOULD. 22 Q. WAS THAT COMMON PRACTICE AS WELL? 23 A. YES. I WASN'T -- IT WAS EITHER THROUGH ME OR THROUGH RENÉ. 24 25 0. OKAY. DID SGI PROVIDE YOU WITH A COMPANY BUSINESS CARD, CREDIT CARD? 26 27 A. YES.

Q. WHEN IT CAME TO THESE EVENTS, WHETHER IT'S A SPORTING

EVENT, PAYING FOR TICKETS, GOING TO DINNER, DID SGI HAVE A POLICY OF REQUIRING YOU TO SUBMIT ANY PARTICULAR PAPERWORK, SORT OF EVIDENCING OR MEMORIALIZING THE EVENT OF THE DINNER AND WHO ATTENDED, WHEN, WHERE, AND WHY? OR WAS IT SIMPLY THE CASE WHERE THE COMPANY CREDIT CARD WAS SUFFICIENT, WHATEVER -- YOU COULD JUST USE IT AT YOUR DISCRETION IF YOU BELIEVED IT WAS A BUSINESS EXPENSE AND THERE WAS NO ADDITIONAL PAPERWORK REQUIRED?

- A. THAT VARIED THROUGH TIME WITH THE COMPANY CREDIT CARD.

 FOR THE MOST PART, IT WAS JUST WHAT IS ON THE BILL THEY WOULD

 PAY, THE COMPANY PAYS. THEN DEPENDING ON A CERTAIN CFO,

 DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT ON THE FINANCIAL SIDE, IT WOULD BE MORE

 STRINGENT ON ACTUALLY GETTING THE RECEIPTS, BUT THAT VARIED

 THROUGH TIME.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. SO AS FAR AS YOU RECALL FROM LET'S SAY 2007
 ONWARD FOR A FEW YEARS, WAS IT THE CASE WHERE YOU WOULD NEED TO
 COLLECT AND KEEP RECEIPTS AND OTHERWISE HAVE INDICIA THAT DINNER
 OCCURRED OR OTHER SPORTING EVENTS?
 - A. THAT VARIED.
 - Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT AN EMAIL.
- MR. SCHORR: HE'S SHOWING OFF IS WHAT IT IS. I DIDN'T GET TO USE THAT. VERY COOL. I LIKE IT.
- BY MR. LUDWIG:
- Q. SO AS WE EXPLAINED TO OTHER WITNESSES THE DOCUMENTS
 THAT WE'VE COLLECTED THROUGH THE COURSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION,
 THEY HAVE ALL RECEIVED A BATES STAMP NUMBER AND PAGINATED IN
 SEQUENCE. EVERY SINGLE DOCUMENT FROM 1 TO 57,000-SOMETHING.
 I'LL REFER, SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, SO MADAM COURT REPORTER CAN
 TAKE IT DOWN IN HER FANCY MACHINE, I'M GOING TO OFTEN STATE THE

11 12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

BATES NUMBER OF A DOCUMENT THAT WE'LL SEE ON THE SCREEN. LIKE ON THE MONITOR UP HERE, THIS IS 4977. WE CAN START AT THE TOP. IT APPEARS TO BE A MESSAGE FROM SOMEONE NAMED JAIME ORTIZ. WOULD THAT BE YOU?

- A. YES, IT WOULD.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL THIS MESSAGE?
- A. I DO.
- IT'S DATED APRIL 22ND, '07. IT SAYS, "DR. GANDARA COULD SAY SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT: " AND THEN THERE'S A SERIES OF PARAGRAPHS EFFECTIVELY ENDORSING OR SUPPORTING SGI FOR THE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT POSITION.
 - A. UH-HUH.
- WHAT IS THE BACK STORY BEHIND THIS? WHAT CAUSED YOU TO Q. WRITE AN EMAIL ESSENTIALLY ON BEHALF OF DR. GANDARA?
- A. I THINK WE WERE ASKED BY DR. GANDARA OR BY RAMÓN LEYBA TO COME UP WITH SOME TALKING POINTS ON WHY THEY -- ON THE SELECTION PROCESS OF US. I BELIEVE THAT -- I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, BUT I BELIEVE HARRIS WAS MAKING A STRONG PUSH WHY THEY WERE SUPPOSED -- THEY SHOULD STAY, AND THIS WAS OUR REQUEST FOR SOME TALKING POINTS OR FOR SOME BULLET POINTS ON WHY WE WERE THE MOST APPROPRIATE CHOICE.
- Q. OKAY. PRIOR TO THE SELECTION OF SGI, DID DR. GANDARA MAKE YOU AWARE OR HAD YOU HEARD THAT DR. GANDARA PREFERRED SGI TO GILBANE AND WANTED SGI TO PREVAIL? HAD YOU HEARD THAT BEFORE THE ULTIMATE DECISION WAS MADE?
 - A. I HADN'T HEARD THAT. I DON'T BELIEVE I HAD HEARD THAT.
- Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE NEXT PAGE, 4978. AT THE BOTTOM THIS IS ONE OF THE INITIAL EMAILS THAT WAS OF THE SERIES LEADING TO

THE ONE WE DISCUSSED ON THE PREVIOUS PAGE FROM MR. AMIGABLE, 1 2 DATED APRIL 21ST. 3 DO YOU RECALL SEEING THIS IN THE SEQUENCE OF EMAILS WE DISCUSSED? 4 5 A. I'M NOT ON THIS EMAIL, BUT I THINK THIS WAS -- THIS WAS 6 WHAT PROMPTED ME TO WRITE THE PREVIOUS ONE. 7 Q. SO IT'S DATED SATURDAY, APRIL 21ST. AND IT SAYS, "I 8 JUST RECEIVED AN OFFICIAL PHONE CALL FROM JESUS GANDARA NOTIFYING 9 ME THAT GILBANE/SGI WILL BE RECOMMENDED FOR THE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SERVICES AT THE BOARD MEETING TUESDAY NIGHT." 10 11 HAD THE DECISION BEEN MADE AT THIS POINT OF THE OFFICIAL 12 SELECTION OR WAS THIS SOMETHING THAT WAS COMING IN EARLY. 13 A. IT SAYS THERE THAT HENRY RECEIVED THE OFFICIAL PHONE CALL, SO I'M ASSUMING THAT THAT WAS THE OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION. 14 IT'S CUSTOMARY FOR YOU TO FIND OUT IF YOU WON THE JOB PRIOR TO 15 THE BOARD MEETING. 16 17 Q. WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT, DESPITE THIS SELECTION, THAT SOMEHOW DR. GANDARA WAS GOING TO JUSTIFY OR RATIONALIZE THE 18 DECISION TO THE BOARD? 19 THAT WOULD BE MY UNDERSTANDING FROM THIS EMAIL. 20 Q. OKAY. DOCUMENT 5000. IT'S AN EMAIL AND IT APPEARS 21

- THAT YOU'VE BEEN CC'D ON IT WITH MR. FLORES. IT'S DATED MAY 9, 2007. DO YOU REMEMBER THIS EXCHANGE?
 - NOT SPECIFICALLY, BUT I SEE IT HERE. Α.
 - WELL, DO YOU RECALL -- IT SAYS, "MARIACHI EVENT." Ο.
- Α. OKAY.

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. DOES THAT RING A BELL TO YOU?
- A. YEAH, IT WAS AN ANNUAL -- I'M ASSUMING THAT THIS IS A

1 SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION EVENT. AND IT WAS IN MAY, SO YES. IT WAS 2 AN ANNUAL EVENT THAT THE MARIACHI SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION PUT 3 TOGETHER TO RAISE SCHOLARSHIP FUNDS FOR STUDENTS OF THEIR 4 MARIACHI PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT TO GO TO COLLEGE. 5 0. WAS THIS MARIACHI SCHOLARSHIP FUND SOMETHING THAT WAS 6 OF INTEREST TO SGI BEFORE IT BECAME INVOLVED WITH SWEETWATER? 7 A. NO. 8 DID YOU OR SOMEONE AT SGI PAY FOR A TABLE OR SEATING 9 FOR THE FOLKS LISTED IN THIS EMAIL, SUCH AS MR. SANDOVAL, 10 MRS. SANDOVAL, AND MR. SANDOVAL'S PARENTS? 11 I'M ASSUMING THAT WE DID, YES. IT WAS CUSTOMARY FOR US 12 TO BUY TABLES. THAT CAME WITH THE SPONSORSHIP LEVEL THAT WE HAD 13 FOR THE EVENT. THEY GAVE US TABLES FOR WHATEVER SPONSORSHIP THAT 14 WE HAD. IT WAS USUALLY TWO. Q. USUALLY TWO? 15 16 A. USUALLY TWO TABLES. 17 Q. DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE COST WAS PER TABLE? 18 UM, THE SPONSORSHIP FOR THE EVENT FOR THE MARIACHI WAS Α. 15,000. 19 20 Q. 15,000? 21 A. OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 22 WAS THAT SOMETHING THAT SGI WOULD PAY ANNUALLY ON A Q. 23 REGULAR BASIS? 24 Α. YES. Q. YES? 25

A. YES. SORRY. AND INITIALLY IT WAS -- THAT WAS SPLIT

Q. WHOSE IDEA WAS IT TO SPONSOR THE MARIACHI EVENT?

26

27

28

BETWEEN GILBANE AND SGI.

I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T REMEMBER. I KNOW THAT INITIALLY 1 HENRY WAS KIND OF LEADING THAT EFFORT. 2 3 ARE YOU AWARE OF WHETHER OR NOT MR. SANDOVAL OR SOMEONE 4 ELSE WITH SWEETWATER INITIALLY SUGGESTED OR REQUESTED? 5 I'M SURE THEY DID. Α. 6 O. OKAY. 7 MR. SANDOVAL WAS ON THE BOARD OF THE MARIACHI Α. 8 SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION AS WAS DR. GANDARA, AND I FORGOT WHO ELSE 9 FROM THE BOARD, SO IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO THEM. THIS EVENT WAS 10 IMPORTANT TO THEM. 11 Ο. DID YOU BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE OVER THE YEARS WITH 12 THE BOARD MEMBERS AND SWEETWATER AND SOUTH BAY AND THESE CHARITY 13 EVENTS AND KIND OF PICKING UP FROM WHAT YOU JUST SAID, WAS IT 14 IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE AFFILIATED WITH THESE 15 ORGANIZATIONS TO RAISE SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNTS OF MONEY FOR THEM? 16 A. YES. 17 O. ARE YOU AWARE OF WHAT BENEFIT -- WHAT ADDED VALUE THAT 18 BROUGHT TO THE INDIVIDUAL BOARD MEMBER WHEN THEY COULD RAISE SUCH 19 MONEY? 2.0 THEY COULD PUFF THEIR CHEST OUT AND SAY THEY HELPED 21 RAISE X AMOUNT OF MONEY. 22 DID IT APPEAR TO INCREASE THEIR PRESTIGE AND CLOUT IN 23 THE ORGANIZATIONS? 24 Α. YES. 25 O. HOW ABOUT IN THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE? 26 A. I THINK THEY PERCEIVED THAT IT DID. IT WAS THEIR 27 PERCEPTION. I'M NOT SURE REALLY WHAT KIND OF PRESTIGE IT WOULD

BRING THEM, BUT -- ASIDE FROM DIFFERENT PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITY

28

THE SWEETWATER OFFICES?

A. YES, IT WAS.

- 1 Q. OKAY. AND MY PREVIOUS EMAIL WAS INVITING RENÉ AND JIM DOWN TO 2 Α. 3 BE A PART OF IT. DO YOU KNOW IF THAT WAS RICASA'S REQUEST TO HOST THIS 4 Ο. 5 EVENT THERE OR SOMETHING AMIGABLE OR YOU DID ON YOUR OWN? 6 Α. WE DID IT BECAUSE HENRY BROUGHT IT TO OUR ATTENTION, OR 7 STEVE, SO WE PARTICIPATED AS PARTNERS. I DON'T KNOW WHOSE IDEA IT WAS ORIGINALLY. 8 9 O. DID YOU COME TO LEARN THAT IT WAS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT BOARD MEMBERS AT EVENTS LIKE THAT? 10 11 YES. Α. Q. WHY SO? 12 13 Α. BECAUSE, AGAIN, THEY WERE OUR BOSSES AND IT WAS IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT THEM BECAUSE THEY WERE ALSO SUPPORTIVE OF 14 US. WELL, AT THIS POINT YOU COULDN'T SAY THAT, BUT IT WAS -- IT 15 WAS IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT THEM. 16 O. DID MR. SANDOVAL OR DR. GANDARA EVER TRY TO -- EVER 17 REOUEST THAT SGI HIRE OR DO BUSINESS WITH ANY OF THEIR FRIENDS? 18 19 Α. YES. 20 Q. DO YOU KNOW SOMEONE BY THE LAST NAME OF CAMACHO? 21 A. ERNIE? 22 Ο. RIGHT.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL IF HE WAS A FRIEND OF MR. SANDOVAL?
 - A. NO.

Α.

WORKS FOR.

23

24

26

27

28

Q. THERE'S AN EMAIL HERE I'LL SHOW YOU ON 5029. AT THE BOTTOM IS A MESSAGE FROM MR. FLORES TO YOU AND MR. MCCONNELL,

I REMEMBER THE NAME. I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT COMPANY HE

DATED JUNE 30TH. "INTERESTING THAT ERNIE DID" NOT COME TO US -- "DID THAT COME TO US."

AND UP ABOVE IS ANOTHER RESPONSE. I'M NOT SURE YOU ARE INCLUDED IN THIS. "BRUCE, WE KNOW CAMACHO WELL. HE'S FROM UP HERE. HE HAS A REPUTATION FOR GETTING THROUGH POLITICAL MANEUVERING THAT WHICH HE CAN'T GET THROUGH PROFESSIONAL COMPETITION. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD GIVE ANYTHING AWAY TO HIM AFTER OUR TEAM FOUGHT THE FIGHT AND INCURRED THE COSTS OF THE COMPETING FOR SWEETWATER. WE SHOULD PROBABLY DISCUSS IN THE NEXT JV MANAGEMENT MEETING. MAYBE WE SHOULD TELL GREG SANDOVAL TO GIVE US SOME MORE WORK OVER AT SOUTHWESTERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND WE'LL THROW SOMETHING TO CAMACHO OVER THERE."

DO YOU RECALL HAVING ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH REGARD TO MR. CAMACHO.

- A. NO. WHAT IS THE NAME OF HIS COMPANY?
- Q. I DON'T HAVE THAT.
- MR. ORTIZ, DID YOU COME TO KNOW SOMEONE NAMED ROBERT CASTANEDA.
 - A. THE CITY COUNCILMAN?
 - Q. DID THAT -- SOMEONE AFFILIATED WITH MS. QUIÑONES?
 - A. I NEVER MET HIM. I DON'T BELIEVE I EVER MET HIM.
 - Q. DID HE EVER CONTACT YOU OR DO YOU RECALL HIM CONTACTING YOU BY PHONE?
 - A. NO, I DON'T.
 - O. HOW ABOUT BOB CASTANEDA?
- 26 A. I --

- Q. HAVE YOU HEARD OF STEVE CASTANEDA AND BOB CASTANEDA?
- 28 A. I KNOW OF THEM BECAUSE THEY WERE INVOLVED IN LOCAL

1 DID YOU ATTEND A MEAL THERE WITH THOSE FOLKS? 2 YES. I DON'T RECALL IT SPECIFICALLY. THIS WAS IN 3 BONITA RIGHT ACROSS -- I THINK IT WAS THE ONE RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET FROM WHERE THE SUPERINTENDENT LIVED. 4 5 Q. ANY REASON TO DOUBT THIS DINNER OCCURRED? 6 Α. NO. 7 Q. GIVEN THE FACT THAT IT'S HERE? 8 Α. NO. THE AMOUNT REFERENCED IS \$218.56. WOULD THAT BE THE 9 0. 10 TOTAL PRICE FOR EVERYONE? 11 Α. I WOULD ASSUME SO, YES. 12 Q. DO YOU RECALL IF MS. QUIÑONES OR DR. GANDARA PAID AT 13 ALL? 14 Α. NO. 1.5 Q. WOULD YOUR WIFE HAVE ATTENDED THIS DINNER WITH YOU? 16 A. I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 17 Q. SO THEN IT'S FOUR PEOPLE, OR DO YOU RECALL IF 18 MS. QUIÑONES BROUGHT ANYONE WITH HER? 19 A. I DON'T RECALL. I WOULD ASSUME THAT IT'S JUST THE FOUR 20 OF US. 21 Q. WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING IS WE'VE BEEN -- WHEN WE SEE 22 EXPENSES LIKE THIS, TRYING TO CALCULATE OUT AND APPORTION THE COST PER PERSON FOR THESE TYPES OF MEALS. WE MAKE A RUNNING 23 TALLY OF THAT FOR LATER PURPOSES. 24 25 A. OKAY. Q. I ASSUME THIS TOTAL AMOUNT INCLUDES THE TIP? 26 27 A. YES.

LET'S SAY 20 PERCENT. THAT LEAVES \$174 PER PERSON.

28

0.

1	A. THE TOTAL.
2	Q. TOTAL RATHER. PER PERSON IS \$43.
3	A. SURE.
4	Q. DID YOU KNOW MRS. GANDARA OUTSIDE OF YOUR CONNECTION
5	WITH DR. GANDARA?
6	A. NO.
7	Q. DID MR. SANDOVAL LIKE CIGARS?
8	A. HE DID.
9	Q. LET'S LOOK DOWN IN THAT SAME REPORT ON SEPTEMBER 7TH.
10	DO YOU REMEMBER THIS OUTING FOR CIGARS?
11	A. NO.
12	Q. ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT YOU DIDN'T INCUR THIS CHARGE
13	FOR MR. SANDOVAL?
14	A. NO, BUT IT PROBABLY WASN'T THIS IS PART OF ANOTHER
15	EVENT. IT WOULDN'T JUST BE WE GO OUT FOR CIGARS.
16	Q. DOWN BELOW
17	A. THERE IT IS.
18	Q. YEAH. DOWN BELOW, IS THAT THE LUAU YOU WERE TALKING
19	ABOUT EARLIER?
20	A. YES, IT IS. THAT'S WHERE I FLEW FROM LAX. FLYING FROM
21	L.A. TO SAN DIEGO.
22	Q. YOU FLEW? I THOUGHT YOU DROVE FAST?
23	A. IT WAS RIDICULOUS. I'VE NEVER FLOWN FROM L.A. TO SAN
24	DIEGO.
25	Q. SOMETIMES IT'S FASTER. SO WAS YOUR WIFE IN ATTENDANCE
26	AT THIS OCCASION?
27	A. YES.
28	

1 A. YES.

- Q. DID ALL FOUR GO AND SMOKE CIGARS AFTERWARDS?
- A. NO, JUST GREG AND MYSELF. THEN -- THAT PLACE IS PROBABLY WITHIN THE HOTEL, I'M ASSUMING.
- Q. YOU HAVE 232. THAT'S JUST A FLAT FEE. THERE'S NO TIPPING AND THINGS LIKE THAT?
 - A. I DON'T THINK SO.
- Q. DIVIDE THAT BY FOUR. \$58 PER PERSON PLUS 15 FOR THE CIGARS FOR MR. SANDOVAL?
 - A. UH-HUH.
- Q. AND DID YOU KNOW MRS. SANDOVAL OUTSIDE YOUR CONNECTION WITH MR. SANDOVAL?
 - A. I MET HER THERE.
- Q. OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO SHOW ANOTHER MESSAGE HERE, KIND OF ALONG THE LINES OF THE MR. A'S CALENDAR ITEM WE SAW EARLIER.

 MAYBE. 41713. AND I DON'T THINK YOU WERE COPIED ON THIS

 MESSAGE, MR. ORTIZ. IT'S A SERIES OF EMAILS MOST RECENTLY DATED

 AUGUST 2, 2007. AND THERE'S A MESSAGE THAT APPEARS TO BE FROM

 MR. FLORES TO MS. QUIÑONES. "I HOPE YOU DON'T MIND, I ASKED YOUR

 PROGRAM MANAGER, JAIME ORTIZ TO JOIN US. I WANT YOU TO KNOW HIM

 IN A SOCIAL SETTING." THAT EMAIL IS DATED AUGUST 2ND. AND THE

 NEXT DATE CHARGED ON MR. FLORES'S CREDIT CARD STATEMENT WAS

 AUGUST 3RD AT MR. A'S.
- DO YOU RECALL GOING TO DINNER WITH MS. QUIÑONES AND MR. FLORES AT MR. A'S?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. WHO ALL WAS IN ATTENDANCE, DO YOU REMEMBER?
- 28 A. NO, I DON'T. I REMEMBER AN OCCASION WHERE WE WENT TO

A. NO, OTHER THAN THAT SHE HAD SOME MISGIVINGS ABOUT --

27

1 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2012; 1:31 P.M. 2 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 MR. LUDWIG: MR. SECRETARY, ARE WE GOOD TO GO? 6 GRAND JURY SECRETARY: WE HAVE ALL 16 GRAND JURORS PRESENT. 7 BY MR. LUDWIG: 8 Q. WELCOME BACK FOR THE 85TH TIME TODAY. YOU ARE STILL 9 UNDER OATH. WE'RE TALKING MORE ABOUT DINNERS AND OUTINGS AND SO 10 FORTH. AND I'M NOTICING A CALENDAR ITEM THAT IS NO. 5080. A 11 CALENDAR ITEM NOTING GREG, JAIME, JESUS, AND SPOUSES. AND THIS, 12 I BELIEVE, CAME FROM MR. FLORES'S OUTLOOK REMINDERS. 13 DOES THIS RING A BELL TO YOU. 14 A. MAYBE. 15 Q. DATED AUGUST 30TH --16 A. MAYBE THE PLACE. IF I COULD SEE WHERE IT WAS. 17 THE CREDIT CARD SLIP, NO. 11457, HAS YOUR NAME AT THE Q. 18 TOP, AND THERE'S AN ITEM ON AUGUST 31ST. IS THAT A DIFFERENT 19 DINNER? THIS IS THE ONLY THING CLOSE IN TIME TO AUGUST 30TH ON 20 YOUR CREDIT CARD STATEMENT. 21 YEAH, IT MUST BE A DIFFERENT -- I DON'T THINK THAT'S 22 THE SAME ONE. 23 DO YOU RECALL THIS DINNER ON THE 31ST AT SOUTH BAY FISH 0. AND GRILL INVOLVING SWEETWATER MEMBERS? 24 25 Α. I WOULDN'T THINK SO. WHEN IT SAYS "TEAMS," IT'S 26 PROBABLY OUR INTERNAL STAFF. 27 O. OKAY.

A. THEY TRADITIONALLY TOOK OUR STAFF OUT ONCE A MONTH TO A

1 HAPPY HOUR AND TALKED ABOUT THE PROGRAM. 2 OVER ON THE NEXT PAGE, 11458, THERE'S SOME HANDWRITING 3 IN ADDITION TO THE PRINTED TEXT ON THIS CREDIT CARD STATEMENT. 4 IS THAT YOUR HANDWRITING? 5 A. NO, IT'S NOT. 6 O. DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT? 7 A. NO. NOT FOR CERTAIN, NO. 8 Q. WAS IT COMMON PRACTICE FOR YOU OR FOLKS AT SGI TO ANNOTATE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS SUCH AS WE SEE HERE? 9 10 IT COULD BE POTENTIALLY MY ASSISTANT AT THE TIME THAT 11 PUT DOWN WHO I WAS WITH. 12 WELL, I NOTE ON THE 4TH OF SEPTEMBER OF 2007, AT THE Ο. 13 BUON GIORNO, THERE'S AN ANNOTATION "SUP AND WIFE." 14 A. WE ALREADY WENT THROUGH THIS ONE, DIDN'T WE? 15 Q. THIS IS THE ONE WE WENT THROUGH, YOU'RE RIGHT. I'M 16 SORRY. ON 5096 THERE'S AN EMAIL FROM YOU TO CHARELLE DURANT. DO

YOU RECOGNIZE THIS? A. YES.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- O. CONCERNING SWEETWATER GALA --
- A. CHARELLE WAS MY ASSISTANT.
- Q. CHARELLE. THANK YOU. AND THIS IS SEPTEMBER 12, 2007. WAS THIS AN EVENT WHERE SGI CONTRIBUTED FUNDS AS A CONTRIBUTION OR DONATION?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. WHAT EXACTLY WAS THE SWEETWATER GALA; DO YOU RECALL?
- A. IT'S A SWEETWATER EDUCATION FOUNDATION GALA THAT THEY HAVE ONCE A YEAR, AND IT'S ONE OF THE TWO MAJOR FOUNDATIONS THAT THE DISTRICT HAS. THE MARIACHI IS ONE OF THEM AND THE SWEETWATER

WAS THE BALANCE COMPRISED OF GILBANE AND SGI PEOPLE?

28

Q.

A. YES, AND SPOUSES.

Q. I'LL SHOW YOU THE NEXT EMAIL HERE. IT'S PAGE 5102.

IT'S AN EXCHANGE AMONG YOURSELF, MR. FLORES AND MS. QUIÑONES,

APPARENTLY AT VARIOUS TIMES IN SEPTEMBER OF 2007.

DO YOU REMEMBER THIS EXCHANGE.

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. IS THE PERSON REFERENCED HERE IN THE FIRST EMAIL, DATED SEPTEMBER 19TH, IS THAT FIRST NAME ERICK? IS THAT MR. QUIÑONES'S SON?
 - A. YES, HE IS.
- Q. WHAT BROUGHT ABOUT APPARENTLY A MEETING WITH YOU AND ERICK QUIÑONES IN LAS VEGAS?
- PEARL CAME TO KNOW THIS -- AND SHE MENTIONED THAT ERICK WAS GOING TO BE THERE AS WELL. AND PREVIOUSLY SHE HAD -- SHE HAD REQUESTED THAT IF THERE'S ANY OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO MENTOR HIM OR BEFRIEND HIM, AT HER REQUEST TO -- BECAUSE I GUESS SHE WANTED HIM TO HAVE DIFFERENT TYPE OF FRIENDS. AND SHE WANTED ME TO BEFRIEND HIM TO KIND OF GUIDE HIM IN MORE OF A PROFESSIONAL CAREER. AND I SAID THAT I WOULD REACH OUT TO HIM. SO SHE SAID -- AND THAT COINCIDED WITH A TRIP I HAD TO LAS VEGAS WITH FAMILY. AND SHE MENTIONED THAT ERICK WAS GOING AS WELL. SO I OFFERED TO TAKE HIM OUT TO DINNER WHILE OVER THERE AND, YOU KNOW, JUST CHAT AND MY WIFE AND I WERE GOING TO DO THAT; HOWEVER, HE NEVER ANSWERED AND WE NEVER DID THAT.
- Q. WHEN MS. QUIÑONES FIRST APPROACHED YOU ABOUT
 BEFRIENDING HER SON, DID SHE EXPRESS ANY EXPECTATION OR DESIRE
 FOR YOU TO HELP HIM FIND A JOB OR MAYBE GET EMPLOYMENT WITH SGI?

O. DO YOU KNOW WHO PAUL BUNTON IS?

1 TO BE -- DOES THIS REFERENCE THE SAME DONATION FOR MS. QUIÑONES? 2 Α. YES. 3 Q. IS THAT \$3,000 FOR NALEO? 4 Α. YES. 5 0. DO YOU RECALL IF THE \$3,000 AMOUNT WAS A FIGURE THAT 6 MS. QUIÑONES REQUESTED SPECIFICALLY OR THAT WAS WHAT SGI DECIDED INDEPENDENTLY TO DONATE? 7 8 I WOULD -- I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY BUT I WOULD 9 SUSPECT THAT IT WAS A FIGURE THAT WAS REQUESTED DIRECTLY. 1.0 ON PAGE 41176. THERE'S ANOTHER EMAIL FROM 11 MS. QUIÑONES, SUBJECT THANK YOU, TO YOU, DATED OCTOBER 8, 2007, 12 AND IT INCLUDES THE NAME AND AN ADDRESS OF A HOTEL IN D.C. 13 Α. IS THIS CLOSE TO THE PRIOR EMAIL WITH THE 3,000? 14 0. IT'S AROUND THAT TIME. 15 Α. I BELIEVE WE -- BECAUSE -- I THINK SHE HAD ALREADY LEFT 16 FOR D.C. AND WE SENT THE CHECK BY FEDEX OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT 17 AND THIS WAS THE ADDRESS TO SEND THE CHECK TO. 18 SO SHE -- RATHER THAN JUST MAKE A WIRE TRANSFER, YOU O. 19 ACTUALLY MAILED A CHECK TO THIS LOCATION IN D.C.? 20 Α. YES. 21 Ο. THIS FOLLOWING PAGE HERE, 40835, AT THE VERY TOP IT 22 FALLS IN LINE WITH WHAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING. FROM YOU TO 23 MS. QUIÑONES, OCTOBER 15TH. "YOU KNOW YOU CAN COUNT ON US FOR ANYTHING YOU NEED." 24 25 Α. I WISH I WOULDN'T HAVE WRITTEN THAT, YES. 26 Ο. DID MS. QUIÑONES THEN COME TO SGI FOR THINGS SHE 27 NEEDED? 28

DONATIONS FOR CAMPAIGNS AND CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.

Α.

1	Q. DID MS. QUIÑONES ROUTINELY DO YOU KNOW WHO LUIS
2	ROJAS IS?
3	A. HE I FORGET THE COMPANY THAT HE WORKS FOR. I
4	BELIEVE THAT HE'S WITH ANOTHER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT COMPANY
5	OUT OF L.A.
6	Q. DO YOU KNOW IF HE'S CONNECTED SOMEHOW WITH
7	MS. QUIÑONES?
8	A. THEY WERE FRIENDS.
9	Q. DO YOU KNOW DOES DEL TERRA RING A BELL? IS THAT THE
10	NAME OF HIS COMPANY?
11	A. YES.
12	Q. DID MR. ROJAS EVER CONTACT YOU ON BEHALF OF
13	MS. QUIÑONES OR AT HER REQUEST?
14	A. I DON'T REMEMBER HIM CONTACTING ME DIRECTLY, BUT I KNOW
15	THAT THERE WAS SOME INTEREST FROM MS. QUIÑONES FOR HIM TO GET
16	SOME WORK.
17	Q. DID SHE TALK TO YOU ABOUT HIM?
18	A. YES.
19	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT SHE SAID?
20	A. HE'S A GOOD GUY NO, I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT
21	SHE SAID.
22	Q. WHAT KIND OF WORK DOES DEL TERRA DO?
23	A. I BELIEVE THEY ARE A CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT COMPANY.
24	Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO FIND ANY WORK FOR
25	A. NO.
26	Q MR. ROJAS?
27	I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU AN EXPENSE REPORT ON GILBANE. AND
28	THIS IS MD AMICABIE'S EVDENCE DEDODE FOR THE WEEK ENDING. IT!S

Q. AND BELOW THAT -- YOU ALSO MENTIONED THIS AS WELL. YOU

1 WENT TO A PLAY AND THERE'S A REFERENCE IN THE EXPENSE REPORT --2 Α. UH-HUH. 3 Q. -- ON THIS PAGE. WE SAW THE ONE WITH FRANKIE VALLE. 4 Α. 5 Q. THE JERSEY BOYS? 6 A. YES. IS THAT HIS NAME? YEAH, THE JERSEY BOYS. 7 Q. WHOSE IDEA WAS IT TO GO SEE JERSEY BOYS AND HAVE DINNER 8 AT MORTON'S? 9 Α. I THINK HENRY SET IT UP. 10 Q. DO YOU KNOW IF THAT WAS HENRY'S IDEA OR SOMEONE ELSE'S 11 IDEA? 12 I DON'T KNOW. I DO KNOW THAT HE HAD GONE, I THINK, TO 13 THE SAME PLAY A COUPLE DAYS EARLIER WITH GREG AND JESUS, I THINK. 14 Q. DID SGI CONTRIBUTE ANY MONEY TOWARDS THIS EVENING'S 15 EVENTS? 16 A. IF IT'S IN MY EXPENSE REPORTS WE DID. OTHERWISE, WE 17 DIDN'T. I KNOW THAT HE PAID FOR THE PLAY. I DON'T KNOW IF I 18 PAID FOR DINNER OR NOT. 19 O. I'LL SHOW YOU PAGE 302. AND THERE'S A CREDIT CARD 20 SLIP. IT APPEARS TO BE FROM MORTON'S ON THAT SAME DAY WITH HENRY 21 AMIGABLE. 22 A. THEN WE PAID FOR IT. 23 Q. DO YOU KNOW IF MS. QUIÑONES OR MS. NUÑEZ PAID OR 24 OFFERED TO PAY? 25 A. THEY DID NOT PAY. Q. SO WE HAVE ANOTHER CREDIT CARD SLIP, ACCOUNT STATEMENT 26 27 RATHER, ON THE SCREEN. PAGE 12681. THIS IS FOR, BASICALLY,

DECEMBER AND NOVEMBER OF 2007. THERE IS A NUMBER OF ITEMS HERE.

1 THE FINAL ENTRY THERE ON THE BOTTOM IS REI DO GADO. 2 WAS THIS A DINNER INVOLVING THE SWEETWATER MEMBERS? 3 Α. YES. 4 Q. WHO ATTENDED? 5 Α. GREG SANDOVAL, HIS WIFE, HIS DAUGHTER -- I FORGOT HER NAME -- AND A COUSIN OF HERS, MY WIFE, AND MYSELF. 6 7 Q. SO SANDOVAL, HIS WIFE, HIS DAUGHTER, A COUSIN? 8 Α. YES. 9 AND THEN THE TWO OF YOU? Ο. Α. 10 YES. 11 O. SO SIX PEOPLE? 12 A. UH-HUH. 13 Q. WAS THERE ANY PURPOSE ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DINNER OR 14 JUST A SOCIAL OUTING? 15 Α. I THINK WE WENT TO A PLAY AFTERWARDS. 16 Q. OKAY. 17 AT THE LYCEUM THEATER. IT WOULD BE THOSE TICKETS UP Α. 18 THERE. 19 Q. THERE IT IS. FOR, I BELIEVE, \$267? 20 Α. I'M NOT SURE IF --21 O. IT'S A DIFFERENT DATE. 22 Α. I COULD HAVE BOUGHT THE TICKETS BEFORE THE DINNER --BEFORE THE --23 24 0. DO YOU MEAN BEFORE THE SHOW? 25 Α. I REMEMBER GOING WITH THEM TO A PLAY. IT WAS A VERY 26 SMALL PRODUCTION. NO, I DON'T REMEMBER. 27 AND ALL THE SANDOVALS WENT? Ο. 28 I'M NOT SURE IF THE DAUGHTER AND THE COUSIN WENT TO

1 THAT PLAY. Q. OKAY. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE'LL DO IS APPORTION BY PERSON 2 3 HERE. IF WE TAKE OUT 20 PERCENT, WE HAVE ABOUT \$365 SO --4 Α. THAT'S IT. 5 Q. THAT'S THE PLAY? 6 A. UH-HUH. 7 Q. SO DINNER IS 365. PER PERSON -- DIVIDED BY SIX, \$60 ESSENTIALLY PER PERSON. 8 9 A. DOES THAT ADD UP TO THE TOTAL AMOUNT? IT SHOULD ADD 10 UP. 11 Q. IF WE TAKE --12 Α. YOU'LL FIND OUT IF THE DAUGHTER AND COUSIN WENT. 13 THAT WOULD BE SIX AND A HALF. Q. IT'S PROBABLY THE DAUGHTER AND COUSIN WENT. AND THE 14 Α. 15 TAX. 16 Q. OKAY. SO IT'S BASICALLY \$100 PER PERSON? 17 A. WHAT IS? THE DINNER PER PERSON IS \$60. AND THE TICKETS EACH ARE 18 Q. 41. WERE ALL THE TICKETS THE SAME PRICE? 19 20 Α. YES, I THINK. 21 0. YOU SAT IN THE BROOM CLOSET AND THEY SAT UP FRONT. 22 DID THE SANDOVAL'S OFFER TO PAY AT ALL. 23 A. NO. Q. ON 17995 THERE'S SOME -- ANOTHER EMAIL WITH CHARELLE 24 25 AND THIS IS DATED DECEMBER 17, 2007. DO YOU REMEMBER THIS 26 MESSAGE?

Q. OKAY. WAS THIS FOR A SWEETWATER RELATED EVENT?

A. I DO NOW.

1	A. CAN I SEE THE BOTTOM OF THE EMAIL?
2	Q. ABSOLUTELY.
3	A. WE HAD THIS AT FRIDA'S, MAYBE. I THINK SO.
4	Q. OKAY.
5	A. IT WAS JUST A HOLIDAY PARTY. THIS WAS IN DECEMBER;
6	RIGHT?
7	Q. YES.
8	A. IT WAS A HOLIDAY PARTY THAT WE HAD FOR OUR STAFF AND
9	DISTRICT STAFF AND BOARD MEMBERS, JUST A GET-TOGETHER, A
10	CELEBRATION.
11	Q. AND THE SWEETWATER FOLKS LISTED IN THIS EMAIL SUCH AS
12	JESUS GANDARA, SANDI SMITH, THOSE FOLKS ATTENDED?
1.3	A. YES. EVERYBODY ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE ARE DISTRICT
14	EMPLOYEES. AND I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY IF ONE OF THEM WAS
15	MISSING OR NOT, BUT FOR THE MOST PART THEY ATTENDED AND I RECALL
16	THAT BOARD MEMBERS ALSO ATTENDED THAT.
17	Q. THERE'S SIX ITEMS REFERENCED AT THE TOP FOR VARIOUS
18	GIFTS AND PRICES?
19	A. UH-HUH.
20	Q. DO YOU RECALL IF ANY BOARD MEMBER WITH SWEETWATER
21	RECEIVED ANY OF THOSE GIFTS?
22	A. I DON'T.
23	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER OR DO YOU RECALL LEARNING ABOUT A ROSE
24	BOWL NEW YEAR'S EVE OUTING WITH MR. FLORES AND THE GANDARAS AND
25	SANDOVALS?
26	A. I REMEMBER HEARING ABOUT IT.
27	Q. DID YOU ATTEND ANY OF THAT AT ALL?
28	A NO

THE CONCEPT WAS A GOOD ONE. THE BOARD WAS VERY -- FROM

28

Α.

THE SUPERINTENDENT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS, THEY WERE VERY
INTERESTED IN MINORITY-OWNED BUSINESSES AND HELPING THEM. AND
MINORITY -- SO THAT WAS ACROSS THE BOARD. AND THE DISTRICT IS -I DON'T KNOW, 80 PERCENT OR SO OF THE STUDENTS IN THE DISTRICT
SPEAK A LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH AT HOME. SO IT'S
PREDOMINANTLY A MINORITY DISTRICT. AND THEY HAD ALWAYS BEEN VERY
INTERESTED IN PROMOTING THAT AND HELPING LATINO- AND
MINORITY-OWNED COMPANIES. SO THE CONCEPT WAS FINE. I SAW VALUE
IN OUTREACHING TO THOSE TYPES OF BUSINESSES.

JUST TO CLARIFY. I SAW VALUE IN THAT TYPE OF OUTREACH
BECAUSE I HAD PERCEIVED -- WAS TOLD FROM MANY DIFFERENT ASPECTS
OF THE ORGANIZATION THAT THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS VALUED. SO
IN ORDER TO INCREASE A METRIC THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO THE BOARD AND
THE DISTRICT WAS TO INCREASE THAT TYPE OF PARTICIPATION.

- Q. I'LL PUT THIS BACK ON THE SCREEN REAL QUICK. IT

 APPEARS THAT YOU ARE FORWARDING A NEWS ARTICLE IN CONNECTION WITH

 THIS REFERENCE TO LATINO BUILDERS. DO YOU REMEMBER THE CONTENTS

 OF THE ARTICLE AND WHY YOU WERE FORWARDING IT?
- A. IT MUST HAVE MENTIONED THEM IN THIS SOME FASHION. I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT IT SAID.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL LEARNING WHETHER OR NOT THE LATINO BUILDERS EVER RAN INTO ANY DIFFICULTIES WITH THE FPPC?
- A. I REMEMBER SOMETHING. THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN PART OF THE ARTICLE.
 - Q. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER HEARING ABOUT THAT?
- A. I REMEMBER THERE WAS SOME SORT OF POLITICAL ISSUE BUT I DON'T REMEMBER THE SPECIFICS OF IT.
 - Q. OKAY. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION AMONG SGI OR BOARD

I DON'T REMEMBER BEING THERE.

27

28

STATP.

Q. OKAY. I'LL SHOW YOU PAGE 366. IT'S ONE OF
MR. AMIGABLE'S EXPENSE REPORTS. AND THIS IS FOR THE WEEK ENDING
FEBRUARY 2ND, 2008 -- FEBRUARY 3RD, 2008, AND THERE'S A REFERENCE
TO DINNER WITH PEARL QUIÑONES, YOURSELF, MR. AMIGABLE. IF YOU
TURN OVER TO PAGE 368 THERE'S A RECEIPT FOR \$99 AT FRIDA'S.

DO YOU RECALL GOING TO DINNER AT FRIDA'S WITH THOSE TWO?

- A. I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY, BUT IT COULD HAVE HAPPENED. I DON'T RECALL.
 - Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DOUBT THAT IT HAPPENED?
 - A. NO.
- Q. OKAY. I'LL SHOW YOU NOW PAGE 12727. THIS IS FOR CHARGES IN FEBRUARY 2008. DO YOU REMEMBER DINING WITH ANY SWEETWATER PERSONNEL AT ANY OF THESE TIMES OR LOCATIONS AS LISTED HERE?
- A. I THINK THE HARD ROCK HOTEL WAS AN EVENT FOR -- I THINK IT WAS THE HISPANIC CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND THERE WERE SWEETWATER PEOPLE THERE. I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THOSE CHARGES WERE FOR.
 - Q. HOW ABOUT THE KING'S FISH HOUSE FOR THE \$100?
 - A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- Q. OKAY. I'LL SHOW YOU FIRST THE VERY BOTTOM OF PAGE 5189, THE VERY BOTTOM. IT'S THE START OF AN EMAIL IN A THREAD AND CONTINUES OVER ON 5190. IT'S ADDRESSED TO MS. QUIÑONES. YOU ARE AMONG THE RECIPIENTS, BONNY GARCIA.

DO YOU RECALL THIS MESSAGE?

- A. I REMEMBER THAT TOPIC, YES.
- Q. WHAT WAS GOING ON HERE? THERE'S REFERENCE IN THE THIRD PARAGRAPH THAT INVOLVES YOU. "LAST WEEK I MET WITH SUPERINTENDENT JESUS GANDARA, JAIME ORTIZ, KARL BRADLEY AND BONNY

GARCIA TOGETHER WITH ANDY BERG, OUR TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVE ON THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, TO RECEIVE AN EXPLANATION FOR THE CANCELLATION OF THE PROJECTS. UNFORTUNATELY, NO CLEAR EXPLANATION WAS GIVEN, AND I WAS APPALLED TO HEAR MR. ORTIZ EXPRESS HE DID NOT BELIEVE THE DISTRICT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING ALL THE PROJECTS THAT WERE PROMISED TO VOTERS."

WHAT WAS HAPPENING HERE?

A. THIS STARTED AT OUR OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING,

PROBABLY TWO, THREE MEETINGS IN, AND AT THAT POINT IN TIME WE HAD

DEFINED WHAT THE -- IT'S KIND OF A LONG EXPLANATION -- WHAT THE

SCOPE OF THE DIFFERENT PROJECTS WERE GOING TO BE AT THE NINE

DIFFERENT SCHOOLS.

AND THE PURPOSE OF THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BOND FUNDS ARE EXPENDED IN THE TYPE OF PROJECTS THAT ARE OUTLINED IN THE FUNDING. AND BOND LANGUAGES THROUGHOUT THE STATE ARE TRADITIONALLY VERY GENERIC IN ITS LANGUAGE AND PROP O'S BOND LANGUAGE WAS NO DIFFERENT. IT OUTLINED SPECIFIC TYPES OF PROJECTS THAT WERE GOING TO BE DONE AT EVERY SCHOOL. SO IT HAS GENERAL SECTIONS THAT OUTLINED GENERAL NEW CONSTRUCTION, MODERNIZATION TO THE DIFFERENT SCHOOLS AND ATHLETIC FACILITIES AND ADMINISTRATION OFFICES WITHIN THE SCHOOL. SO IT'S VERY BROAD, GENERAL OUTLINES.

THEN IT GOES SPECIFICS INTO EVERY SCHOOL. AND EVERY SCHOOL

IT SAYS THAT THE DISTRICT IS GOING TO BE WINDOW REPLACEMENTS,

THEY WILL UPGRADE TECHNOLOGY AND REMOVE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND

THINGS LIKE THAT IN A VERY BROAD SENSE.

SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WINDOW REPLACEMENTS. DOES THAT MEAN REPLACE TWO WINDOWS OR EVERY SINGLE WINDOW IN THE SCHOOL? AND IN

OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE BOND LANGUAGE IS THAT -- THAT THE MENU OF PROJECTS THAT ARE LISTED IN THE BOND LANGUAGE IS WHAT YOU CAN DO. YOU CAN'T DO SOMETHING THAT IS NOT SPECIFICALLY LISTED IN THE BOND LANGUAGE.

SO IN MY PRESENTATION TO THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE I PUT THE BOND LANGUAGE UP AND SAID, OKAY, AT THIS SCHOOL THIS IS WHAT THE BOND LANGUAGE SAYS AND WE'RE DOING A, B, C AND D AND F AND HOW THAT CORRELATES TO WHAT IS IN THE BOND LANGUAGE. SO MY INTENT WAS TO SHOW THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE THAT EVERYTHING WE'RE DOING IN THE SCHOOL IS IN THE BOND LANGUAGE.

YET ANDY BERG, THE MEMBER ON THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE THAT REPRESENTS THE TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION, SAYS, "WHAT ABOUT ALL THE OTHER ITEMS THAT IS LISTED PER SCHOOL?" AND THE INTENT WAS NEVER TO DO EVERY SINGLE PROJECT OR GENERALITY OF A PROJECT THAT IS OUTLINED IN THE BOND LANGUAGE. THESE ARE THE TYPE OF PROJECTS THAT YOU CAN DO WITH THIS MONEY. AND SO THE TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION SUDDENLY HAD A VERY DIFFERENT VIEW OF THAT. AND LANI LUTAR HAD A MEETING WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT AND MYSELF AND VERY AGGRESSIVELY WAS SORT OF ATTACKING THE WAY WE WERE DOING BUSINESS.

AND WE CHECKED WITH NOT ONLY THE DISTRICT LEGAL COUNSEL BUT WITH OTHER LEGAL FIRMS AND THE INTERPRETATION THAT WE HAD WAS CORRECT. BUT THE TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION WAS ADAMANT THAT EVERY SINGLE PROJECT HAD TO BE DONE, WHERE THE WAY THE PROJECTS WERE LISTED IN THE BOND LANGUAGE IT WAS VERY VAGUE, VERY GENERIC. SO IF WE WANTED TO DO EVERY SINGLE PROJECT, WE COULD HAVE REPLACED ONE WINDOW OVER HERE AND, OKAY, WE CHECKED OFF THE REPLACING WINDOW CATEGORY. SO IT WAS A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION IN WHAT THE

Q. MORE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS. THIS IS ANOTHER ONE OF YOUR STATEMENTS THAT IS FOR APRIL OF '08. THERE'S A COUPLE ITEMS HERE AT THE BOTTOM. THERE'S A \$439 CHARGE AT PETCO, WHICH IS PAGE 12737.

DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CHARGE AT PETCO?

- A. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF I KNEW WHO IT WAS WITH.
- Q. WE DON'T SEEM TO HAVE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
- A. UM, THAT'S A PRETTY HIGH DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR PETCO.

 THERE WAS ONE TIME WHERE WE WENT TO THE GIFT SHOP OR TEAM STORE

 AND BOUGHT SOME ARTICLES. I THINK THAT WAS WITH DR. GANDARA AND

 HIS WIFE.
 - O. ARE YOU PRETTY CONFIDENT ABOUT THAT?
- A. I'M NOT SURE IF THIS IS THAT EXACT TIME. I REMEMBER
 THAT THAT HAPPENED. I DON'T KNOW IF THIS WAS IT.
- Q. IT SAYS "RETAIL" ON THE CREDIT RECEIPT. WAS THERE MORE THAN ONE OCCASION WHERE YOU SPENT THAT MUCH MONEY AT PETCO RETAIL?
 - A. I DON'T BELIEVE SO.

Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT SHOPPING TRIP THAT YOU MENTIONED --

1 WAS IT MR. SANDOVAL? 2 Α. WHICH SHOPPING TRIP? 3 Q. THE PETCO -- THE TEAM STORE. 4 A. NO, THAT WAS -- I THINK THAT WAS WITH DR. GANDARA. 5 DR. GANDARA. WAS EVERYTHING YOU PURCHASED FOR THEM OR 0. DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING ON THE TICKET? 6 7 FRANKLY, I DON'T REMEMBER. Α. LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE HERE. THERE'S ANOTHER 8 0. 9 FAIRLY LARGE EXPENDITURE, PAGE 12738. A \$602 CHARGE AT MORTON'S 10 ON APRIL 12TH. 11 DO YOU RECALL THAT DINNER, WHETHER IT INVOLVED SWEETWATER 12 FOLKS? 13 Α. I'M ALMOST CERTAIN IT DID. I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY. 14 Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS PHOTOGRAPH ON PAGE 5205? 15 A. YES. 16 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO'S IN IT? 17 Α. IT'S JENNIE GANDARA, DR. GANDARA, AND THEIR DAUGHTER. 18 0. DID DR. GANDARA APPROACH YOU ABOUT CONTRIBUTING OR 19 FINANCING ANYTHING IN CONNECTION WITH A BEAUTY PAGEANT FOR THEIR 20 DAUGHTER? 21 A. HE DID. SO THE EARLIER PAGE, 5204, IS A DISCUSSION WITH YOU AND 22 Ο. MR. FLORES THAT FOLLOWS RECEIVING THAT PHOTO. DO YOU REMEMBER 23 24 THIS, DATED APRIL 2ND '08? 25 A. I DO. 26 Q. THIS IS YOU WRITING, "GANDARA'S DAUGHTER WON MISS SAN ANTONIO. SHE'LL BE COMPETING FOR MS. TEXAS IN JUNE. HE'S 2.7

ALREADY ASKED ME IF THERE'S ANY WAY WE CAN CONTRIBUTE SOME

SPONSORSHIP. IT WILL NEVER END."

- A. IT NEVER ENDED. WELL, IT DID NOW.
- Q. SO BY APRIL '08 WE'RE ABOUT A YEAR INTO THE CONTRACT.

 HAD IT BECOME ROUTINE FOR DR. GANDARA TO REQUEST CONTRIBUTIONS

 FOR HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND FAVORITE CHARITIES?
- A. NOT ROUTINE FOR HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. I BELIEVE THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME THAT HE ASKED FOR HIS FAMILY SPECIFICALLY.
 - O. DID HE APPROACH YOU INITIALLY ABOUT THIS REQUEST?
 - A. YES.

- O. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT CONVERSATION?
- A. I DO. WE WERE IN HIS OFFICE AND HE WAS VERY EXCITED.

 HE HAD JUST COME BACK FROM TEXAS AND HE WAS VERY EXCITED THAT HIS

 DAUGHTER WON. AND, YOU KNOW, DURING THE CONVERSATION I WAS

 INTERESTED IN HOW AND ALL THAT STUFF. AND IN THE CONVERSATION IT

 CAME UP THAT THE NEXT STEP WAS MISS TEXAS. AND HE WAS MENTIONING

 THAT THE DRESSES THAT ALL THE CONTESTANTS WORE OR BOUGHT WERE IN

 THE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS AND THAT THEY TOOK -- I DON'T REMEMBER

 IF HE SAID THEY TOOK ACTING LESSONS OR COACHING OR SOMETHING LIKE

 THAT, AND HE ASKED US IF -- I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY HOW HE DID

 IT BUT THAT HE WAS GOING TO NEED SOME HELP WITH UNDERWRITING OF

 SOMETHING. I DON'T THINK HE WAS SPECIFIC. I DON'T KNOW IF HE

 WAS SPECIFIC WITH THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS.
- Q. DID YOU TAKE THAT AS A SUGGESTION OR REQUEST OR AS A DIRECTIVE?
 - A. WELL, IT KIND OF GETS BLURRED BETWEEN THE TWO.
- Q. DID YOU FEEL THERE WAS A BASIS FOR WHICH YOU COULD SAY NO TO THE CLIENT?
 - A. NO.

- A. BECAUSE HE WAS ASKING FOR IT AND I JUST NEVER SAID NO TO THEM.
 - O. DID YOU FEEL --

WHY IS THAT?

Q.

- A. I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT WAS THE RIGHT THING TO DO BUT WE NEVER DID.
 - Q. DID YOU FEEL THAT YOU COULD SAY NO?
 - A. NO, I DIDN'T FEEL THAT WE COULD SAY NO.
 - Q. ANY PARTICULAR REASON WHY YOU FELT THAT WAY?
- A. I GUESS YOU'D HAVE TO INTERACT WITH THEM. IT WAS -THEY ALWAYS SUBTLY MADE IT A POINT TO MAKE YOU FEEL UNEASY
 ABOUT -- ABOUT YOUR CONTRACT OR -- THEY MADE IT A POINT TO
 MENTION THAT THEY HAD LUNCH WITH SO AND SO COMPANY WHO'S OUR
 COMPETITOR, OR THAT THEY KNEW SO AND SO, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE THAT
 WOULD LOVE TO HAVE OUR JOB. AND SO I THINK IT WAS KIND OF
 PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE ON THEIR PART JUST TO KEEP US ON EDGE AND
 MAKE SURE WE WEREN'T COMFORTABLE IN THE POSITION THAT WE'RE IN
 AND TAKE THEM FOR GRANTED.
 - O. WAS THAT AN EFFECTIVE STRATEGY ON THEIR PART?
 - A. THEY NEVER SAID IT BUT I THINK IT WAS PART OF IT.
 - Q. I HAVE A QUESTION. IT'S NUMBER?
 - GRAND JURY SECRETARY: 99.

BY MR. LUDWIG:

- Q. FROM JUROR NO. 16. DID THE -- THIS GOES BACK TO OUR DISCUSSION BEFORE WE GOT ONTO THE TOPIC OF THE PAGEANT. "DID THE BOND LANGUAGE REQUIRE A MINIMUM OF PROJECTS OR WAS ONE ITEM ON THE LIST ENOUGH TO FULFILL YOUR OBLIGATION?"
 - A. I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE FIRST PART OF THE QUESTION.

- Q. IT SAYS, "DID THE BOND LANGUAGE REQUIRE A MINIMUM NUMBER OF PROJECTS OR WAS ONE ITEM ON THE LIST ENOUGH TO FULFILL YOUR OBLIGATION?"
- A. THE BOND LANGUAGE WASN'T SPECIFIC ABOUT THAT. IN FACT,
 THE BOND LANGUAGE SAYS THAT NOT ALL PROJECTS ON THAT LIST MAY BE
 COMPLETED DUE TO FUNDING CONSTRAINTS. THERE ARE -- I BELIEVE
 THAT THE BOND LANGUAGE DID SAY THAT WORK WILL BE DONE AT EVERY
 CAMPUS. HOWEVER, IT DOES NOT SAY THAT -- WHAT TYPE OF -SPECIFICALLY WHAT SCOPE OF WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE AT EVERY CAMPUS.

AGAIN, IT SAYS GENERICALLY THESE ARE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS
THAT CAN BE DONE WITH YOUR MONEY. IT SAYS YOU CAN'T PAY TEACHERS
SALARY. IT SPECIFICALLY SAYS WE CAN'T DO DISTRICT OFFICES WITH
THAT MONEY. BUT IF IT'S MENTIONED IN THE BOND LANGUAGE SOMEHOW
YOU ARE ABLE TO DO THAT TYPE OF SCOPE OF WORK.

AND THE SCOPES OF WORK IN THE BOND LANGUAGE WERE DETERMINED THREE, FOUR, FIVE YEARS PRIOR TO PROP O PASSING BASED ON THE MASTER PLAN. SO IT NEEDS CHANGE DURING THAT PROCESS SO YOU HAVE TO REANALYZE WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO. AND AS LONG AS WHAT YOU WANTED TO DO IN THE SCOPE OF WORK IS ALLOWABLE IN THE BOND LANGUAGE YOU'RE ABLE TO DO THAT. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

Q. IS THAT SUFFICIENT?

GRAND JUROR NO. 16: UH-HUH.

BY MR. LUDWIG:

Q. PAGE 413 IS ANOTHER ONE OF MR. AMIGABLE'S EXPENSE SHEETS. THIS IS FOR THE WEEK ENDING APRIL 6TH, '08. AND THERE'S AN ENTRY THERE, LUNCH WITH BRUCE HOFFMAN, CHUCK TERHUNE, YOURSELF AND DR. GANDARA.

DO YOU RECALL THAT?

2 Q. THE NEXT PAGE, 419, THIS IS A RECEIPT FOR FRIDA'S? 3 Α. YEAH, IT COULD HAVE BEEN. 4 DO YOU REMEMBER IF ANYBODY ELSE ATTENDED BESIDES THOSE Ο. 5 LISTED IN THE EXPENSE CLAIM? 6 Α. I DON'T REMEMBER. 7 Q. THERE IS A MESSAGE ON PAGE 41050. MS. QUIÑONES TO YOU, 8 APRIL 10TH, '08. "SEND ME YOUR ADDRESS, PLEASE." 9 DO YOU REMEMBER THIS EXCHANGE? 10 Α. NO. CAN I SEE THE BOTTOM PART? 11 THAT'S REALLY IT. Q. 12 SHE'S NEVER COME TO MY HOUSE. Α. 13 0. DID SHE EVER MAIL YOU ANYTHING? 14 I'VE GOTTEN AN INVITATION TO SOMETHING BUT... Α. 15 Q. OKAY. QUESTION WOULD BE 100, JUROR NO. 9. "DID YOU 16 GET A REQUEST FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS TO FUND A PROJECT THAT WAS 17 OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF BOND REQUIREMENTS OR BOND LANGUAGE?" 18 Α. NO. 19 I'M LOOKING AT ANOTHER CREDIT CARD SLIP, WHICH IS FROM Q. MAY '08. ONE IS AN ENTRY FOR FRIDA'S ON MAY 3RD, \$3,800. 20 21 A. UH-HUH. 22 O. DO YOU RECALL THAT? 23 WE HAD A CINCO DE MAYO PARTY, AND IT WAS SIMILAR TO THE Α. 24 CHRISTMAS ONE, THE CHRISTMAS PARTY THAT WE SAW, SIMILAR TYPE OF 25 GATHERING. WE HAD -- AND WE DID THIS BECAUSE AT THIS TIME WE HAD 26 THE SCOPES OF WORK -- IT WAS AN EXCUSE FOR A PARTY. BUT THE 27 REALITY IS THAT WE HAD FINISHED THE NINE SCOPES OF WORK AND WE 28 HAD INITIAL RENDERINGS OF WHAT THE PROJECTS WERE GOING TO LOOK

MAYBE IF YOU SHOW ME WHERE IT WAS.

1

Α.

1 LIKE AND WHAT THEY ENTAILED. SO WE HAD POSTER BOARDS MADE AND WE 2 WERE GOING TO SHOW THESE OFF TO THE FOLKS. AND I KNOW THE BOARD 3 MEMBERS WERE IN ATTENDANCE AND THE SUPERINTENDENT AND OVERSIGHT 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER AND STAFF. 5 WERE ALL BOARD MEMBERS THERE? Ο. 6 I THINK MOST OF THEM. Α. 7 Ο. I TAKE IT NO BOARD MEMBER OR SUPERINTENDENT REIMBURSED 8 YOU? Α. NO. 10 0. HOW ABOUT ALSO ON THERE IS THE DONOVAN'S DINNER FOR 11 \$671, A FEW DAYS LATER ON MAY 8TH. 12 Α. I DON'T REMEMBER WHO WAS THERE SPECIFICALLY. 13 O. SWEETWATER BOARD MEMBERS? A. I'M SURE, YES. 14 15 Q. THIS IS PAGE 12740. THERE'S A COUPLE RECEIPTS HERE ON 16 PAGE 11515 FOR FRIDA'S AND THIS IS FROM MAY 16TH. THIS IS A DIFFERENT DAY. THERE'S A HANDWRITTEN NOTE RUDY, GREG, JOSE --17 18 JORGE DOMINGUEZ. DO YOU REMEMBER THIS TRIP TO FRIDA'S. IT'S THE 19 SAME CHECK; RIGHT? THE SAME DAY? 20 YES. Α. 21 WAS IT THE FOUR OF YOU IN ATTENDANCE? Q. 22 Α. YES. 23 Q. ANYONE ELSE? 24 Α. NO. 25 WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS EVENT? Q. 26 JUST TO HANG OUT. Α.

WHO ARE RUDY AND GREG AS NOTED THERE?

GREG SANDOVAL, RUDY GONZALEZ, AND JORGE DOMINGUEZ.

27

28

Q.

Α.

1 Q. IF WE TAKE 439 AND DIVIDE BY FOUR WE GET ABOUT \$110 PER 2 PERSON. 3 A . UH-HUH. 4 Q. DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT? 5 A. YES. 6 Q. THERE'S ANOTHER EXPENSE REPORT FOR AUGUST 27TH, '08, 7 AND THAT'S PAGE 11516. IT APPEARS THAT DINNER IS REFERENCED 8 THERE, AS WELL AS ANOTHER ITEM DATED JULY 21ST, '08, DINNER WITH 9 RUDY AND DR. GANDARA FOR \$26. 10 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT? 11 I WASN'T THERE. IS THAT MINE? 12 YES. IT APPEARS TO BE. 0. 13 A. OH, OKAY. THE BOTTOM ONE WAS A BREAKFAST WITH RUDY 14 RAMIREZ, COUNSEL MEMBER OF THE CHULA VISTA CITY COUNCIL. 15 Q. OKAY. THE THIRD ENTRY HERE IS AUGUST 20TH '08, DONATION TO SOUTH COUNTY EDUCATIONAL SCHOLARSHIP PAGEANT. DO YOU 16 17 RECALL WHAT THAT INVOLVED? 18 A. GREG'S DAUGHTER WAS INVOLVED IN SOME SORT OF PAGEANT IN THE SOUTH COUNTY. I DON'T RECALL THAT ONE SPECIFICALLY. I'M 19 20 ASSUMING THAT BECAUSE IT SAYS PAGEANT IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH GREG AND HIS DAUGHTER. 21 22 SO DR. GANDARA AND MR. SANDOVAL BOTH HAD DAUGHTERS SORT 0. 23 OF IN THE PAGEANT BUSINESS? 2.4 A. YES. 25 Q. BOTH EXPECTING CONTRIBUTIONS FROM SGI? A. YES. 26 27 FOR THE SANDOVALS IN THIS CASE -- I KNOW YOU RECALL O.

THAT CONVERSATION WHEN DR. GANDARA ASKED FOR MONEY FOR HIS

1	Q. IF WE SHOW YOU I JUST HAVE A FEW PAGES LEFT HERE.
2	PAGES 41211 THROUGH 41232. IT MAY BE AN ATTACHMENT. WAS THIS
3	PART OF THE VENDOR LIST; DO YOU RECALL?
4	A. YEAH, I WOULD ASSUME SO.
5	Q. DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT?
6	A. YEAH. YES.
7	Q. OKAY.
8	A. YES.
9	Q. WAS MS. QUIÑONES ASKING YOU FOR MONEY AT THIS TIME AS
10	WELL?
11	A. I WOULD ASSUME SO. NOT THROUGH THIS EMAIL.
12	Q. I KNOW, BUT JUST SEPARATELY.
13	A. I WOULD BET ON IT. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY BUT
14	Q. LOOK AT ANOTHER CREDIT CARD STATEMENT FOR A COUPLE
15	ITEMS. PAGE 12742. THIS IS FOR JUNE OF '08. THERE'S A
16	TRANSACTION FOR TRATTORIA VIA LAGO ON THE 20TH OF JUNE FOR \$827.
17	DO YOU REMEMBER GOING THERE AT THAT TIME?
18	A. I REMEMBER THE PLACE AND I REMEMBER GOING THERE AT
19	DIFFERENT TIMES WITH SWEETWATER FOLKS. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY
20	WHO WAS THERE AT THAT.
21	Q. UP ABOVE THAT, EARLIER IN JUNE THERE'S AN ENTRY FOR
22	DONOVAN'S STEAKHOUSE FOR \$220 OR THEREABOUTS. DO YOU REMEMBER
23	THAT DINNER?
24	A. I DON'T.
25	MR. SCHORR: SHOULD WE TAKE A BREAK NOW?
26	MR. LUDWIG: OH, YEAH. LET'S TAKE OUR AFTERNOON BREAK. SO
27	THE FOREPERSON WILL REMIND YOU YOU ARE STILL ADMONISHED.
28	GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: YOU ARE REMINDED THAT YOU ARE STILL

1 ADMONISHED. 2 (RECESS.) 3 MR. LUDWIG: MR. SECRETARY, ARE WE READY TO GO? 4 GRAND JURY SECRETARY: YES. WE HAVE ALL 16 GRAND JURORS 5 PRESENT. 6 MR. LUDWIG: ARE THEY ALL HAPPY TO BE HERE? 7 BY MR. LUDWIG: 8 Q. ALL RIGHT. MR. ORTIZ, HERE WE GO AGAIN. WELCOME BACK. 9 I'LL SHOW YOU YET ANOTHER CREDIT CARD SLIP OR STATEMENT RATHER. 10 THIS IS FOR JULY '08. AND THERE'S A COUPLE ITEMS, HERE NOTABLY 11 MORTON'S ON JULY 16TH FOR ALMOST \$1,800. 12 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT OUTING? 13 A. I DO. 14 O. DO YOU RECALL WHO ATTENDED? 15 IT WAS A LARGER GROUP. DR. GANDARA AND HIS WIFE, PEARL Α. 16 WAS THERE, MY WIFE, MYSELF, I BELIEVE YOLANDA HERNANDEZ WAS 17 THERE. 18 Q. OKAY. AND MIMO WAS THERE. MIMO'S NAME IS -- HE'S A 19 20 PHOTOGRAPHER WHO LIVES IN NATIONAL CITY. HIS NAME IS -- I CAN'T 21 REMEMBER HIS NAME. HE'S ALSO FRIENDS WITH PEARL AND YOLANDA. DID HE COME AS THEIR GUEST? 22 Ο. 23 Α. YES. 24 SO SEVEN. THE TWO OF YOU, THE GANDARAS, MS. HERNANDEZ, Ο. 25 MS. QUIÑONES, AND THE PHOTOGRAPHER. 26 Ά. FOR SOME REASON I THOUGHT THERE WOULD BE MORE PEOPLE.

Q. OKAY. WE CAN ROUND UP TO TEN. I THINK IT WOULD BE

27

28

AT LEAST SEVEN, YES.

1	AWFULLY CONSERVATIVE. IF WE PUT DOWN 1,789 LESS 20 PERCENT THAT
2	GETS US ABOUT \$1,431. SO \$140 PER PERSON.
3	A. UH-HUH.
4	Q. DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT FOR DINNER AT MORTON'S?
5	A. YES. PLUS SOME TAKE HOME.
6	Q. SOMEONE TAKES SOME FOOD HOME?
7	A. YES, DR. GANDARA.
8	Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
9	A. WHAT?
10	Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
11	A. HE ORDERED FOOD TO GO.
12	Q. DID HE ORDER, LIKE, AN EXTRA DESSERT OR A FULL MEAL?
13	A. I THINK HE TOOK THE DESSERTS AND I THINK HE ORDERED A
14	FULL MEAL.
15	Q. DID HE ASK PERMISSION TO DO THAT OR HE JUST DID IT?
16	A. HE JUST DOES THAT TYPE OF THING.
17	Q. WAS THAT COMMON PRACTICE FOR DR. GANDARA?
18	A. IT WAS.
19	Q. WAS IT MORE OFTEN THAN NOT THE CASE THAT HE WOULD ORDE
20	EXTRA FOOD TO GO?
21	A. MORE OFTEN THAN NOT, YES.
22	Q. DID YOU EVER SAY ANYTHING TO HIM ABOUT IT, LIKE IN A
23	JOKING WAY OR
24	A. NO. BUT IT WAS A RUNNING JOKE BETWEEN MY WIFE AND I.
25	AND WE WAGERED WHETHER HE WOULD DO IT OR NOT AT THE BEGINNING OF
26	THE EVENING.
27	Q. WHO WOULD WIN THAT BET MORE OFTEN, YOU OR YOUR WIFE?

WE'D ACTUALLY FIGHT OVER WHO WOULD PICK THAT HE WOULD.

1	PRETTY SAD WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT IT.
2	Q. DID ANYBODY ELSE FROM THE BOARD HAVE A HABIT OF
3	ORDERING EXTRA FOOD TO GO?
4	A. NO, NOT AS A REGULAR HABIT.
5	MR. LUDWIG: QUESTION 101?
6	GRAND JURY SECRETARY: 101.
7	BY MR. LUDWIG:
8	Q. JUROR NO. 13. "WOULD HE" I ASSUME THAT MEANS
9	DR. GANDARA "TELL YOU FOR WHOM THE EXTRA MEAL WAS?"
10	A. NO.
11	Q. THERE'S A COUPLE OTHER ITEMS, I DON'T WANT TO GO
12	THROUGH ALL OF THEM BECAUSE IT'S A LOT. THE ONES THAT STAND OUT
13	ARE ON TOP, OF MORTON'S, THE LOU & MICKEY'S CHARGE ON THE 11TH OF
14	JULY FOR \$226. DO YOU HAVE A RECOLLECTION OF THAT MEAL?
15	A. ONLY PEOPLE I WENT TO LOU & MICKEY'S WITH I SEEM TO
16	RECALL IT WAS EITHER KARL BRADLEY I WENT TO LOU & MICKEY'S
17	ONCE WITH ONCE OR TWICE, AND WITH DR. GANDARA.
18	Q. DID YOU HAVE A RECOLLECTION AS TO WHICH OF THOSE TWO
19	MAY HAVE BEEN WITH YOU THIS TIME?
20	A. NO.
21	Q. HOW ABOUT A LITTLE BIT LOWER ON THE LIST, THERE'S A
22	JULY 19TH CHARGE AT FRIDA'S AGAIN, FOR \$388.07.
23	A. I DON'T REMEMBER WHO THAT WAS WITH.
24	Q. LET ME GET THE PAGE NUMBER I REFERENCED FOR THE RECORD.
25	THAT WAS PAGE 12744.
26	NOW ON THE SCREEN IS PAGE 17999. IT'S AN EMAIL FROM YOUR
27	ASSISTANT CHARELLE TO YOU, DATED JULY 11, '08.
28	DO YOU REMEMBER THIS ONE?

1.9

- A. NOT SPECIFICALLY, BUT I RECOGNIZE IT.
- Q. SHE WRITES, "YOU'VE RECEIVED A MESSAGE FROM HECTOR
 CASTILLO OF ECM GROUP. HE WILL BE MEETING WITH PEARL FOR LUNCH
 AND WOULD LIKE TO TOUCH BASE WITH YOU FIRST."

WHO IS HECTOR CASTILLO?

- A. HECTOR CASTILLO WAS A FRIEND OF GREG'S AND HE RUNS A CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT GROUP OUT OF L.A., AND GREG WAS ALWAYS TRYING FOR US TO GIVE HIM SOME WORK. AND HE WAS VERY, VERY PERSISTENT AND WAS ALWAYS WANTING TO MEET WITH US OR DO SOMETHING WITH US. HE INVITED ME TO A LAKERS GAME ONCE.
- Q. BEFORE WE GET TO THAT, WHEN YOU SAY "HE WAS PERSISTENT," MR. CASTILLO WAS PERSISTENT OR MR. SANDOVAL WAS PERSISTENT?
- A. WELL, GREG WOULD ASK US ABOUT HIM ONCE IN A WHILE. HAS HE GOTTEN WORK YET? AND RENÉ DIDN'T THINK VERY HIGHLY OF HIM OR HIS COMPANY. AND, FRANKLY, WHAT HE DID WAS EXACTLY WHAT WE DID. HE HAD PEOPLE THAT DID CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND JUST WANTED SOME OF OUR POSITIONS, FOR US TO SUBCONTRACT TO HIM SO...
- Q. WITH MR. SANDOVAL WHEN HE WOULD TRY TO PITCH
 MR. CASTILLO AND HIS COMPANY TO YOU AND SGI, WAS IT IN THE FORM
 OF A SUGGESTION OR A DIRECTIVE?
- A. IT WAS -- AGAIN, THE LINE IS KIND OF BLURRED BETWEEN
 THE TWO. BUT I WOULD ALWAYS SAY THAT WE'LL LOOK INTO IT AND
 WE'RE LOOKING FOR IT OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT BUT I ALWAYS TRY TO
 PUSH HIM OFF.
 - Q. WOULD YOU SAY THINGS LIKE THAT TO PLACATE HIM --
 - A. YES.

1 -- WHEN YOU HAD THE INTENTION NOT TO HIRE MR. CASTILLO? Q. 2 Α. YES. 3 HOW MUCH INTERACTION DID YOU HAVE WITH JIM CARTMILL? Ο. 4 UM, FREOUENT. AS MUCH AS WITH THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS. Α. 5 THERE'S AN EMAIL THAT I JUST PUT UP ON THE SCREEN, Ο. PAGE 18000. IT APPEARS TO BE FROM MR. CARTMILL TO YOU, DATED 6 7 JULY 29TH, '08. HE'S ASKING FOR A DONATION. 8 DO YOU REMEMBER THIS? 9 Α. YES. Q. DID YOU OR DID SGI DONATE \$3,000? 10 11 A. I BELIEVE WE DID. TO CHANGING THEIR LIVES? 12 Q. 13 A. CHANGING THEIR LIVES, YES. 14 Q. WAS CARTMILL SIMILAR TO SANDOVAL IN THE SENSE THAT WHEN 15 HE WOULD APPROACH YOU FOR A REQUEST FOR DONATION IT WAS NOT SO 16 MUCH A REQUEST AS IT WAS A DIRECTIVE? 17 Α. YES. 18 O. DID YOU HAVE A SIMILAR IMPRESSION WITH MR. CARTMILL 19 THAT HE WAS SOMEONE YOU COULDN'T REFUSE, YOU COULDN'T SAY NO TO 20 HIM? 21 YES. I FELT THAT WE COULDN'T SAY NO TO ANY OF THE Α. 22 BOARD MEMBERS. BUT JIM WASN'T AS -- I DON'T KNOW HOW TO PHRASE 23 THIS APPROPRIATELY. JIM WAS A LITTLE BIT MORE TACTFUL AND LESS 24 VISCERAL, IF YOU WILL. 25 Q. DID YOU THROUGH -- YOUR DEALINGS WITH THE BOARD, DID 26 YOU GET INVOLVED WITH THE BOARD RACE FOR JAIME MERCADO'S SEAT --27 A. YES.

O. -- WITH BERTHA LÓPEZ?

I'LL SHOW YOU AN EMAIL HERE, 5219, DATED JULY 29TH, '08,
FROM YOU TO MR. FLORES AND CYNTHIA GAFFNEY CONCERNING DONATIONS.
DO YOU REMEMBER THIS EXCHANGE?

- A. I DO.
- Q. IT SAYS, "WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO GIVE TO THE FOLLOWING CAUSES: JIM CARTMILL, CHANGES THEIR LIVES EVENT --"
 THAT'S WHAT WE JUST DISCUSSED; RIGHT?
 - A. UH-HUH.
- Q. "-- \$3,000. BERTHA LÓPEZ RUNNING FOR JAIME MERCADO'S SEAT ON THE SWEETWATER BOARD. SHE THINKS SHE CAN BEAT JAIME WITH A TOTAL OF 40K. GANDARA IMPLIED WE SHOULD GIVE \$20,000 BETWEEN BOTH COMPANIES (10K GILBANE AND 10K SGI), WHICH I THINK IS A LOT OF MONEY. MAYBE WE EACH START AT 5K AND HOPE THAT'S ENOUGH."

FIRST OFF, LET'S TALK ABOUT MS. LÓPEZ. DID SEATED BOARD
MEMBERS APPROACH YOU ABOUT SUPPORT FOR MS. LÓPEZ OR DID SHE DO SO
ON HER OWN?

- A. THE SUPERINTENDENT PRIMARILY APPROACHED US TO HELP

 MS. LÓPEZ. AND MS. LÓPEZ WAS, AT THAT POINT IN TIME, CLOSE WITH

 ARLIE, AND I'M NOT SURE IF GREG ALSO ASKED FOR SUPPORT. NO, THEY

 WERE CLOSE.
- Q. DID ANY OF THEM EXPRESS A REASON WHY THEY PREFER MS. LÓPEZ OVER MR. MERCADO?
 - A. NONE OF THEM LIKED JAIME MERCADO.
 - Q. DID THEY INDICATE WHY THEY DIDN'T LIKE MR. MERCADO?
- A. UM, BECAUSE HE WAS SEEN AS ALWAYS CHALLENGING THEM OR FOCUSING ON ISSUES THAT THE REST OF THEM DIDN'T REALLY THINK WAS THAT IMPORTANT, AND LATER WAS VERY CONFRONTATIONAL WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT. THAT'S WHEN THE SUPERINTENDENT WANTED TO GET

SOMEBODY ELSE IN THERE.

- 2.4
- ۲, -

- Q. IN CONNECTION WITH WHAT YOU MENTIONED ABOUT THE \$40,000 AND DONATIONS OF 20, OR 10 EACH, DO YOU RECALL WHAT ULTIMATELY SGI DECIDED TO DO WITH REGARD TO MONETARY SUPPORT FOR MS. LÓPEZ?
- A. I AM SURE YOU GUYS HAVE THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS, I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS, BUT I KNOW THAT WE DID HELP HER SUBSTANTIALLY.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT YOU WENT BACK TO

 DR. GANDARA AND SUGGESTED A LOWER AMOUNT LIKE YOU IMPLY IN THE

 EMAIL?
 - A. I DON'T KNOW IF I DID OR NOT.
- Q. DID SGI HAVE ANY PARTICULAR INTEREST IN WHETHER OR NOT MR. MERCADO REMAINED IN HIS SEAT OR MS. LÓPEZ REPLACED HIM?
- A. NOT REALLY. I MEAN, I KNEW THAT -- OR WE KNEW THAT

 JAIME MERCADO WASN'T GENERALLY FOND OF US BUT HE VOTED FOR OUR

 LAST CONTRACT. HE WAS A YES VOTE FOR OUR LAST CONTRACT. SO I

 DIDN'T HAVE ANY PARTICULAR ISSUES WITH HIM. I THINK -- I KNOW

 THAT HE WAS VERY CONFRONTATIONAL TO THE SUPERINTENDENT AND THE

 REST OF THE BOARD CONSTANTLY COMPLAINED ABOUT HIM. AND WE

 SUPPORTED BERTHA BECAUSE DR. GANDARA REQUESTED IT.
- Q. WAS THAT ONE OF THE ONLY REASONS YOU SUPPORTED MS. LÓPEZ WAS JUST SWEETWATER FOLKS PREFERRED HER OVER MR. MERCADO?
 - A. I BELIEVE SO.
- Q. THIS IS PAGE 37414 AND IT'S A PHOTOCOPY. APPEARS TO BE A PADRES BASEBALL GAME TICKET, AND HANDWRITTEN IN THERE IS THE FIRST NAME JAIME.
 - DO YOU REMEMBER GOING TO A BASEBALL GAME ON AUGUST 1ST, '08,

1	WITH MR. SANDOVAL?
2	A. NOT THAT SPECIFIC DATE BUT I'M SURE WE DID.
3	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO PAID FOR THIS TICKET?
4	A. THOSE WERE OUR TICKETS. WE HAVE SEASON TICKETS.
5	Q. THESE ARE SGI SEATS?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER IF MR. SANDOVAL ASKED DIRECTLY FOR
8	THESE TICKETS ON HIS OWN OR WAS THIS SUGGESTED BY YOU?
9	A. NO. BOTH OF THOSE SITUATIONS COULD HAVE HAPPENED.
10	Q. DID HE REIMBURSE SGI FOR THE COST OF HIS TICKET?
11	A. NO. THEY KNEW THAT WE HAD SEASON TICKETS. SO IF THERE
12	WAS A PARTICULAR GAME THEY WANTED TO GO TO, THEY WOULD LET ME
13	KNOW.
14	Q. WERE THESE TICKETS GOOD ENOUGH FOR MR. SANDOVAL?
15	A. THEY WERE VERY GOOD TICKETS.
16	Q. THERE'S ANOTHER EMAIL ON PAGE 39404 FROM MS. QUIÑONES
17	TO YOU, DATED AUGUST 11TH, '08, CONCERNING A MEETING.
18	DO YOU REMEMBER THIS MESSAGE AND THE MEETING SHE IS TALKING
19	ABOUT?
20	A. YES.
21	Q. WHY WAS IT IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO ATTEND THE MEETING ON
22	THE 21ST FOR NATIONAL CITY?
23	A. BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS
24	THAT THEY WERE GOING TO ASK.
25	Q. WERE THESE PROJECT RELATED QUESTIONS?
26	A. I BELIEVE THEY WERE. THE MEETING WAS WITH THE NATIONAL
27	CITY PARKS AND RECS COMMITTEE FOR SOME REASON. THERE WAS AND
28	THERE WAS ABOUT 15 PEOPLE THERE. AND I DON'T REMEMBER WHY THEY

15

16

14

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

WERE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT PROP O. BUT I WENT AND I GAVE THEM -- THEY HAD SPECIFIC -- I WANT TO SAY IT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE FIELDS. SO I WAS THERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT --WHAT PROP O WAS DOING AT THE NATIONAL CITY SCHOOLS. AND I'M SURE THEY ASKED ME QUESTIONS RELATED TO FIELDS.

- Q. HOW OFTEN WAS IT THE CASE THAT YOU WOULD RECEIVE FROM A SUPERINTENDENT OR A BOARD MEMBER A MESSAGE LIKE THIS THAT IS PURELY BUSINESS RELATED AS OPPOSED TO ONE THAT IS SOLICITING DONATIONS OR CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS OR A REQUEST FOR A MEAL OR SOCIAL EVENTS?
- A. WELL, I DON'T KNOW. MAYBE 25 PERCENT OF THEM WERE BUSINESS RELATED.
- Q. IN YOUR EXPERIENCE IN OTHER DISTRICTS -- I KNOW YOU WERE NOT AT THE LEVEL YOU WERE AT SWEETWATER; YOU WERE MORE JUNIOR -- DID YOU HAVE AS MUCH INTERACTION WITH ELECTED OFFICIALS THERE, THE BOARD MEMBERS OR OTHERWISE?
- Α. AT EASTSIDE I DID BUT WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT, NOT WITH THE ELECTED OFFICIALS.
- WAS IT SIMILAR IN THE SENSE THAT THAT SUPERINTENDENT Ο. WOULD REOUEST BASEBALL GAMES AND DINNERS?
 - NO. THAT WAS MORE BUSINESS RELATED.
- Q. SO IS SWEETWATER UNUSUAL IN YOUR MIND, IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, WITH REGARD TO THE LEVEL OF REQUESTS FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, DONATIONS?
- IT WAS. AND ALTHOUGH I KNEW THAT SOME OF THAT WAS Α. EXPECTED, JUST THE SHEER INTENSITY AND AMOUNTS WAS CERTAINLY UNUSUAL.
 - Q. PAGE 11518 IS PRINTED ON A PAGEANT NOTICE WITH SOME

1	HANDWRITING. IS THAT PAGEANT EVENT CONCERNING MR. SANDOVAL'S
2	DAUGHTER?
3	A. UH-HUH, YES, VANESSA.
4	Q. WHOSE WRITING IS THIS? IS IT YOURS OR
5	A. IT'S GREG'S.
6	Q. OKAY. THE NOTE SAYS, "YOUR SUPPORT IS APPRECIATED."
7	A. IT WAS A CHECK.
8	Q. OH, A CHECK. OKAY. SO YOU WROTE A PERSONAL CHECK
9	THEN?
10	A. MAYBE I WROTE A PERSONAL CHECK AND THE COMPANY
11	REIMBURSED ME. THAT WASN'T VERY STANDARD PRACTICE.
12	Q. THE NOTATION DATED ON AUGUST 20TH, '08, TO JAIME ORTIZ
13	FROM GREG STATING "YOUR SUPPORT IS APPRECIATED," WAS THAT WRITTEN
14	BEFORE YOU WROTE THE CHECK?
15	A. BEFORE, YES. THAT WAS WRITTEN BEFORE.
16	Q. SO ESSENTIALLY SOLICITING A DONATION?
17	A. UH-HUH.
18	Q. DID YOU KNOW THIS LITTLE ITEM WAS COMING, THIS FAX WAS
19	COMING? DID MR. SANDOVAL TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE ISSUE, THE
20	PAGEANT?
21	A. I'M ASSUMING THAT HE DID. I DON'T KNOW HOW HE GOT TO
22	THE \$500 AMOUNT, THOUGH.
23	Q. ON PAGE 18002 THERE'S AN EMAIL TO MR. AMIGABLE TO YOU,
24	"DINNER TONIGHT," DATED AUGUST 29TH '08. IT'S A BOYS' NIGHT OUT?
25	A. UH-HUH.
26	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT EVENT?
27	A. I DON'T REMEMBER WHERE WE WENT.
28	Q. WELL, LET ME SHOW YOU ANOTHER PAGE HERE. THIS IS

1 PAGE 541. IT'S A GILBANE EXPENSE REPORT FOR MR. AMIGABLE, DATED 2 AUGUST 31ST, AND THERE'S A NOTATION OF "DRINKS AND APPETIZER WITH 3 JAIME ORTIZ, FOUNDATION PRESIDENT ED LÓPEZ." DO YOU RECALL IF THIS WAS THE BOYS' NIGHT OUT REFERENCED IN 4 5 THE EARLIER EMAIL? 6 A. IT COULD BE. DOES IT SAY WHERE? 7 0. I HAVE ANOTHER RECEIPT HERE. PAGE 543, FOR AN AMOUNT 8 THAT CORRESPONDS TO WHAT WE SEE IN THE EXPENSE REPORT OF \$237. 9 IT APPEARS TO BE AT FRIDA'S. 10 I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY THAT EVENT BUT I DON'T DOUBT THAT I WAS THERE. 11 12 OKAY. DO YOU KNOW IF THIS WAS THE BOYS' NIGHT OUT? Ο. I WOULD ASSUME SO. 13 Α. HOW DOES FRIDA'S RESTAURANT FEEL ABOUT CIGAR SMOKING? 14 Ο. 15 A. THEY WERE OPEN ABOUT IT. Q. COULD YOU SMOKE ON THE PATIO? 16 17 YES, THAT'S WHY WE LIKED IT. Α. 18 Ο. LOOK AT 41115. THIS APPEARS TO BE AN EMAIL AGAIN, FROM MR. CASTILLO TO YOU AND YOUR ASSISTANT. RESUMES FOR PROJECT 19 20 MANAGER POSITIONS, DATED SEPTEMBER 3RD, '08. 21 DO YOU REMEMBER THIS? 22 A. I DO. IS THIS JUST PART OF THE SAME ISSUE WE WERE DISCUSSING 23 24 BEFORE WHERE MR. SANDOVAL'S FRIEND MR. CASTILLO WAS PRESSING FOR 25 PART OF THE WORK WITH SGI? 26 A. YES.

O. DO YOU REMEMBER IF ANYTHING EVER BECAME OF THESE

27

28

APPLICATIONS?

- A. NO.
- Q. THESE RESUMES?
- A. NO, NOTHING CAME OF IT.
- Q. THERE'S AN ACCOUNT SUMMARY, PAGE 11514, AND THERE'S NOT A LOT OF INFORMATION. MAYBE YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO RECALL SOMETHING. IT'S DATED AUGUST 27TH, '08. IT'S TITLED SEVILLE GROUP GENERAL ACCOUNT. IT HAS YOUR NAME LISTED THERE AND THE AMOUNT \$1,018.14. BELOW IT IS A REFERENCE TO MEALS, DONATIONS.

DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION AS TO WHAT THAT AMOUNT CONCERNS?

- A. NO, NOT AT ALL.
- Q. OKAY.
- A. WELLS FARGO BANK IS JUST A -- THE ACCOUNT WHERE IT CAME FROM, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER.
 - Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO IVA BUTLER IS?
- A. YES.
 - Q. I'LL SHOW YOU PAGE 39115. IT IS THE START OF THE MESSAGE APPARENTLY FROM HER TO YOU ON OCTOBER 1ST OF '08.
 - A. WHAT WAS IT FOR?
 - Q. I WAS GOING TO ASK YOU THE SAME THING. LET'S SEE HERE.

 I'LL SCROLL THROUGH. ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE, 39116, IT APPEARS

 THE MESSAGE WAS FORWARDED TO MR. MERCADO OR FROM MR. MERCADO AND

 YOU RECEIVED IT FROM MR. KOCH. SO THERE'S ONE LINE THERE. "I

 WAS UNEXPECTEDLY SURPRISED TO RECEIVE YOUR RAPID RESPONSE TO MY

 PHONE" -- THE NEXT PAGE 39117 -- "CALL." I'LL LET YOU SCAN THERE

 RATHER THAN READ IT ALOUD.
 - A. THIS WAS AN INSPECTION COMPANY THAT WANTED -- THAT SUBMITTED A PROPOSAL FOR -- I BELIEVE IT'S DSA INSPECTION. AND

347 DIVIDED BY FIVE?

1 A. PLUS THE 65. 2 Q. OKAY. SO LET'S SAY ABOUT \$75 PER PERSON. SOUND ABOUT 3 RIGHT? 4 Α. YEAH. I TRUST YOUR CALCULATOR. 5 Q. THE \$1,100 CHARGE AT BEST BUY ON THE 2ND, OCTOBER 2ND, 6 WAS THAT ANY TYPE OF GIFT OR ANYTHING? 7 I BELIEVE THAT WAS A COMPUTER I BOUGHT IN OCEANSIDE. I Α. WAS GOING TO L.A. -- I WAS GOING TO L.A. FOR A PRESENTATION TO A 8 SCHOOL DISTRICT UP NORTH AND I BOUGHT -- IT WAS A LAPTOP BUT GOT 9 10 SWITCHED INTO A TABLET. 11 OKAY. HOW ABOUT THE FINAL ITEM ON THIS LIST, BEL PROMO 0. 12 ITEMS FOR \$507? 13 A. IT WAS CLOSE TO THE MARIACHI FOUNDATION GOLF 14 TOURNAMENT. I'M NOT SURE IF THAT MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH 15 THE GOLF TOURNAMENT. 16 Q. OKAY. WE'LL LOOK AT PAGE 18003. IT'S AN EMAIL FROM 17 MR. SANDOVAL TO YOU, DATED OCTOBER 10, 2008. 18 Α. UH-HUH. 19 DOES THAT CONFIRM TO YOU THAT THOSE FRIDA'S CHARGES 20 WERE WITH MR. SANDOVAL? 21 A. YES. 22 Ο. DO YOU KNOW WHO GARY CABELLO IS? 23 Α. I DO. 24 Ο. HOW DO YOU KNOW GARY CABELLO? 25 A. HE IS THE UNDERWRITER -- HE WAS THE UNDERWRITER FOR 26 PROP O -- FOR THE FIRST BOND SALE OF PROP O. HIS COMPANY ALONG 27 WITH I BELIEVE TWO OTHER COMPANIES WERE THE UNDERWRITERS. 28 O. DID YOU HAVE MUCH DEALINGS WITH MR. CABELLO?

IT IS WAS JORGE'S. 1 Α. 2 Q. OKAY. HE CAME TO YOU OR SGI? 3 Α. TO ME. 4 ON HIS OWN? 0. 5 Α. YES. 6 WITH A PLAN TO HELP MR. SANDOVAL? Q. 7 YES. Α. 8 DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. SANDOVAL ABOUT Q. 9 THIS PLAN? 10 Α. I DON'T REMEMBER IF I DID OR NOT. THE MARIACHI SCHOLARSHIP GALA, WAS THAT ANOTHER KIND OF 11 12 REGULAR CONTRIBUTION TO THAT ORGANIZATION? 13 A. YES. 14 Q. I'M TRYING TO FIND PAGES TO SKIP. HOW ABOUT MITCH THOMPSON, DO YOU REMEMBER THAT PERSON? 15 16 A. I DO. 17 18006. IT'S A MESSAGE FOR -- TO YOU BY MR. SANDOVAL ON Q. 18 OCTOBER 4TH, '08, FUNDRAISING EVENT FOR MITCH THOMPSON. MITCH WAS A CANDIDATE FOR THE SOUTHWESTERN BOARD AND 19 20 GREG WAS HELPING HIM, AND IT WAS A FUNDRAISER AT A HOME AND I 21 ATTENDED ALONE. IT WAS VERY BORING. 22 DO YOU REMEMBER IF YOU PERSONALLY OR SGI DONATED TO 23 MR. THOMPSON? 24 A. I DON'T REMEMBER. 25 Q. DID MR. SANDOVAL EXPECT YOU TO ATTEND THAT EVENT? A. YES. 26 27 Q. I HAVE A SIMILAR EMAIL ON 18007, FROM MR. CARTMILL,

APPARENTLY. DID YOU RECEIVE THIS MESSAGE MAYBE AS A CC?

28

DOMINGUEZ AT BANNER, THEY ARE OUR COMPETITION. PROBABLY FIFTH LINE DOWN FROM THE TOP IN THE MIDDLE. RIGHT THERE.

- Q. SO THERE'S A FEW AT LEAST?
- A. YES.
- Q. WAS THIS TYPICAL OR --
- A. WELL, I WOULDN'T SAY TYPICAL, BUT THOSE ARE THE KIND OF THINGS THAT YOU PICK UP ON. I DON'T KNOW IF I WAS OVERLY ANALYTICAL OF IT, BUT YOU NOTICE THAT. AND SHE'S INVITING FOR SOME SORT OF CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES. AS AN EX-CONTRACTOR OR POTENTIAL CONTRACTOR THAT YOU WANT TO DO BUSINESS WITH THE DISTRICT, IF WE RECEIVE SOMETHING LIKE THAT FROM A BOARD MEMBER, YOU'LL DO IT TO GET INTO THEIR GOOD GRACES AND, YOU KNOW, HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF GOODWILL.
- Q. AS THE EXISTING CONTRACTOR, DID YOU FEEL THAT YOU HAD TO DO THIS TO KEEP THAT GOODWILL?
- A. ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY. ALSO TO MAKE SURE TO SEE WHO SHOWED UP.
- Q. THE BOTTOM OF THE MESSAGE IS REQUESTING A WALK FOR A PRECINCT EVENT. DID YOU WALK FOR MS. QUIÑONES?
- A. I DON'T RECALL WALKING. I DON'T RECALL DOING IT. THE REALITY IS THAT SHE APPRECIATED MORE THE CONTRIBUTIONS THAN THE VOLUNTEERS.
- Q. THERE'S ANOTHER GILBANE EXPENSE REPORT ON 571. THIS IS FOR THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 26TH, '08. THERE'S AN ENTRY FOR DINNER, BONNY GARCIA, GREG SANDOVAL, DR. GANDARA, JAIME AFTER SWEETWATER BOARD MEETING FOR \$154.
 - A. UH-HUH --
 - Q. THE NEXT PAGE --

- A. -- AT THE BUTCHER SHOP. THE BUTCHER SHOP WAS A PLACE
 THAT THE BOARD MEMBERS FREQUENTED AFTER THE BOARD MEETINGS. ONE,
 BECAUSE THERE WAS ONE CLOSE TO THE DISTRICT. AND TWO, IT WAS
 OPEN LATE. SO IT WAS THE ONLY PLACE THAT WOULD BE CONVENIENT AT
 THAT POINT IN TIME. AND THEN CERTAIN BOARD MEMBERS WERE THERE.
 DEPENDING ON WHICH FACTIONS WERE FRIENDLY TO EACH OTHER, THEY
 WERE THE ONES WHO WOULD GO THERE.
- Q. AND THIS EVENING DOESN'T INCLUDE MS. QUIÑONES. AND MS. QUIÑONES SEEMS TO BE KIND OF ON HER OWN SOMETIMES WITH YOU FOLKS. DID SHE INTERACT AND HAVE DINNERS WITH OTHER BOARD MEMBERS AND YOU OR WAS SHE MORE OF A LONE WOLF, SO TO SPEAK?
 - A. SHE WAS MORE OF A LONE WOLF.
- Q. THE EXPENSE CLAIM FOR MR. AMIGABLE LISTS MR. GARCIA, SANDOVAL, GANDARA, AND YOURSELF. DO YOU BELIEVE ANYONE ELSE OTHER THAN THE FOUR OF YOU ATTENDED?
 - A. I DON'T REMEMBER.

THE NATIVES ARE GETTING RESTLESS.

Q. A FEW MINUTES. THEY HAD A LONG LUNCH. WE'RE GOING TO DO ONE MORE. ALL RIGHT.

THIS IS 5258. IT'S ANOTHER EMAIL FROM MS. QUIÑONES. AND ACTUALLY, IT WAS INITIATED FROM MS. QUIÑONES TO MR. FLORES, WHICH WAS FORWARDED TO YOU ON OCTOBER 25TH, '05.

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

- A. NO.
- Q. ANY IDEA WHY MS. QUIÑONES WAS SO THANKFUL FOR SOMETHING THAT YOU INCLUDED? PROBABLY A TOTAL OF TEN EXCLAMATION POINTS.
 - A. DID YOU COUNT THE ONES ON TOP?
 - O. MAYBE 14.

- 1	
1	A. NO, I DON'T REMEMBER.
2	Q. COULD THAT HAVE BEEN A DONATION CONTRIBUTION?
3	A. POTENTIALLY, YES.
4	MR. LUDWIG: ALL RIGHT. I GUESS WE'LL STOP. THAT'S IT FOR
5	THE DAY. WE'LL PICK UP AGAIN TOMORROW AT 9:00. I'M SORRY. AND
6	THE FOREPERSON WILL REMIND YOU THAT WHAT IS HE?
7	GRAND JURY FOREPERSON: YOU ARE REMINDED THAT YOU ARE STILL
8	ADMONISHED.
9	THE WITNESS: I'M GOING TO GO LOOK UP THAT WORD.
10	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:59 P.M.)
11	* * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) SS: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)
3	
4	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5	VS.
6	ALIOTO, ET AL.
7	CASE NO. SCD235445
8	VOLUME 12
9	
10	I, BETTY J. ASHE, AN OFFICIAL REPORTER FOR THE SUPERIOR
11	COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
12	SAN DIEGO, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:
13	THAT, AS SUCH REPORTER, I REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY THE
14	PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE, AND THAT THE
15	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CONSISTING OF PAGES NUMBERED 1802 THROUGH
16	1918, INCLUSIVE, IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
17	SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN DURING THE PROCEEDINGS ON NOVEMBER 28,
18	2012.
19	DATED THIS 9TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2013, AT SAN DIEGO,
20	CALIFORNIA.
21	
22	
23	Butter 1 On he
24	BETTY J. ASHE CSR NO. 4844 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT
26	